

DARTMOUTH CAMPUS

FOR S.M.U.

Medjuck Refutes Criticism

Saint Mary's University has been completely exonerated from any blame in regard to keeping the Town Planning Board "in the dark" concerning its development plans.

The March 8 issue of the Halifax Mail-Star carried a statement by Ralph Medjuck, the developer, in which Mr. Medjuck contended that "when a building plan fully complies with zoning

and building by-laws, it is not usual practice for the developer to appear before the town planning board."

The aldermanic criticism that S.M.U. should show its plans in order for the city to "study its effect in surrounding areas," Mr. Medjuck commented that "careful consideration" has already been given to this aspect. He went on to say that "certain of their (neighbours') requests

have been complied with."

The Mail-Star also reported that the "university had not applied" for a permit. Mr. Edmund Morris, Assistant to the President for Development, told the Journal that it has been specifically written in the contract that the developer apply for the building permit. He also mentioned that the people in the Gorsebrook area have been fully consulted on the matter.

Dr. Henry Labelle S.J., university President, expects a favourable decision by the end of the year on the establishment of a Dartmouth campus of Saint Mary's.

Dr. Labelle said that negotiations have been revived with the city of Dartmouth concerning the expansion of Saint Mary's University in the Albro Lake area where 125 acres have been offered for our use. Dr. Labelle pointed out that the first approach was made three or four years ago by former Mayor Joseph Zatzman on behalf of the city. This presentation received a "sympathetic hearing" by the former President but negotiations apparently bogged down. Dr. Labelle revived these negotiations in February of this year.

Dr. Labelle expressed his keen desire for a Dartmouth campus of Saint Mary's and pointed out that, at first, the expansion would probably mean an extension of the arts program. This extension he contended would not be a duplication of facilities but a breakdown of classes that would otherwise be too large. In other words there would be interaction between the two campuses. Dr. Labelle said that the entire Adult Education Program may be moved to Dartmouth. He emphasized that the University was not prepared to establish a Junior College at this time. The new campus would be simply an extension of the present university.

The reason for the expansion is the obvious need for more space and Dr. Labelle felt that Dartmouth, "a young and exciting city", would possibly give greater cooperation than the city of Halifax.

Dr. Labelle pointed out that he personally was carrying on negotiations for Saint Mary's with the advice of educational experts at the university.

Saint Mary's University JOURNAL

Vol. XXXIII, No. 18 HALIFAX, NOVA SCOTIA MARCH 8, 1968

Grads Rate S.M.U.

By GERARD LaPIERRE
A meagre 15 graduates, 10 from Arts, 4 from Commerce and 1 from Engineering, completed the extensive JOURNAL survey recently. The graduating

seniors were asked to give facts and opinions concerning their relationship with Saint Mary's during the past 3 or 4 years.

First question asked was why they choose to come to Saint Mary's. Most students stated family ties, the reputation of the Jesuits, low tuition fees, and convenient location as their main reasons.

Concerning their involvement in extracurricular activities it was found that 10 of the students were fairly active participants. Though one claimed that he had gained "a broken leg and two kids", most students cited "new

friendships", "experience", and "personal development".

It was asked if they felt that they had contributed anything to SMU. Unfortunately some students took this opportunity to further their conceited opinions with such contributions as "my gracious personality", "a lot of prestige", and "excellent example". But the few who answered it seriously stated either emphatic "No's", or weak "Yes's". Only two students felt that they had done something concrete, specifically their participation in various clubs and organizations.

These same students were then asked to state which extracurricular activities in their opinions were most successful. Among these they choose the JOURNAL, the Young Liberals, the Winter Carnival, the hockey team and the fraternity.

The SRC was given the dubious distinction of being the least successful extracurricular activity on campus with runners-up being Initiation, intramurals, and, according to one student, "everything".

Surprisingly the SRC was rated one "Very Good" vote when compared to past councils. Five "Satisfactory" and nine "Fair" tallies were also counted. The students felt that the Student's Council was fairly successful in academics, liberalization of SMU, saving money, expression, and "procrastination". It was generally agreed that leadership during the boycott was unacceptable. Other beefs included poor dances, lack of sound policy, cooperation with the student body and lack of proper decision making.

There was only one "no" when students were asked if they were satisfied with the professors. Three however said that while most profs were competent there were "glaring exceptions".

On the other hand not all students voiced satisfaction with the courses. They felt that there was a need for more seminars; more post-grad studies; that there should not be compulsory courses that are unrelated to the majors and that the social sciences weren't made practical enough.

Religion was the topic in one question. Eleven stated that they were Catholics, three were undecided and 2 professed no religion. It was generally accepted that their college life affected their religion one way or the other, mostly the other way.

Grads were asked what improvements should be implemented at SMU. High on the list was co-education, followed by a graduate school, abolition of the bishop's veto power, a

- Continued on Page 2 -

COURSE EVALUATION

By SIMON ROSENBLUM
S.R.C. MEMBER

Saint Mary's University Student Association is sponsoring a Course Evaluation which will go out to the students beginning March 18. The approach taken in this evaluation will be a novel one as we are following the recommendations of the University of Toronto's MacPherson Report. Thus, the results of the evaluation will not be made known to the students, only to the professors and the administration. Each professor will receive an evaluation only of his own course or courses, the Deans will receive the evaluation of all professors. Since the evaluation will be conducted in this mature, civilized manner, it is expected that professors will implement the necessary changes recommended by the Student Association.

The Students' Council is asking each professor for twenty minutes of class time per course so that students may fill out the questionnaire in class. From past experience we have found out that the only way to get complete participation is to utilize class time.

We would greatly appreciate if you would fill in the questionnaire sincerely and constructively, when it is distributed to you. It is only in this way that the defects of courses may be found out and remedied.

Education - What's Wrong?

By J.P. MILLIGAN

On Tuesday, March 5, Doctor Keith Sutherland summarized his gripes against the educational system with his usual caustic humor. Speaking to a small Bear Pit audience, he contended that the main areas of trouble are the curriculum, the professors, and the administration. The curriculum is based on an accumulation of facts. This basis is not conducive to the ultimate purpose of education. Professors are so busy trying to keep up with the increase in the academic burden that they have trouble dividing their time between their students and their studies. The administration finds itself in the impossible position of trying to arbitrate the disputes between professors and students, as well as keeping the university machinery going.

"Education" must stop being

- Continued on Page 2 -

S.R.C. ROUNDED OUT

All the non-executive positions on the new Student Council were filled last week by acclamation except for the Cultural Affairs post. That post was filled by John Carlos who defeated Tony Morley 137-109.

The new non-executives are as follows: Barbara MacAdam - Arts Representative; Dave Robertson - Commerce Representative; James Matthews - Engineering Representative; Wayne Edgar - Director of External Affairs; Kevin McNamara - Director of Internal Affairs; John Carlos - Director of Cultural Affairs; Gerry Vink - Day Hop Representative; John Parker - CUS Chairman; and J.P. Milligan -

Graduate Students' Representative.

The majority of non-executives are rookies. Wayne Edgar is the lone non-executive holdover from last year and Kevin McNamara's experience as Public Relations Officer will be valuable in his new post as Director of Internal Affairs. John Parker, the defeated presidential candidate, has been President of the highly successful Young Liberals Club during the past year, while J.P. Milligan brings his experience as a well-known rabble-rouser.

The Resident Representative and Science Representative positions are yet to be filled. Any interested student is encouraged to file nomination.



"The horror is to see two men, each man looking at the other, speaking the same language, each breathing and standing on his legs until one man extinguishes the other with only a slight pressure of one finger of his outstretched hand." Shana Alexander

Charter Day March 15

On Friday, March 15, the students will know who their "Man of the Year" is. At that time, the award will be presented in the gymnasium along with Student Leader of the Year Award, Gold and Silver M's, Athletic awards, and various others. Nominations for "Man of the Year" closed, March 8. These nominations are to be filed on a specified form and submitted to the Secretary of the SRC. Each

form is to be signed by two students supporting the particular candidate.

In a referendum on Wednesday, March 13, the students will elect their "Man of the Year" from the list of names submitted for nomination.

Gold and silver M's are given to graduates who, in the opinion of the SRC executive, have merited them through participation in extra-curricular activities.

- Continued on Page 2 -

TRANS CANADA CAMPUS

OCA STUDENTS MARCH ON ONTARIO LEGISLATURE

TORONTO (CUP) -- Feb 28 -- Twelve hundred Ontario College of Art students marched on the Ontario legislature Wednesday, demanding that education minister William Davis reinstate two professors who were fired last week.

Davis listened to a student delegation earlier, in the morning, and appeared sympathetic to their complaints about the college's administration and their desire for a voice in hiring and firing policies and curriculum planning.

He said he wouldn't make any rash decisions, but accepted an invitation to speak at the college Friday (March 1).

A lawyer hired by the Ontario Union of Student claims the contracts of the two drawing and painting instructors, Aba Bayefsky and Eric Freifield, require that notice be given to the education minister before they can be fired.

OCA principal Sydney Watson has disagreed, saying such an interpretation of the contracts "is not correct."

Work at the college has ground to a halt as students boycotted classes Wednesday for the fifth day.

Students claim the two were fired because they actively supported students who charged the college administration with planning to change and water down the fine arts department curriculum, and possibly drop it altogether. OCA also has departments of advertising, design, and other commercial courses. Students claim these get preferential treatment.

Both instructors have also been active in demanding a better deal for the faculty, including more say in basic questions of the art college's basic policies. No official reason was given for the firings.

Student leader John Bowman has been expelled. He has been active in leading the student power campaign. He claims Watson said he would be allowed to finish his course if he ceased his organizing activities, but said he turned down the offer after he learned later in the day the two instructors had been fired.

At the Wednesday rally at the legislature Liberal opposition leader Robert Nixon told the students their demonstration "was one of the freshest. . .since the farmers came up here on their tractors."

NDP MPP Stephen Lewis drew loud applause when he said the OCA administration was promoting "academic despotism".

Lewis said the student march was part of a province-wide movement for student power and academic democracy.

Representatives from the University of Toronto, Ryerson, York, Glendon College, George Brown Community College, and McMaster University in Hamilton spoke to the students and pledged their support in the student power bid.

OCA students face one glaring problem. Their term ends Friday (March 1) when all projects are due. If they're not in by then they will get zero grades.

Some professors have indicated they will put project due dates back if the strike continues beyond Friday.

STUDENTS OK INDUSTRIAL RECRUITING

MONTREAL (CUP) Feb. 28 -- McGill students voted more than two to one in favor of open industrial recruiting on campus Wednesday.

Over 4,000 students cast ballots on two questions: the first, asking the student council to rescind a previous motion calling for non-discrimination in the use of placement centre offices, was defeated 2,960 to 1,426; the second, calling on the university to ban recruiters from companies engaged in production of materials for use in Viet Nam, lost 3,260 to 1,161.

The resolutions fared worst at the McConnell Engineering Building poll where results on the two questions were 578 - 141 and 642-74.

The moral question implicit in the recruiting issue has been debated extensively on campus in recent months.

MORE TRANS CANADA CAMPUS ON PAGE 6

Maritime Campus Store

6184 Quinpool Road

Phone 423-6523

Halifax, N.S.

SMU JACKETS

CRESTS

SWEATERS

SWEATSHIRTS

STUDENT OUTLINES

PAPERBACKS

PREFERRED READING



give them to eat

Matthew 14.16.



share lent '68

MARCH FOR DEVELOPMENT AND PEACE LEAVING S.U.B.

MARCH 17

EDUCATION -

- Continued from Page 1 -

"an aesthetic abstraction." The word should be defined as "a meaningful process of intellectual enlightenment."

So spoke Dr. Sutherland. The answers to this problem of problems are fivefold and obvious:

1. Socialized Education - with the government paying for all education but allowing private groups to administer.

2. Specialization of Curriculum-students should be allowed to decide for themselves what fields they would like to major in, and should stick to those areas. We can no longer produce the "Renaissance man, not to be confused with the Neanderthal man", who knows all there is to know.

3. A New Evaluation Method-students cannot be given marks fairly. How can any professor differentiate between a "49" and a "50"?

4. Consideration of Student Criticism-education is a right, not a privilege, and students have the duty to criticize anything interfering with their right to be educated. However, the methods must be changed. Boycotts are not the answer.

5. Change The Approach-let the colleges become a "legitimate unit of intellectual dissent." Train the student to think, not memorize, so that he will be able to benefit society.

Using humor, sarcasm and his usually elaborate gestures, Dr. Sutherland drove home his two most important points, that "the greatest resource is the human mind" and that the development of this resource depends on the changing university structure.

GRADS -

- Continued from Page 1 -

more responsible and mature SRC and a change in the constitution to give students more power.

The grads were also given a chance to give their advice to incoming freshmen: study hard and get involved as much as possible.

Inevitably, they were asked if

they would come back to Saint Mary's if they had to do it all over again. Nine answered "Yes", four "No", and two "Maybe". Those who answered affirmatively expressed the hope that Saint Mary's would become co-ed, the graduate program would improve and general progress would continue. Those answering "No" gave their reasons as being too much apathy, lack of leadership and too many weak courses.

S.M.U. Trails Mount in Blood-Bleeding

Statistics released by the Saint Mary's CUS Committee show that Saint Mary's trails Mount Saint Vincent in the annual blood-bleeding competition. At the last blood drive held in November, SMU had a 14 per cent turnout while the Mount had 21 per cent, Dalhousie University is last with a 6.8 per cent student turnout for blood donations and Mount Allison tops the list with 43.9 per cent.

On Monday, March 18, the Blood Drive Committee, under Frank Vorstermans, will sponsor another clinic. This clinic will be held from 9:30 to 12:00 and from 1:30 to 4:00 in the Student Union Building No. 7. Five prizes will be given to the win-

ners of a draw, and an inter-faculty competition will be held for the Blood-Bottle Trophy, won last year by the Engineers.

Maritime statistics are the following:

MOUNT A	43.9%
Nova Scotia Technical College	36.2%
Junior College of UNB	30.2%
Prince of Wales college	30.1%
UNB	25.7%
University of Moncton	24.4%
SDU	24%
MSVU	21.9%
St. F.X.	20.3%
Acadia	15.3%
SMU	14%
Dal	6.8%

SILVERMAN'S LTD.

The Music Department Store
1645 Barrington St., Corner Sackville

10% DISCOUNT TO ALL STUDENTS

CONTACT LENSES

H.W. MacKINNON Ltd. Dispensing Opticians

AND ACCESSORIES

HALIFAX - 5990 SPRING GARDEN RD, 423-7879

6038 QUINPOOL RD, 422-5949

DARTMOUTH - 139 PORTLAND ST, 466-7518

WHERE YOUR DOCTOR'S PRESCRIPTION IS PRECISELY FILLED



From The Editors **DESK**

Will SRC be relevant?

The new Student Council took office on March 1 with nine of thirteen positions filled by acclamation. Two remain unfilled. With some reflection, we realize how serious a situation this is.

The root of the problem lies with the ineffectual nature of student government on this campus and also, the widespread apathy of the student body, the one being the cause of the other. Student government should be the centre of campus activity, not a separate entity. When it becomes alienated from the student body, the students then lose consciousness of this most important aspect of university life. Since student government no longer represents their aspirations, they pursue their interests outside the community of Saint Mary's. Let their enthusiasm be channelled into activities here on campus!

In other words, the student body is composed of a melange of cliques with no unifying purpose. The Resident Society has probably more real enthusiasm for the university than any other campus society since it is campus-oriented. Yet, there is only one resident student on the Student Council and the Resident Representative position still remains unfilled! Why? Obviously, the Resident Society can get along quite well without the Day-Hop dominated Student Council. It should be the purpose of student government to unite these groups and give them a common direction and not act merely as a benevolent agency for the dispersal of funds and the overseeing of social events. There is a latent enthusiasm for the Alma Mater which permeates the whole student community. It just needs to be tapped. Witness the recent basketball game against Dalhousie!

If student government is to have any meaning on this campus, it must become a truly representative body by arousing that Santamarian spirit. Otherwise, the Student Council becomes 14 individuals with the sole function of speaking for no one except themselves.

The grim plight of student government has been accentuated this year with 10 candidates being acclaimed to their positions on the Student Council. This figure represents 70% of the members of the Student Council who are there by merely filing for nomination. The blame reverts to the student body for allowing such a situation to exist. It's a mad circle: who's to be blamed - the Student Council or the student body. We believe that no single individuals can shoulder the responsibility. The fault lies with the collective student community.

Students are supposedly in the avant-garde of social and political awareness but on this campus, such an assumption would seem rather ludicrous. In a few years, these same young people will be taking up roles in society, and yet how can they be expected to cope with the responsibilities that democracy entails? The students here are not being trained as thinking individuals; but, they are being conditioned to fit into a comfortable elite - the products of an academic factory. Student government on this campus is a mere term that has no concrete value and its supposed function of training students for democracy is sheer illusion.

The new Student Council faces a paramount task in overcrowding its most obvious hurdle... its irrelevance. To its members, we offer our fervent desire that something be done. Don't look to the students at large; the inspiration must come from yourselves. There are 1,200 students crying for leadership and a common resolve can be achieved: the boycott has demonstrated this.

Student power can be a striking reality. But there can be no student power without leadership.

Letters to the editor

Dear Editor:

Each week I look forward to your JOURNAL with great eagerness and so far have enjoyed every page. But after reading the Feb. 15 issue I feel compelled to voice my opinion on your Literary Supplement. Both pages are beautifully laid out and my praise goes to your Layout Editor. But why should Mr. John Pritchard have the right to display three of his poems and Mr. Sherman Hines three of his photographs? Surely there were other students who had pictures and poems equally as good if not better and I know for a fact of other students who submitted poems but they were not printed. WHY? (I understand Mr. Pritchard was in full control over the whole thing and devised it.) How could one have the audacity to do such a thing? Why hog the paper with such large photos? They could have been cropped to allow space for other student's photos and poems, which obviously did not come up to Mr. Pritchard's standards. Is he an authority on poetry? If so, and wants to have his poems displayed, why does he not -

Publish a book for all the

world to see?
Or has he only poems three? He couldn't do much with that, could he?

A LOVER and WRITER of POETRY,
M. Lilly

Editor's Note: We have been requesting literary material from the student body all year long.

Dear Editor:

I hope that the readers of the Saint Mary's JOURNAL could see through the Communist propaganda under a cover of humanity in statements made by Mrs. Barbara Chasin in her interview (with the Journal).

I know fellows from the US at this university; I have met people in the US; I have relatives in Nebraska and California and a girlfriend in Ohio. I disagree that their nation is "the most brutal since Nazi Germany", as Mrs. Chasin insultingly describes the USA.

Four writers in the USSR have been sentenced recently to years in labour camps because they wrote what they thought. Ironically, Mrs. Barbara Chasin is still teaching at Saint Mary's University and preaching the substitution of Communism for our sy-

stem.

We do not have the ideal solution to the Vietnam War, but I certainly do not think that the problem should be solved by letting the Communists overrun another country.

Tony Navratil
Engineering 2

115 Purcell's Cove Road,
Armdale, Nova Scotia,
February, 29, 1968.

Editor, Saint Mary's Journal,
Halifax, Nova Scotia.

Dear Sir:

I wish to comment on the condition in which the Student Union Building is in most of the time. Just about every time I venture to that part of the campus I am very nearly nauseated by the dirt, garbage and cigarettes on the floors and tables. I think that such a display of irresponsibility is unfair to the staff in charge of cleaning the area and is surely a disgrace to the students of our university.

I think that an addition of ashtrays to the area would greatly help the situation but the most beneficial change would be the addition of courtesy and common sense on the part of the students. I'm sure that students do not extinguish cigarettes on their floors at home, but on many occasions, I have seen students put them out on the S.U.B. floor, (even S.R.C representatives). Most of the time there are many waste containers in both of the dining areas within the S.U.B. and I'm sure it's not much more work to use them.

It is, I believe, an offence punishable by fine to create such a condition on campus; but, in spite of this, the condition still exists in our building. It is sad that such rules have to be made in a university but if so, the fines should be raised and the rules enforced until the situation is cleared up.

I hope that this will focus the attention of the right people in the right place soon (the guilty students, not the C.P.'s). It is hard to believe that a student body, apparently very concerned with having more say in the running of their university, could let their own building become such an inexcusable disgrace.

Sincerely,
G.R. Pierce
Engineering 1.

Saint Mary's University
JOURNAL

WEEKLY NEWSPAPER OF THE SAINT MARY'S STUDENTS' ASSOCIATION, Saint Mary's University. Phone 423-8551. Opinions expressed in the JOURNAL are not necessarily those of the Administration or of the Students' Association. The JOURNAL is a member of the Canadian University Press.

- EDITOR-IN-CHIEF Reid Barry
- ASSOCIATE EDITOR Timothy Sullivan
- NEWS EDITOR Tim McGee
- SPORTS EDITOR Steve Soward
- LAYOUT EDITOR Wayne Garland
- C.U.P. EDITOR Chris Rose
- CARTOONIST E. (Skip) Dewling
- BUSINESS MANAGER Vince Purcell
- ADVERTISING MANAGER David Steeves
- CIRCULATION MANAGER David Steeves
- PHOTOGRAPHERS Don Kane,
John R. MacNeil

Staff: Jim Haliburton, Simon Rosenblum, Ray Webb, John Parker, Cliff White, Jerry Vink, Stephen Bennett, Tom O'Leary, Cathy Fillmore, Pat Whitman, Phyllis Semenic, John Pritchard, Anthony Nowosad, Emerson Binder.

YOU'RE NEW

HERE...



BARRY JOHNSTON
Treasurer



MIKE O'SULLIVAN
President



SIMON ROSENBLUM
Vice-President



JOHN CARLOS
Director of Cultural Affairs

19



LOUIS DURSI
Secretary

68



JOHN PARKER
C.U.S. Chairman



GERRY VINK
Day Hop Representative



KEVIN McNAMARA
Director of Internal Affairs



WAYNE EDGAR
Director of External Affairs

19



BARBARA MacADAM
Arts Representative

69



J.P. MILLIGAN
Graduate Students' Representative

S



JAMES MATTHEWS
Engineering Representative

R



DAVE ROBERTSON
Commerce Representative

C

Dear Aunt Peggy

11 Feb 68
Vung Tau V.N.
BRM 6231

Dear Aunt Peggy

I would have received the mail earlier but with all of the action in Saigon there weren't any planes leaving or landing. It seems the V.C. have put up a big front in all of Vietnam but they seem to be repulsed by the Armed Forces here. We are now under attack but as of yet, we haven't been put on alert. From here, I can see rifle fire and artillery going off. If the V.C. break into the port area where I am, we'll be moved out by a tug as soon as possible. Last week, the Australians and Americans combined forces and killed over 500 V.C.

We are supposed to make a trip to Saigon in about 4 days. I don't know what will happen because every trip is top secret. The last time we went to Can Tho in the Mekong Delta and we didn't even know until 3 hours before we pulled into the port. On most of the trips we know ahead of time but sometimes for security reasons we aren't told. On the trips down to the Mekong we received sniper fire but luckily, no one on board has been hit. The closest round hit the bow of the barge.

It was nice to hear that all my cousins are doing well and are healthy. I hope that Steve doesn't have to come here.

I have eaten quite a bit of Vietnamese food and most of it is good. I have eaten so much rice and fish I think I'm developing slanted eyes. The Army tries to discourage GI's from eating it but if you watch the preparation of the food carefully its OK.

The barge that I'm on is 120 ft. long and about 30 ft. wide. We can hold up to 350 tons of food. We have 4 boxes which measure 25 ft. x 10 ft. x 15 ft. We usually keep 2 boxes with frozen products - steak, pork, ham, etc. In the other 2 boxes are chilled foods - oranges, apples, butter, etc. Every time we come into a port the GI's can't wait to get the food unloaded. In Can Tho we pulled in with 300 tons of meat and were unloaded in 2 days. The guys were eating C rations. We had about 200 cases of oranges and apples and half were eaten by the time they got to the mess hall. On the barge we have 6 men each with a specific job. One man takes care of the generators; one man takes care of the units to keep the boxes cold and I have 2 men under me who take care of the maintenance of the barge. The only problem we have is that now we don't have any men to take care of the units because it's such a critical job over here that they have to fly a man from the States over here for us. At first when I came on board I didn't like it



SAFE-CONDUCT PASS TO BE HONORED BY ALL VIETNAMESE GOVERNMENT AGENCIES AND ALLIED FORCES
이 안전보장패스는 월남정부와 모든 연합군에 의해 인정된 것입니다.

รัฐบาลเวียดนามและหน่วยพันธมิตร ยินดีให้เกียรติแก่ผู้ถือบัตรผ่านปลอดภัยนี้.

because I was on a tug boat in Cam Ranh and I like to move around but now it's getting around to the point that it's getting better.

I hope to be home around May 16 but most of us leave a couple of days earlier. Since I will be here 16 months instead of the 12 month tour, I will be eligible for a discharge. I sure do wish I could stop by and see you all. I plan to see Joanie (sister) up in New York and I will stop by to see you.

We have just been put on alert, I'll continue later.

Well we made out OK, 16 V.C. got onto the pier 3 hours ago and about 6 boats beside us fixed upon them and killed all 16. They were met with such fire that they couldn't possibly get away alive.

I hope President Johnson doesn't put through the bill to extend all Army personnel because of the Korean Conflict. I would hate to go home for a month and then have to come back either to here or Korea to fight again.

I have to end here and relieve the man on guard so that he can

get some sleep.

Thank you for writing.

Richie

PS In this letter you will find 2 safe conduct passes for the V.C. to use to give themselves up to the government. They are dropped from planes around the Delta Area.

The Journal would like to thank Stephen Bennett, resident student, for allowing us to print this letter from his cousin in Vietnam.

SAFE-CONDUCT PASS TO BE HONORED BY ALL VIETNAMESE GOVERNMENT AGENCIES AND ALLIED FORCES

MANG TÂM GIẤY THÔNG HÀNH
này về cộng tác với Chính Phủ
Quốc Gia các bạn sẽ được :

- Đón tiếp tử tế
- Bảo đảm an ninh
- Giải ngộ tương xứng



Nguyễn Cao Kỳ



TÂM GIẤY THÔNG HÀNH NÀY CÓ GIÁ TRỊ VỚI TẤT CẢ CƠ - QUAN
QUÂN CHÍNH VIỆT - NAM CỘNG - HÒA VÀ LỰC - LƯỢNG ĐỒNG - MINH.

Birth Control Committee

(CUP) - Student's Council last night voted to establish a Birth Control Committee "to deal with the topic of contraception as it relates to the McGill student" and mandated it to set up an Information Centre in the Union.

In addition to providing information "about contraceptive methods and devices, their availability, practicality, and effectiveness," the committee, under Nicole Leduc (Women's Union) will also "compile and distribute, on a mass level," a Handbook with pertinent, medically approved articles on all aspects of birth control.

The motion, forwarded by Julius Grey (Arts and Science), was drawn from the report by ex-SC rep. Peter Foster who had been mandated by Council last December to look into the birth control situation on campus. It passed 11-0 with 4 abstentions.

Although the establishment of a clinic, qualified to distribute devices would be preferable, Foster noted, "a gynaecologist to staff it would be impossible to find" be-

cause of lack of personnel willing to work in such a clinic.

The Committee will also sponsor a seminar on "Morality and the McGill Student" next month.

Councillors also accepted a method of selecting delegates to next month's UGEQ Congress, approved Council portfolio and External Affairs appointments, set up a Constitution Committee and established a Kidd-Sharp Loan Fund.

The Council agreed to have each school and faculty with the exception of postgraduate studies appoint its own delegates to the upcoming UGEQ congress, with one rep. for each four hundred students or fraction thereof. In the case of the PGSS, the delegates will be chosen by the Postgrads and formally approved by the Council.

The new selection method, suggested by UGEQ's Conseil Juridique Permanent, bases representation on the "unite de base" that is, the individual faculty.

The three executive officers will also be delegates.

Research is vital to imperialism

Reprint from McGill Daily
By MICHAEL KLARE

In order to administer an empire efficiently it is necessary to construct an apparatus for collecting, analyzing and acting upon information concerning foreign territories.

From the Roman to the British empires, ruling elites have found it necessary to create institutions capable of supporting such operations and to establish a professional caste skilled in the arts of language, foreign trade and international politics. Without such an apparatus, no empire can deal with the human conflicts inherent in domination and exploitation.

Since 1900, and especially after World War II, the U.S. power elite has become extremely conscious of the need to develop such a research apparatus in order to better manage its burgeoning empire. This has been reflected in the organization and operation of every major U.S. institution: corporations, government agencies and labor unions have all been modified in the interests of overseas expansion. The academic world in particular has been reconstructed to aid in the administration of the new empire.

Specialized schools

The 19th century college has been transformed into a multiversity with specialized schools of business, law, diplomacy, journalism, languages and government. Since World War II, specialized schools have been set up, such as Columbia University's School of International Affairs, to train experts in "international administration" for government agencies engaged in overseas operations. The need for sophisticated modern weaponry has led to the creation of quasi-autonomous university research installations entirely dependent upon military contracts. As the U.S. empire has expanded further into the third world, universities have been called upon to utilize their expertise to gather and interpret information on unfamiliar societies and to assist in the penetration and manipulation of these societies.

By expanding their "research" activities, universities now perform several functions which are crucial to the maintenance of the empire. These include technological innovation, particularly in the area of sophisticated weaponry; training of highly skilled managerial personnel; collecting and processing the information needed for policy formulation; indoctrination of native elites; providing "cover" for secret operations of the CIA and other agencies; and directly administering the government's overseas operations. The modern U.S. university, in fact, constitutes the nucleus of the research apparatus of U.S. imperialism.

War-inspired advances

This research apparatus was originally constructed during World War II when many universities collaborated with the War Department in the establishment of large scientific installations which did much of the wartime weapons research. In the interests of security many of these installations (like Massachusetts Institute of Technology's Lincoln Laboratories) were usually administratively as well as geographically remote from their parent academic institutions. Working at such laboratories, university scientists achieved a number of war-inspired technological advances, such as the atomic bomb, modern radar and the first missiles.

By the end of the war, many of these research establishments - originally planned as temporary operations - had developed into sizeable institutions with extensive facilities and administrative staffs. The scientists and administrators associated with these installations had meanwhile come to enjoy positions of some influence and prestige at the centers of power in Washington and at the Pentagon, positions that they were loath to abandon. Since these researchers enjoyed the generals' confidence, and since for the most part their activities were financed by the government, it is not surprising that after the war many of the larger research establishments were reorganized as permanent institutions.

This postwar development was in full accord with the needs of the power elite. Following World War II, the U.S. empire was concentrated in Western Europe and felt itself threatened by the Soviet Union. University research was therefore geared toward the destruction of industrial societies and was manifest in the policy of massive nuclear retaliation. This research was generally carried on at semi-autonomous research installations like Michigan's Willow Run Labs, the University of California's Lawrence Radiation Laboratory at Berkeley and Johns Hopkins' Applied Physics Laboratory.

Fat contract

As defense appropriations soared, ambitious researchers - many of them associated with defense contractors as consultants - were able to secure impressive research contracts from the government. As a consequence, some of the research installations began to approach in size and budget the universities of which they are nominally a part. The University of California at Berkeley, for instance, operates the Atomic Energy Commission's Lawrence Radiation Laboratory and the Los Alamos, N.M., Scientific Laboratory, installations which together have plant facilities worth over half a billion dollars and an annual operating budget, in 1966, of \$265 million - an amount which exceeds the total educational budget of many states.

The development of autonomous research institutes has created unprecedented opportunities for university scientists and analysts. Enjoying the patronage of generals and policy-makers, sought after by aerospace corporations as consultants, largely absolved from teaching and other academic responsibilities, these scientists have profited from a research apparatus which links together government, industry and academia. In fact, when one penetrates into this research nexus, the distinctions between the various academic and nonacademic components disappear altogether.

THE U.S. PRESIDENCY - WILL CONGRESS HAVE TO CHOOSE THE NEXT ONE?

By BOB CHODOS
Canadian University Press

In increasing numbers, Americans are looking nervously at their tattered copies of the United States Constitution to see what it really says about what happened when no candidate for the presidency gets an absolute majority of the votes in the Electoral College.

What it says is this: "...and if no person have such majority, then from the persons having the highest numbers not exceeding three on the list of those voted for as President, the House of Representatives shall choose immediately, by ballot, the President. But in choosing the President, the votes shall be taken by States, the representation from each State having one vote; a quorum for this purpose shall consist of a member or members from two-thirds of the States, and a majority of all the States shall be necessary to a choice."

Incredibly, one has to go back one hundred and forty-four years to find an election in which no person had such a majority; in 1824 the House ignored an Electoral College plurality for Andrew Jackson and chose John Quincy Adams. Since then, the political inertia of the American people along with the built-in biases of the system have sufficed to keep this anomalous provision from ever being used. Until recently, only political scientists had been more than dimly aware of its existence.

But now, no discussion of the upcoming election is complete without mention of the possibility of its being decided in the House of Representatives. Perhaps because even hardened observers of the American political scene find the prospect of a Lyndon Johnson-Richard Nixon-George Wallace campaign too depressing to write about, most of the major columnists have taken a look at it. What they have seen provides little consolation.

First, the section of the Twelfth Amendment quoted above is vague enough to make Paul Martin talking about Canada's policy toward China sound crystal clear. The main difficulty lies in the word 'immediately'. At the time the vote in the Electoral College will take place, in mid-December, the new House of Representatives will have been elected but not yet sworn in; members of the House elected in 1966 will still hold office. If 'immediately' is interpreted literally then the new President will be elected by that House. Common sense says that the House elected in 1968 should choose the President. The difference could be crucial if, as is far from unlikely, the Republicans capture control of the House in November. Who become President could then depend precisely on which House of Representatives made the decision; a Democratic outgoing House would be unlikely to decide on the basis of fairness and common sense to leave it to its newly-elected Republican successor.

Another complication is the statement that "a majority of all States shall be necessary to a choice." It is conceivable that George Wallace could prevent the election's being decided not only in the Electoral College, but in the House as well. Wallace has already said what he would do under these circumstances; he would make a covenant (i.e. deal) with one of the candidates, throwing that candidate his support in exchange for certain unspecified concessions, no doubt in the area of civil rights. In other fields, of endeavor, as James Reston has noted, such tactics are usually termed blackmail, but here it's just politics.

There are other possibilities as well. The Vice President, when there is no majority, is chosen by the Senate, which is Democratic and will remain so after this election. Richard Nixon as President and Hubert Hum-

phrey as Vice President? Or, more improbably, Eugene McCarthy and Ronald Reagan? It becomes easy to see why in 1968 election punditry is a more popular game than ever.

Difficult though it may be to take the American election campaigns seriously, this is no joke. If Nixon is nominated, as now appears inevitable, Americans will be offered a choice among three men representing varying degrees of war hysteria. There is one thing that the American political system has always done well, and that is to avoid tearing the country apart despite the existence of serious divisions between groups of its citizens. The way it has done this has been quite simply not to provide an outlet for the issues that cause these divisions; American presidential elections are instead decided by the most trivial and extraneous factors. The potential disastrous consequences of this sort of arrangement have usually been avoided because, at bottom, a remarkable degree of consensus on fundamentals has always existed in this country, and such mechanisms as the Electoral College have only served to provide a further smoothing effect.

But when thousands of people feel that their only hope of obtaining justice lies in shooting people and burning down buildings, and when thousands more are ready to break laws because they feel their country's foreign policy has lost all touch with sanity, it is a sign that the broad consensus is beginning to tear at the seams. And if the political system's response is first a choice among Johnson, Nixon and Wallace and then the election of a president by a confused and patently undemocratic method, the tragicomic events that will result could deal this country a blow far more serious than anything the National Liberation Front has yet been able to come up with in Viet Nam.

Editor and President to be paid \$2000

Reprinted from The Badger Assembly president, Michael John Wheeler, and Badger Editor, Bob Mutart, agreed that it was about time they were paid for the positions they hold.

At 3 a.m. last Monday night, a resolution was passed 8-3-1 which made provisions for salaries of \$2000 per year to be paid to The Badger Editor and the BUSA President. The payments will be made retro-active to September, 1966.

Peter Dixon, Minister of Finance, said that cheques would be sent out shortly to Ian Beddis and Michael Allan Charles (past BUSA Presidents) and to Michael Wheeler. Badger Editors Bob Mutart, Frank Reynolds and Bill Hogan would receive their cheques shortly.

The debate on this motion was furious and long-winded.

A full complement of BUSA men were on hand last Monday night to debate the advantages and disadvantages of paid student positions.

The meeting was running smoothly and it seemed as if it would be one of the shortest on record — 45 minutes, when President Wheeler proposed the resolution that sent the debate into the early morning hours. Mr. Wheeler said that it was only reasonable that certain student positions should be salaried. After all, the positions of Badger Editor and Assembly President were very demanding ones. These positions require an inordinate amount of time and effort on the part of the office-holders and as such, these people should receive some form of re-

muneration.

For example, "The Badger Editor works as much as 75 hours a week putting out a newspaper... and I know myself that the job of president is one which is very exacting and time-consuming. These posts should definitely be paid ones."

He cited several other offices which should be remunerated; most notably the jobs of Minister of Finance, BUSA secretary, and the Yearbook Editor.

The objections were multitudinous as insults and accusations flew back and forth across the conference table located high up in the 14th floor of the Tower. Richard Teal, Sophomore Rep. accused Mr. Wheeler of being Anti-Social. He thought that it would be better if a committee be formed to investigate the entire theory of capitalistic expenditure. Mr. Teal was vehement in his opposition to Mr. Wheeler.

Mr. Gerry Cooper, ex-Minister of External Affairs, and now official cross-examiner, asked Mr. Teal who should head this special committee. Mr. Teal replied; "Whoever is in charge of Porch Clubs appears to have been successful, I think that he should be chairman." Mr. Cooper was flabbergasted. Evidently, he had never heard of Porch Clubs and wondered if it was Brock's Centennial Project.

Peter Dixon, Minister of Finance, revealed that the BUSA treasury was incapable of supporting paid students. However, Tom Bremner, Vice-President, argued that the student fees could be raised to cover the added expenditure. Calvin Hayes, Senior

Rep., felt that a referendum should be held to decide any increases in student fees. Therefore, any motion for palm-greasing should be tabled until such a referendum could be held. Mr. Wheeler objected strenuously to the label of palm-greasing: "It is not a question of payola but of enticing the best men into the most difficult posts. Some students sacrifice their academic pursuits to serve the students. A salary would help to defray the costs of a lost year - academically - that is."

Mr. John Ingram, Junior Rep., accused the salary-mongers of crass commercialism. "They knew what they (Pres. & God) were in for when they accepted their jobs. It seems rather soporific to desire to be paid now." However, Frank Reynolds, Minister of Publications disagreed. He said that these students work for their fellow students when they could be working at a part-time job earning cash for living expenses. But by serving the student body, these men forfeited the opportunity to make money.

At this point BADGER cartoonist WES yelled out "smut". Douglas Chapman, Chairman, censored him for his passionate outburst and had him evicted from the room. "Such conduct is unbecoming to a Brock University student".

Mr. Reynolds continued; "I believe that a salary of \$2000 per year would not be unreasonable, especially for the number 1 and number 2 posts on campus". He did not specify which was which.

After rehashing the pros and cons of the motion he concluded;

ON CAMPUS

LECTURE ON TEILHARD DE CHARDIN

A lecture on the evolution theorist, Pierre Teilhard de Chardin, will be given by Louis Barjon on Tuesday, March 19 at 8:00 P.M. in Theatre B.

Father Barjon, a French Jesuit, and editor of the review "Etudes" in Paris, was a personal friend of Pierre Teilhard de Chardin. The lecture should be of particular interest to French students since the lecture will be given in French.

Trans Canada Campus

POT, ACID BARRED FROM LOYOLA CAMPUS

MONTREAL (CUP) - - Pot, acid and their associates have been officially barred from the Loyola College campus.

Pushing of these drugs at Loyola may subject a student to instant dismissal.

No penalty has been established for possession. In capsule form, this is the policy on drugs released last week by the Loyola administration.

In announcing the policy, Dean of Students Rev. Gerard McDonough warned of the legal and possible medical dangers of possession, use or selling of the drugs.

"The administration does not feel," he added, "that there is a major epidemic of drug consumption or sale on campus." Father McDonough told Canadian University Press Thursday the RCMP gave him the name of a Loyola student who was thought to be pushing pot on a local high school campus.

"But", he said, "the RCMP are definitely not on campus." At least two Loyola students have reportedly been arrested by the mounties for violation of the federal drug laws which prohibit both possession and pushing.

Both students were given suspended sentences. McDonough pointed out that "a student given a suspended sentence has a criminal record which bars him from all professional schools -- engineering, law, and dentistry."

He said this is not a provincial or federal statute, but rules of the schools themselves.

LITTLE REMORSE FELT BY DAILY EDITORS

MONTREAL (CUP) - - Critical words and little remorse were issued Thursday in the wake of the McGill senate discipline Committee's "reprimand" of the Daily editors.

Supplement editor Pierre Fournier labelled the decision "an attempt to balance pressure from students and faculty on the one hand and business and the mass media on the other - - it was a political decision."

He found it "appalling and dishonest" that they did not define the standard of decency which formed the basis of their judgement.

"The committee had no choice in finding us guilty or not guilty," he said. "If they had found us not guilty it would have been a vote of non-confidence in principal H. Rocke Robertson and would have destroyed the solidarity of the university."

Daily editor Peter Allnutt said the senate committee echoed the decision of the student committee.

"It is clear," he said, "that if the administration had let students manage their own affairs there would have been little difference in the outcome."

One point puzzles me, Allnutt said, "On the one hand the committee says it is not a censorship board, and on the other it says the article is indecent and unacceptable."

"Figure that out."

UBC COUNCIL OPPOSES "RACIST" MARDI GRAS PROGRAM

VANCOUVER (CUP) - The student council has shot down the fraternity Mardi Gras program at the University of British Columbia.

Council president Shaun Sullivan urged all students to boycott the program, whose theme

is Dixieland, because it exhibits "racial prejudices".

Protests have been received from the Student Non-violent Coordinating Committee (SNCC), the B.C. Association for the Advancement of Colored People, and the student council, and several negro students.

"It is not a question of greed and "dagnabitall" were uttered but one of necessity, I am in complete agreement with Mr. Wheeler." The debate continued for several more hours until finally an exhausted Geof Eden, Frosh

in which cries of "Hear! Hear!" Rep., called for a vote.

The Maritimes Musical Headquarters

HEINTZMAN PIANOS
MUSICAL INSTRUMENTS
RADIOS - T. V. - STEREO
APPLIANCES - RECORDS
SPORTING GOODS

1678 BARRINGTON ST.
HALIFAX, N. S.
TEL. 423-1316

BRANCH
DARTMOUTH SHOPPING CENTRE
TEL. 469-7957

10% DISCOUNT TO STUDENTS
PHINNEY'S CO. LIMITED

JOURNAL

SPORTS

For Sale: NHL

The National Hockey League Board of Governors, instigated by its conniving president, Clarence Campbell, has repeatedly revealed its pathetic lack of national loyalty and obligation by continually selling out to the demands of moneyed American interests. The list of traitorous, though often subtle, deeds grows longer every year.

By now all faithful hockey fans are painfully aware that the "expansion" of the NHL has initiated the demise of the purest and most talented hockey league in the world. The once-exclusive and respected NHL has allowed itself to become dangerously watered down and very thinly spread. Formerly the low number of teams was inductive in concentrating highly superior talent. Now that the league has doubled in size we realize with repulsive shock the low grade, monotonous, drab and disgusting game that is carried on by expansion teams. This despicable situation would be less despairing if the high calibre hockey of the "original six" had been unaffected. Far from it. Players who had not yet reached their full potential on these teams were drafted into the new teams, thereby depleting the vital stock of young upstarts. As has been demonstrated only too clearly this season these clubs have no players to fall back on when the veterans are injured.

It is no secret that the real reason for expansion was not, as Campbell tried to convince us, to allow more players a chance at the illustrious NHL. Instead it was a cleverly contrived plot aimed at getting U.S. television to acquire broadcast rights in the States with the result that the aristocratic boards of governors would be assured of continuing financial harvests. This same philosophy was the basis for the refusal of the board to grant expansion franchises to as equally well-equipped Canadian cities (such as Vancouver or Ottawa). Montreal and Toronto have the Canadian TV rights all sewed up. To allow additional Canadian clubs into the league would force these two cities to suffer financial setbacks. I seriously question the integrity of a board of governors whose prime motivation is not a love of hockey but a lust for money.

In their mad grab for cash the greed of the governors seems to have superceded what little intelligence and common sense they possessed. It seems incredible that the board of governors could so naively presume that deep interest and true appreciation of the game would be easily developed merely by exposing it to the American public. It is a gross understatement to say that the American public is addicted to the three big national games: football (both college and professional); basketball (also college and pro); and baseball. All three sports, whose seasons either begin or conclude during the entire NHL season including playoff time, are carried extensively on 3 national television systems. Speaking from first hand observation this writer cannot foresee any possibility of fan interest being raised to even a fraction of that in Canada.

Another obvious factor which was so blatantly overlooked by the NHL governors is actual participation. Most American kids haven't even worn a pair of hockey skates let alone having played the game in any form or organized league. Those who do play, generally high school and college kids, are bound by international rules. As a result few players can make it to the NHL. The governors' naive prediction of more Americans (there are only 2 on the league roster this year) attaining the ranks of the NHL in the near future is totally inaccurate. It's as stupid as trying to foster basketball to the pigmies of Malaya.

Now once again hockey fans are confronted with another devastating decision against hockey. At its meeting in New York recently it was announced that the annual All-Star classic would be drastically altered. Instead of putting the Stanley Cup Champions against the finest players in the rest of the league for a game that saw "the best against the best", the board of governors has ruled that All-Star games in the future would be composed of the best players in the Eastern Division versus the best players in the Western Division. Again the lust for more fat contracts from U.S. television was the deciding factor.

It becomes obvious that the future of hockey is dependent upon the demands of Yankee television. The board of governors is now a rubber stamp activated by the lure of cold cash. It becomes a cause for national alarm when Canada's national sport is being sold out to the Yanks. It is a vital necessity in this crucial period of Canadian history that we preserve and fortify our national identity. If we lose this unique Canadian tradition of hockey, then an important part of being a Canadian will have been lived in vain.

SMU - 78 UWO - 74

W - L - 66 SMU - 62

Waterloo-Lutheran Champs, Huskies Second Best In Nation

Jubilant Saint Mary's Huskie fans last Thursday night saw the Maritime Intercollegiate Basketball League winners gain a berth in the National finals at Antigonish. Saturday night even a greater and more expectant caravan found its way into the usually tranquil cathedral town to see the Huskies play for their first national crown.

The events surrounding the Huskies berth in the Canadian Championships are by now quite familiar to all and climaxed an uphill climb to the nonetheless important Maritime hoop crown.

Behind the outstanding rebounding of later-named tournament all-star, Al Brown, SMU squeezed by the favourite University of Western Ontario Mustangs 78-74 on the tourney's opening night.

Big Al snagged 24 big rebounds to lead the game in which the Huskies led overall 56-35. Brown also dropped in 15 points to be led only by Jim Daniels with 18 and Joe O'Reilly with a game-high 21. Rookies Bill Thomas and Dennis Reardon rounded out the high-scoring five with 10 apiece.

Although SMU trailed by five, 41-36, at the half it was not indicative of their play. UWO had been tough and promised to be even more so but steady play by co-captain Mike Van Auken who led the first half rebounding kept them in there.

The win was climaxed only in the dying minutes as the Huskies came back to hoop over 20 straight points while holding the Mustangs scoreless. Steady

shooting and rebounding by Daniels, Brown and O'Reilly finally pulled the Huskies ahead to stay.

The Mustangs had been highly favoured to walk off with all the marbles because of the efficient manner in which they knocked off defending champs Windsor Lancers in their season league play.

Saturday night things changed and the Huskies cooled off. They were just cool enough to allow mentor Howard Lockhart a chance to employ his stall tactics whenever the Huskies threatened to take away the early Waterloo-Lutheran lead.

But coaching was not the leading element which led Waterloo-Lutheran Golden Hawks to the title. It was a combination of the hot-shooting displayed by Peter Misikowetz and the snappy ball-handling the Hawks showed overall. If you add to this the generally poor rebounding and shooting of the Huskies you get the clear picture.

Aside from Al Brown's rebounds and several "stuffs" the rest of the team seemed to be suffering. Its difficult to determine but the truth of the loss does not lie directly with the Huskies.

The stall, as mentioned, was employed by the Hawks whenever the losers threatened as they did on several occasions. It beat favoured UBC the night before and probably killed SMU in the final. The key to the stall was little Sandy Nixon, the Hawks backcourt wizard whom the all-star selection board saw fit to ignore in balloting. They did however pick correctly when they awarded Misikowetz an all-star berth and Most Valuable Player honors.

The 6' 2" guard went beside Nixon in controlling the game and the Huskies and his 25 points were no fluke coming back-to-back with an identical 25 the previous night against UBC.

Back with the Huskies, you will now be able to see that they did anything but give the game away.

Jim Daniels turned in by far the best overall record scoring 18 points, going six for a dozen and 50 percent from the floor and hooping a perfect six-for-six at the charity stripe. He added to this 15 rebounds to trail only teammate Brown in that department, making him an obvious choice for overall team leader.

Junior guard Joe O'Reilly came back with 15 points after leading the Huskies to victory with 21 Thursday night. It was obvious, however, that Joe was hurting at the foul line during the final minutes of the game. In the last minute and a half he was given four foul shots under the bonus rule and made only one of a possible eight. The truth was not obvious however.

During the final four minutes after a riot threatened to break loose behind the Waterloo bench Joe O'Reilly played with a broken hand as well as an obviously injured elbow. The scuffle started when a Waterloo supporter spit on O'Reilly and in return got "busted" by the Huskie star.

One last word, and that is: goodby and best of luck to senior co-captains Jim Daniels and Mike Van Auken who leave the Saint Mary's family after four years of varsity service.

COMING EVENTS

St. Mary's University Engineers

TALENT SHOW

Sunday ---- 8:00

March 17, 1968

ADMISSION \$1.00

ADVANCE \$.75

HALIFAX *Compliments of* SAINT JOHN

OLAND'S BREWERIES

MORRIS Goldberg's
MEN'S AND BOYS' WEAR LTD.

"The Store of Better Brands"
3 STORES TO SERVE YOU

1261 BARRINGTON ST. HALIFAX <i>Men's Wear Only</i>	HALIFAX SHOPPING CENTRE	DARTMOUTH SHOPPING CENTRE
--	----------------------------	------------------------------

**Formal
Wear
RENTALS**

Black or White
Tuxedos and Accessories
Available from Stock

Rubini
OF HALIFAX

2165 GOTTINGEN STREET
429-5936

P L A Z A

**Amusement
Machines
Pool**

1877 Barrington Street
next door
to Peppermint Lounge

Levi's Now at Eaton's



heavyweight, pre-shrunk blue denim
Waist sizes 28 to 36, Price, 7.99

Eaton's mustang shop mall level

EATON'S

Levi's
**SUPER
SLIMS**