

Faction fights slow Council in Senate debate

Verbal debates and faction fights slowed the progress of a Students' Representative Council meeting Monday.

The meeting, discussed a motion passed by the Academic Senate last week that students be granted two seats on that body.

It might have been simple to look at but the SRC hassled it out through two stalled motions and three hours of discussion before tabling the problem till Wednesday.

Last year the SRC thought that the students should accept an offer of 2 seats on the senate just as an interim measure, until the Restructuring Committee handed in their report.

The Senate has offered two seats to the students and SRC President Mike de Verteuil said "it may be beneficial to have students on Senate as soon as possible."

de Verteuil added that the two students on Senate could demand that all future meetings be open to the student body.

If the Senate refused to hold open meetings "it may be good to refuse to sit on Senate until the meetings are declared open" said de Verteuil.

This would bring positive results because "there are some faculty members on Senate who have argued quite strongly on the Senate for student membership."

SRC Treasurer, Francis Fraser argued that the SRC should decline the two seats on Senate

less the students could be guaranteed of having open meetings. In a closed meeting the rest of the Senate could put pressure on the two members "and chances are the students are going to back down in those meetings" he said.

Former SRC vice-president, Simon Rosenblum added "Where students have token membership it has always been shown their representation has not been effective and students' rights in the academic sphere have been subjugated."

by Frank Cassidy

Rosenblum also said "the only use that two senators could serve is that of information." This would inform the students of what went on at Senate meetings. The students could organize and approach the Senate with their demands. If the Senate ignored their demands the students could take stronger action.

Fraser moved that "The SRC should have a referendum by

which the students could, through discussions and seminars, decide on which action should be taken, and vote whether or not Council should or should not accept the two Senate seats.

Fraser added that if a referendum were held only about 30 percent of the students would vote. "The students are not interested in what goes on," he said.

JOURNAL Editor Mike Smith disagreed, "While there may be difficulties in setting up a referendum and of controlling the feelings of the student and of educating the people the difficulties are not as great as people would like to think and the difficulties are certainly not great enough to prevent the attempt," he said.

"The principles involved... are should students accept the closed meeting system and in fact should students sit on any closed decision-making body," said former Science Representative Chris Sabeau.

Sabeau added council should clarify its position so that the Senate would know that Council had the direct backing of the students.

Amending the first motion Fraser said "I move that we use the next 3 weeks as a period to educate our constituents by panel discussions, papers and seminars on the merits or demerits of the situation and after the period the Students' Council meet and then vote as their constituents desire."

After more discussion on the matter, the motion was defeated 5-4. It had been before the council previously in slightly different form. But the vote at

that time was the same.

In that case de Verteuil had chosen to cast his vote in favour of the motion and create a tie. This caused the motion to be thrown back on the floor.

The second time around de Verteuil felt obliged to abide by a majority vote.

"I think that the majority of Council has spoken twice. But, he cautioned "there are some Council members absent."

At this point Vice President Paul Leblanc moved that the SRC accept the two seats and sit

until the report of the committee to restructure the university is brought down.

LeBlanc moved "...that we accept the two seats on Senate with the expressed consideration that with the report of the Restructuring Committee our position on Senate will be reviewed. On entering Senate the students will immediately put forward a motion for open meetings."

Sabeau disagreed, saying "Students have a right to speech before the fact, not after the fact." Continued on Page 5

Confusion in mid-week meeting

Students' Representative Council President Mike de Verteuil has called for a student body meeting on the question of student representatives on Senate. The meeting has been set for 12:30 Wednesday October 15. He said that faculty with classes during that time would be asked not to record absentees.

The move came after a three-hour SRC meeting on the Senate's proposal was adjourned with the question unanswered last night.

During the meeting council was split evenly five members to five and de Verteuil had to break tie after tie in order to keep the

meeting going. (In cases of a tie, the chairman casts a vote.) The factional split and a large amount of parliamentary infighting resulted in confusion and disorder.

After three hours council found itself voting on a motion that they thought had been disposed of three hours before. The motion was Vice President Paul Le Blanc's, intended to ensure that the SRC accept the two seats offered by the Senate. It had earlier been defeated 6-5 but Secretary Susan Mader called for a reconsideration of the vote, which brought the motion to the floor again.

The basic polarity evident in the meeting was that some members considered the Senate proposal tokenism and thought the students should consider the situation carefully. On the other side were five members who felt that the students should work within the confines of the Senate to achieve more representation. They felt this way despite repeated warnings from External Affairs representative Danny Mullally that their hopes were impossible.

Mullally, a member of the committee to restructure the university, says that administrators and faculty will not listen to student representatives unless the students have enough power to sway voting. But others felt differently.

Mader felt that the situation was not as bad as Mullally painted it even though "...two seats isn't (sic) very much."

Continued on Page 5

Student body meet Wednesday 12.30

JOURNAL staff

There will be a JOURNAL staff meeting in the JOURNAL office on Tuesday, Oct. 14. This is the first of the regular staff meetings. Owing to the holiday it will not be held on the Monday. The meeting will occur at 6 o'clock.

Attendance is required by order of the Central Committee of the People's Republic of JOURNAL.

Stop press

SUB stopped

Work halted on the new Students' Centre Monday when local 116 of the Insulator's Union struck contractors in the Metro area. Other unions left construction sites in sympathy.

The Insulators are angry over some clauses in a new contract worked out recently. The Insulators had struck earlier in the summer but returned to work for some time.

A spokesman in the Saint Mary's University administration gave no information about when construction will be resumed.

Russ Lowndes from the Office of Development and Finance, said "I couldn't hazard a guess on that."



Course unions trade unions, say poli. sci. students

by Frank Cassidy

Course unions should act as collective bargaining agents, even if it means going all the way to strike action.

That's what came out of a meeting of Political Science students last week. The students had met to discuss the possibility of forming a course union in their department.

The militant line came from chairman Peter Gregg (Arts 4), who told the meeting that a course union "...could be used as a source of power. CUS and the university administrations are organized at the national level. Why aren't the various departments organized too?"

All seven Political Science profs. were invited to the first

hour of meeting. After 12:30 all profs. were asked to leave, and enable the students to meet in private. Only two profs. showed: Vaison and Chauvin. The other five did not attend. Some were indignant over the wording of the invitation, which laid a time limit on their attendance.

Prof. Vaison said he was in favour of course union and endorsed organizing of course union, course evaluation and student participation in choosing their courses.

Prof. Chauvin said: "Students have a voice in what goes on in the various departments in university."

He also warned the students to "watch your tactics" in obtaining this voice.

"You are exposing yourselves

to dismissal for being out of hand" he added.

Gregg said a professor causes his students to lose interest in the course because of poor choice of texts, and by giving no assignments. The idea is to approach the prof. and inform him of the required changes. If he refuses to listen, the entire faculty should be approached.

Pete Townsend (Arts 4) said if the faculty and administrations refused to negotiate, the students, with the power of the union behind them, could strike and boycott classes until their demands are met.

Townsend then asked: "Is this going to effect the Political Science major or a students taking the subject just for a credit?"

Gregg said it would effect both,

Brian King (Arts 3) asked if the students' demands would effect the concerned faculty or the administration.

Gregg replied that he would like to see amalgamation of the two.

He said a pamphlet was written to advise prospective students what they were getting in to when taking a certain course in Political Science.

JOURNAL staff member Dave MacKinnon (Arts 1) asked "Why weren't these pamphlets downstairs at the time of registration?"

The pamphlets had not been printed at the time, Gregg replied.

CUS field worker Jock Mac-

kay feels that if course union takes place, the student should have access to faculty files.

"Not too many people - only one or two have access to the file, retorted Prof. Vaison.

"I am the Political Science Faculty Secretary and I have never seen the files."

Chauvin suggested that students should, by diplomacy, ask for a degree of control, and not full control.

Gregg concluded that there were many problems yet to be ironed out, and suggested the meeting adjourn until this Wednesday, when the subject will be discussed further.



**From a
Sunny
Dungeon**



By
**STEVE
ANDERSON**

a
thinking
female
puts
it in
PERSPECTIVE

sweet schizophrenia
coming
into me

man outside
trying to get free

chains have tightened
around my thighs,-

i mean eyes.

pass me a kleenex
i'm starting to die,-

i mean cry,
i mean cry!

it

Get down!
Get down!
Oh! Please
get down
Down! Down!
Down! . . .

he got Up
smiled and said
"when you get right
down to it,
It's not really there."

*Keeper
could be
sleeper*

Those of you who enjoyed Joseph Heller's "Catch 22" will certainly enjoy and appreciate CASTLE KEEP. It is a film that isolates war to a specific time and place, making it a part of everyday living. The result is an art form that plays like theatre, is funny (in a black humor sort of way) and definitely surrealistic.

It all adds up to an effective account of how a small band of Americans find themselves defending an ancient castle. The archaic structure is a priceless Belgian monument to tradition and to the arts.

In using the castle as a battleground there evolves the perennial conflict between the pragmatist and the idealist. Are all human values levelled by war? Like "Catch 22", CASTLE KEEP asks pertinent questions but offers no answers.

Naturally enough, ten Americans annihilate several divisions of "stupid Germans." Aside from the stock war cliches, the movie is a good one. An excellent supporting cast help Burt Lancaster in creditably filling an incredible role.

Dungeon needs light

Steve,
Your "Sunny Dungeon" needs some light. I know from talking to you that when you wrote the criticism of Dylan in the number two copy of the JOURNAL, you did not believe in your statements. (1/)

Therefore I feel you were after comments, not praise, so here are a few:

Bob Dylan has not died and "Skyline" does not tell it like it was, but tells it as Dylan NOW sees it. Dylan has once again showed us his genius of change and adaptability which he shares only with the Beatles and maybe Otis Redding.

Like Woody Guthrie, Dylan has been a man alone, and to criticize him you must compare him to someone else. To label him a hillbilly and insult him for it makes no sense to me in a comparison of Dylan and his music, and that was the inference, even if you said it was not.

You say Dylan has told us nothing new, and I can agree to that since his ideas have been expounded for centuries. The thing is, Dylan has finally said them.

Doug Goldsmith
Comm. 3

EDITOR'S NOTE: Thank you for your interpretation, Doug.

"POP" is a flop

From a row in back.
"You gotta be stoned to watch this."
You certainly did!

"MONTEREY POP" should win "suck-in" of the year award. Its biggest claim to any semblance of validity was in providing Haligonians with a glimpse of some of "todays" stars of the R&B scene in action. As a matter of fact there was more action than music.

Jimi Hendrix appeared with his guitar, then smashed it by flailing it all over the stage. All second hand, though, THE WHO destroyed all of their equipment and instruments. It was a vicious battle with some doubt as to the outcome for the first few seconds. Man won out, however, in the singularly human manoeuvre of jumping about two feet above the stage and then crashing down violently, thrusting the defenseless guitars against amps, microphones, and the floor. Both finishing scenes ended in the wailing sounds of dying guitars, leering triumphant smiles of the victors, and a general confusion of sparks, smoke, and noise.

Colorful? You bet your sweet bippie. Hendrix, who can not only play the guitar backwards but left-handed too, had a very green face. Unfortunately, it clashed with his bright blue suit. He wasn't the only colorful character though. Everybody was. They had to be. Colors exploded from the screen as if

they were haphazardly painted on and then flaked off at their own discretion.

I wish Ravi Shankar had flaked off about two minutes after he began. That couldn't happen because Ravi was the cleanest recording they had.

Ever listen to eight minutes of sitar while 600 people chatted freely or munched popcorn all around you? It's a groovy experience.

That fountain of originality, the MAMAS AND PAPAS had the next largest allotment of time. They did three songs. I discovered that Mama Cass IS fat and John is the only one who plays a musical instrument. Wait now, the thin girl shakes a tambourine.

There were some good vibrations. Otis Redding is a beautiful singer. And a beautiful man. He's dead now.

Janis Joplin is plugged into something so large Nova Scotia Light and Power would have trouble in supplying adequate service.

Big Brother, Country Joe, and Canned Heat are all on the same circuit.

The sound track for "Pop" was so bad I thought Eric Burdon's "Paint it Black" was a medieval gravediggers lament. Like I said Shankar had the best tape and he closed the show. At Monterey everybody jumped into a standing ovation. At Halifax I was so tired I had trouble getting out of my seat.

by Ron Parks
**The
Noise
A
BOMB
MAKES
When
Falling**


Soldiers on the paths of glory
Handle armaments unknown.
Shoot the light of angels
Hardly looking
Into eagles moody flight.
The folded sunlight
Cloud on cloud
Escapes the hatching sky.
Backs of birds
Turning glisten
Listen light to sparrows fall
The hawks decision
Over-rides
Flies over
And decides
The great cause, The great eating
Consuming all.
Of our feather will we stagger on.
Our mad man glory
A banner in our beaks.

**PAPA
BULL**

The old lady, cow-eyed
Grazing
Head turning, swaying.
Bull faced - War maker
Husband
Stands half wasted
In the stantion, lowing.
The fresh skinned daughter
Waiting in the fold
A weakened cry
Against a too-soon lover.
A beckon call
With Jesus eyes
Through the long grass dead
The long dead grass
The long-dead----grass.
A whisper hold
On a young heart
Looking
Into the cold gun barrel stare
Of Papa Bull.

Editor's Note

joining me in the dungeon this week is ron parks. ron is from toronto and because he is in project parallel is currently giving some thought to war, and conflict, conflict between the young and the old, a conflict of ideologies, of philosophies, in a word the conflict that evolves from change, his contribution raises the standard on this page immeasurably. his poems, "Papa Bull" and "The noise a Bomb. . ." are worth serious contemplation. . . shut my damn mouth ron. . .



Reasons For Discussion

By JOHN DAIGLE

Not long ago I attended a folk mass in the music room of the residence. The celebrant, Father Wong, discussed (discussed is the correct term), among other related subjects, the right of a man who receives charity (financial in particular) to use it however he wishes. Father Wong used the basic dignity of man as sufficient reason to allow the man's discretion to spend the money however he wishes!

This reasoning can be expanded to include all decisions made by human beings. It is, however, necessary to consider how a specific decision will effect other beings, not only human. To reach a decision that solves our problem and doesn't adversely affect another system, we need knowledge and the capacity to judge what knowledge is relevant in a given situation (reason). Another necessary factor is a thing we call moral sense, best described as reciprocity in human relations.

Knowledge is something acquired in spurts over a lifetime. The best most men can do is gain the fundamentals already catalogued so he knows of the existence of many things. He can

now delve deeply into a few areas if he has the desire or need, and also has reference to any other factors affecting him.

However, there's still a drawback, but not an insurmountable one. Industry has been very successful with assembly line production (and as they long have and still do control government and therefore the educational system), they have imposed these methods in a field where craftsmen are needed.

New systems where individual expression is stressed are being tried on an experimental scale, but most men will continue to leave formal institutions of learning with a great deal of unusable knowledge. Unusable because a man has learned many facts, but has not been trained how to use them. He cannot think!

All is not hopeless, however. Man still has the capacity of speech, and by talking to other men he can learn how to think. It takes a while, and is hard at first. But the change will be noticed.

Discussion is an art. Looking at human existence as it is now, discussion may save us from a lifetime in front of the "boob-tube".



MILES FOR MILLIONS

By TOM McCAUGHEY
JOURNAL Reporter

Exercise Hotfoot 2, on October 25, will follow up Hotfoot 1, the very successful Miles For Millions walks held in Halifax-Dartmouth last year.

A Miles For MILLIONS walk is a device to raise money for world development. Basically a walker is sponsored by friends or relatives, at any amount of money, for each mile that he or she walks.

Last year, \$120,000 was raised in the Halifax-Dartmouth walks. This money was distributed between Oxfam, CUSO, Crossroads Africa, Ghana Medical College, Overseas Book Centre and NSAACP Education Fund.

Funds from Hotfoot 2 will be distributed in the following manner:

- 33.3 percent to the National

Our residences are half empty during the summer months and we have a spacious cafeteria where kids could get some decent meals.

Our stadium and practice field are seldom used for most of the summer. Field events could be held there and they are spacious enough for any kid to run around in and have a ball. A


Walks Committee to be divided among the 14 agency members, 8 percent YMCA World Service 8 percent CUSO 8 percent Crossroads Africa 10 percent NSAACP Education Fund.

The remaining 33.3 percent will be divided with \$8,000 to Committee for Development and Peace for a project in India, \$1,500 to CIASP and \$1,000 to the Overseas Book Centre. The remaining portion will be given to Oxfam.

Director of Hotfoot 2, Jacqueline Lynch said:

"We had about 15,000 walkers last year and we hope to do better people and money-wise this year.

"Judging from the phone calls we have had so far this year, we gather there are a great number of people who remember last year's walk, and are very interested in walking again", she said.



Assistance offered so far, has been good.

"We are getting different church groups to organize check points, and we have perfect cooperation from the two police forces and the R.C.M.P. The radio stations have been great in publicizing the walks for us," she added.

Due to geographical layout of the metro area, it is necessary to have two separate walks on the same day, one 30-miler in each city.

For the less energetic, a Mini-Walk is arranged. This is a

lot better than any street corner. S.M.U. has a gymnasium and a swimming pool, which can be utilized.

The campus is only a few minutes walk from Point Pleasant Park with its nature trails, beaches and barbecue pits.

Funds would have to be raised, but using campus facilities and volunteer recruitment for super-



three mile stroll to the end of Howe Avenue, so there is no reason for anyone not to participate.

Asked if there were any special instructions to walkers, Lynch says: "Bring some food or money with you in case you want to buy something along the way because, although refreshments will be supplied at a few of the check points, we cannot hope to supply everyone with a full-course meal."

And yes, your shoes should be comfortable, preferably an older pair. An extra pair of socks to change into would make the last half of the walk more bearable.

Although application forms are not yet available, there is no harm in getting sponsors - now! The Miles for Millions office is in the weight-lifting room off the Saint Mary's gymnasium. Phone number is 423-4625.

Anyone interested in helping, walking or sponsoring may go in or phone any evening or on weekends.



vision and coaching, costs may not be too great.

If a committee is set up shortly with interested students and administration to look into the feasibility of such an endeavour at least one camp could become a reality next summer.

Suggested Titles: "Why don't we do something." "We can do something."

429-0174

Where to get your entertainment for '69-'70?


ENTERTAINMENT CONTACTS

BOOKING and PROMOTING

Entertainment of All Types in All Price Ranges

AF of M

5820 South St.



Le Château

men's wear Ltd.

2179 GOTTINGEN STREET, HALIFAX, N. S.
PHONE 429-5831

COMING EVENTS

- Saturday 11 football UNB@SMU
- Saturday 11 soccer SMU@UNB
- Tuesday 14 Senior Class Business meeting Theatre B 12:30
- Thursday 16 Guess what happens agin.

HALIFAX

SAINT JOHN

OLAND'S BREWERIES

Graduates, graduate - in action-packed ceremony

One hundred degrees were conferred on graduates of Saint Mary's University Friday in the University's annual Fall Convocation. Four honorary degrees were also presented, by University Chancellor James M. Hayes.

Walter O'Hearn Jr. accepted the degree of Doctor of Letters (honoris causa) awarded posthumously to his father, Walter O'Hearn. The Doctor of Letters was also presented to Thomas Head Raddall, a Canadian author.

Hayes presented the degree of Doctor of Laws (honoris causa) to Chief of General Staff Jean Victor Allard and Nova Scotia Lieutenant-Governor Victor de Bedia Oland.

University President Henry Labelle told the graduates that Saint Mary's University... "has been singularly fortunate in the quality of honorary graduates who have accepted our highest academic award."

He spoke of the problems facing the University. One of these, he said was "...a lack of resources." He called on the Federal Government to fulfill "...it's implied commitment to subsidize more fully higher education."

He considered that the massive increase in enrollment "...a mandate from the people of Nova Scotia... against a total secularization of education."

Labelle spoke of the "...necessity of producing educated men who will have the perspective to make this world a better place to live in."

Later during the ceremonies, Raddall told the graduates that Saint Mary's could hope to produce men who would write as well as or better than the established authors of the past. He described himself as "One of the Old Guard, soon to be gone from the field."



A comedy hour at your expense

Ever been to a university convocation service?

Having frequented several of them at this and similar institutions of higher learning, I have come to regard them with gentle amusement and singular delight. For those who have thus far been lacking in this most rewarding, revealing and relevant facet of a liberal college education, humbly submitting myself as a virtuoso of this most exciting fad, I should like to correct this grievous fault. By briefly recapitulating the various events of our Fall Convocation here at merry olde SMU, I hope thereby to initiate you into one of life's greater, more jolly delights.

After viewing the procession of graduates, (of whom one-fifth - obviously lacking in a sense of humor and a few hours to waste - did not attend the festivities) we were greeted by a divine Invocation by Father Hayes, Chancellor of the University. From there on in the 'serious stuff' began.

Father President commended the university on the 'quality' of our honorary degree holders - if we don't watch out we're soonging to run out of to-notch i.e. 'loaded' financiers, businessmen (slum landlords), politicians (preferably of the Party in Power) and World War II veterans to whom we can Pay Due Honor. Father President, as is his custom, commented that the Presi-

dent's remarks are usually passed over by the spectators of this sparkling spectacle - after viewing the total scene I think that in all humanistic consideration for Father, this trend ought not to be discontinued at the present time.

Our graduates, we were informed, after struggling through "difficult times" (if you're an athlete, in many cases it tends to be less arduous in many regards) have achieved academic excellence. (Here!) Apparently we are acquiring certain humanistic values (it used to be termed Christian ethos - that phrase, however, must now be passé) at this particular institution which


are not available elsewhere in the region. It is these very values which are "justifying" the existence of an institution of "this kind". I trust you are aware that we - the royal we? - are combating the "total secularization of education" (single-handedly!) here at SMU. Why, we have three chapels on campus with a Shepherd for each of them - mind you though, the sheep are spread very thin in these folds...

We were further instructed that important as it is that SMU

PRODUCE (factory-line style - standardized - fully automatic) MEN (that one-fifth of the graduating class are composed of WOMEN apparently escaped the notice of Father President or could it be that my somewhat impulsive charge last year of women - hating - Jesuits bore greater truth than I realized) expert in technical skills, it is more important that this institution - possibly because of these unique humanistic values with which we all have been endowed - PRODUCE MEN who will go out seeking to make this world a "better place" to live in rather than merely a more comfortable place.

Musing over this last statement I reflected that in my four years at Saint Mary's, the vast majority of graduates I knew, had, most foolishly and regretably, ignored Father's humanistic value system. So damn many of our graduates are wedded to the Almighty Dollar that I would be most embarrassed to inquire of them what humanistic (or Christian, if they're the old-fashioned type) plans they had to better the world condition. It's an a priori fact of life that most people, most unfortunately, confine their sphere of interest, concern and commitment to themselves and to their nuclear family. The very few people I know who have attempted to widen their sphere of interest to include our

son of a member of our Board of



I do. So when your turn comes to graduate remember to enjoy yourself, to smile for you Dad's camera, to say "Thank you father" (for the precious diploma and of course your humanistic system - they are of equal merit as suitable cocktail party chitchat), but remember not to take your graduating service seriously - because it isn't. Remember you're merely the latest PRODUCE off the academic assembly line. And remember that neither your graduation nor YOU, the graduate, are important to anyone but to your parents and boyfriend or girlfriend - no one else GIVES A DAMN!

Comment by Barb MacAdam

Academics' freedom in danger at Simon Fraser

Simon Fraser University is a creation of the Social Credit government of British Columbia. As such, it is structured from the top down along "typically authoritarian lines", charged professor John Legget of its PSA (political science, sociology, and anthropology) department last week.

Speaking to a large audience in the MacInnis room of the Dalhousie Student Union Building Wednesday, Leggett outlined the number of grievances the department has against the university administration.

Attacks on the government of the province also punctuated his discourse. B.C., he said, is a semi-fascist province whose government is popular among a large minority. (Here, there was a slight ripple of laughter.) He accused the government of using the repressive tactics perfected against organized labor against

the protestors of the PSA department, and he also accused the administration of "violations of due process."

In a voice at times quiet with conviction, Legget told the assembled students that the department had been permitted the trappings of democratic procedure - the permission to dissent and revolt, but as soon as the authoritarian system was threatened and the governed might attain some control over their

by Francis Abbott managing editor

affairs, repression set in. That is the heart of the matter. The people must never have control, he said.

Legget also said the PSA has developed a strategy of moving gradually into the strike in an ascending series of steps: the

teach-in, counter-courses, a blitz for the poor, followed by bringing the student body into the action. He said the department was ready at all times to negotiate with president Strand of the university.

He claimed that Strand saw himself as a latter-day Hayakawa and was unwilling to discuss the PSA demands pertaining to renewal, tenure and promotion. Instead, he is trying to start "a new Joseph McCarthyism in a California-like situation."

The purpose of this visit to Dal, he said, was to solicit support for the embattled PSA. He indicated three things that local students could do:

First of all, he asked everyone to write the Vancouver Sun to express disapproval for the actions of Strand. That newspaper, said Legget, had already given its encouragement to PSA. One could also write to Profes-

or William Allen at the University of Alberta Biology Department, who is the president of the Canadian Association of University Teachers, and ask him to press for the negotiation of differences between Strand and PSA. (Earlier CUS had agreed to form a committee to investigate the whole matter with CAUT but that latter body had not replied.)

The final and most tangible thing students can do is contribute to the PSA Support Fund so the good news can be spread to all men.

Several things about the meeting at the Dal SUB were at once apparent to the casual observer accustomed to similar meetings at Saint Mary's. The first was the interest shown by the large number of students, and the SPRINKLING of professors. Although many left to attend classes a large group remained. Another remarkable thing was

the organization put into the noon discussion period. Some thoughtful person made sure that microphones and a sound system were provided as well as chairs. Not newsworthy perhaps, but thoughtful. Also, someone thought to print handbills with the background information.

The SMU Council is organizing similar sessions in the library.

Also, the level of questions asked was far above last year's SUB confrontations, where the big hangup was not the guests but their politics, labelled as "radical" by vocal opponent. That's not saying much, but it does show you a sort of back-handed compliment, I suppose. One thing about the questions - they were too few.

Later Legget's associate, grad student Pat Hoffer, came to SMU and held court in the Bio. Chem. building. She attracted half a roomful of interested students.

LE CHATEAU
2179 Gottingen Street
Halifax, N.S.

Superfemininity: For sale or rent

We are surrounded — on TV, in the movies, in school, at work — by society's definition of woman.

Our womanhood is defined by manufacturers of clothes and cosmetics. Our objective is supposed to be to make ourselves as attractive as possible to men. We are portrayed as toys, sexual objects for the satisfaction of men.

But the reason it is so important to attract 'A Man', we are told is that Man is the key to A Woman's Own World — to a home and family. Our relation to the world outside the family, indeed our very identities as human beings is defined by and through our husbands and children. We are identified not by our own names but as "Mrs. Johnson", "Bob's wife", "Billy's mother".

We are expected to produce healthy, well-adjusted children by devoting all our attention 24 hours a day to them, by never taking an outside job when the children are young. We may in reality produce angry, neurotic children because we are unable to get away from the house, and release all our frustrations of being trapped and stifled on our children.

We are supposed to be Tension-Management Specialists — to soothe our husbands after they come home from a hard day at the office. Society dictates that we must be reasonably intelligent in order to make interesting conversation with our husbands. Our socialization says we must be charming and attractive both for when our husbands bring their bosses home for dinner, and so he will attain status in the community for making a "good choice" as he would if he bought a new car.

We must challenge this secondary status of woman — her role defined by constantly catering to, and living her life through her husband and children. We must challenge the society that socializes a woman from girlhood to adulthood to want and to be happy with this stultifying definition of her womanhood.

We cannot solve these problems as individuals. Only by working together with other women can we change our own consciousness and the factors in society that form that consciousness.

In order to attain autonomy as an individual with an identity separate from that of her husband, so that her life is not totally defined in terms of her husband — his occupation, his social class — she must be economically independent. Within the marriage structure, women must often beg their husbands for ready cash for any reason. Yet the Chase-Manhattan Bank calculates that women work an average of 99.7 hours week in the home. This is unpaid labour.

Many women simply cannot leave their husbands even in light of complete marriage breakdown because they cannot support themselves or their children with a standard of living that even remotely approximates that which their husbands, with their job-getting ability, simply because they are male, can give them.

THE RIGHT TO EQUAL EDUCATION
The discriminatory channeling that women face as workers begins when we are students. The educational system has three basic channels:

1) DIRECTLY INTO THE HOME. All of us are in this channel to some extent since we are taught that our ultimate destination is home and family. Those

by
Cathy Walker

who go directly into the home acquire almost no skills and are nearly completely helpless and dependent economically on their husbands.

2) INTO COMMERCIAL COURSES — to be trained for office work or other "women's work" at low pay.

3) INTO THE TRADITIONAL WOMANLY PROFESSIONS. Those few women who buck the early channels to make it to university almost inevitably end up in teaching, nursing, social work, or "home sciences" — nearly all extensions of their role in the home.

The entire educational system is designed to convince us that we are inferior to men; that our place is in the home, that the nuclear (mother, father, children) family is an Eternal and Natural Institution.

We are not taught that women won the rights they have in this society through united struggle.

We are not taught that Canadian working women earn less than 40 percent of what men with the same education earn.

We are not taught that Canadian Medical schools have quotas for women, of about 10 percent.

We are not taught about other forms of families and methods of raising children that have existed and do exist. SOCIAL RESPONSIBILITY FOR CHILDREN.

We should not have to give up economic independence in order to have children or vice versa. This means that child-care facilities must be available for preschool children. Some argue that it is "unnatural" for mothers to leave young children. In fact the nuclear family with 2 or 3 children completely dependent on their parents, is not a natural but a historical phenomenon. History has seen other methods of raising children, and

there is little evidence that the methods of today's society are the best.

Studies have shown that women who work outside their homes are "better" mothers: that children who spend most of their young days with others of their own age and have other adults to relate to than just their biological parents, are happier and adjust more easily to school.

"The only advantage of my small bosom is that I can wear see-through fashions without looking obscene! (Next to me, Mia Farrow is buxom!) What cosmetics can I use to make my breasts prettier?"



Kenneth has a brand-new bosom-make-up kit, Nude... holds three products: Bosom Highlighter for top slope of breast; Cleavage Delineator to brush between breasts for contouring; and Tip Blush—rosy, transparent liquid—for the nipples. (Kit is \$7.50; products can also be used on other areas of your anatomy.) You could use regular face makeup—foundation, rouge, or blusher—but it might rub off on your clothes—or his.

A co-operative nursery on this campus, with mother or FATHERS responsible for the children a half-day out of five would permit women to work or attend classes and study freely, would give children other children and adults to relate to, and would let men share in the socialization of children.

In Halifax and the rest of Canada, day-care centres should be provided free. Many women are unable to become economically independent because expensive private day-care centres or baby-sitters make it economically worthless to get jobs in the community.

JOBS

Women cannot be independent or autonomous intellectually, emotionally, and socially without being economically independent. We therefore must organize for:

1) EQUAL PAY FOR EQUAL WORK. Even in occupations where both men and women are underpaid (i.e. clerical) women make much less than men who do exactly the same work.

2) EQUAL WORK itself. In spite of automation and mechanization, which remove the necessity for physical strength from most jobs, and promotion within, jobs are still closed to women.

3) JOB SECURITY. The number of women who are not working — the "hidden" reserve labour pool of unemployed women in the home — helps keep women workers in an insecure position. Women are always last hired and first fired.

4) TRADE UNION ORGANIZATION. Only about 15 per cent of Canadian women workers are organized in trade unions, and the percentage is probably even less in Halifax. This kind of organization is clearly necessary to combat discrimination, low wages, and job insecurity.

WOMEN ALONE

We cannot rely on others to fight our battles. Women have special problems in this society — and the problems of working women affect women as students and women in the home. All of us confront myths and discrimination of women. It is both possible and necessary for women to organize as women independently.

At the same time, the problems of women relate to more general social problems. We cannot begin to open up jobs to women without confronting the general problem of unemployment. In opposing the channeling of women in the education system, we must work with students who oppose channeling of working-class and minority-group students. Our work towards societal responsibility for children helps to liberate men and children as well as women — and it is important that they understand that.

While we must organize independently, we must also have allies. Our struggle is part of the struggle for an educational system that serves people rather than corporations; for a society where working people benefit from technology rather than become impoverished and unemployed; a society which considers children on the basis of their parents. If you are a woman interested in Women's Liberation, phone 422-4966 or come to the women's liberation meetings that are being held on campus this week.

A case for pot: a polemic of protest

What type of society is it that brands a young man as a hardened criminal for possession of such hard-core drugs (?) as marijuana for the rest of his life?

What type of society allows a man inebriated by alcohol to drive and cause an accident resulting in injury or loss of life — and get only a three month sentence and a small fine? Then he is completely free to get jobs, etc.

What type of society can continue to legislate against marijuana, which is proven medically safe, and allow us to smoke cigarettes and drink alcoholic beverages that are disastrous to our health?

If governments work in the interests of public health, how can

we tolerate the fact that young adults are being sent to prison, while large tobacco companies are only hampered to the extent that they cannot advertise on television?

What type of justice is shown when the RCMP uses paid in-

by
Doug Goldsmith

formers to catch the users of pot, to carry out the humanitarian law of our land?

What type of justice is it that lets the small time user or seller of marijuana always gets busted while the big time distributors (probably Mafia or Mafia-backed), remain strange-

ly aloof? Who is getting paid?

Marijuana is not legalized because it would be very hard to control the collection of taxes government would have to put on sales, to make up for the loss of revenue, due to the vast decline in liquor sales which would inevitably occur. This is so because due to the extreme cheapness of pot, high government taxes would enable the criminal element to run a very profitable black-market which would be untaxable. A good grade of marijuana can be grown in almost any part of North-America including Nova Scotia at almost no expense. (This is why President Nixon's plan to stop the importation of grass from Mexico will not work but will only foster a stronger

domestic base of supply, and detract people's attention from Viet Nam for a few hours.)

One important fact is that the liquor industry is big business, and they are not going to allow any interference in their profit-making ability. They have investments of millions of dollars to protect. The secondary industries which have come about as a direct result of them probably contribute more to the country's gross national product than do the parent companies.

So Mummy and Daddy while you are out drinking at a party and getting drunk and rowdy and trying to make out with someone else's mate, and cracking dirty jokes, and telling yourself that it really isn't bad for your

ulcers, while convincing yourself that you can safely drive home, after being sick, and making an absolute fool of yourself — pray for your sons and daughters who are at home smoking pot and laughing and grooving to music they like for they are going to be the next crop of arch-criminals we will produce.

Remember that you will probably never find one user who has not made the decision that liquor is bad when compared to grass. As for the argument that grass leads to chemicals, it is untrue. It happens because of the continually small supply of marijuana (not because of law-enforcement) but which, like diamonds, if the market was flooded, the price would drop.

Faction Fights . . .

Continued from Page 1
LeBlanc's motion was tabled. de Verteuil suggested meeting again on Wednesday night for further Senate discussion.

A gestetnered sheet appeared on campus Tuesday which severely criticized the SRC. It said, "The SRC's lack of familiarity with the situation and its ignorance of the options and power available to the student body reflect their lack of knowledge about the students as a whole."

It called on the students to

initiate a student body meeting to decide the way the question of Senate representation will be handled.

"The role of the student" it said, "in the university and in society must be decided intelligently and democratically by the students as a whole."

Under the present legal system of the Students' Association, a 10 percent petition of the students is sufficient to call a student body meeting. This is equivalent to 230 signatures.

Confusion In

Continued from Page 1
But after the council voted down the proposal to accept the two seats, Mullally and Treasurer Francis Fraser entered a motion that the SRC adopt the '68-'69 SRC's resolution on open-

decision making. That resolution calls for students not to serve on bodies which deliberate in secret. The Senate is such a body.

Their motion was passed 6-5 with de Verteuil breaking the tie in favour. But since the council cannot pass two legislation pieces aimed in opposite directions dur-

ing one meeting, if Mader's call for a reconsideration of the vote on the original motion had resulted in an acceptance of the two seats the former motion would have been thrown out.

But that account does not record the confusion and sense of frustration of many of the council members. de Verteuil struggled manfully to stem the tide of disorder and to get the business through with as much dispatch as possible. He tried to allow both sides chance to speak despite the fact that he was firmly opposed to accepting the two seats

as the situation stood.

Residence Representative Bob Doucette perhaps summed the whole thing up. After two and a half hours of motions and counter-motions, points of order and privilege, rulings and more rulings, all apparently aimed at obscuring the facts and coercing members into voting one way or another; Doucette said in disgust,

"I think it's crazy."
In other business the SRC debated and passed the constitution of the Progressive-Conservative Club.

Focus: Pollution in Nova Scotia

by Ross Haynes

Pollution of natural resources in this province is out of control.

The provincial politicians have not yet seen fit to pass laws and regulations to control water, air and land pollution. The Water Act administered by the Nova Scotia Water Commission is a flimsy excuse for pollution control at present, but apparently the only one.

This Water Act is broad-based and has no specific regulations attached to give it legal muscle. An official of the Water Commission stated that the commission tries to meet and treat each situation as an individual problem with objectives of its own. In short, the commission aims for various degrees of control over the province's Water Resources.

This type of control method leaves room for political back-room bargaining. The Water Act is spattered with "the Minister shall have control" and "the Governor in Council may". This type of non-specified action is the cause why little or no action has been taken in this field.

The Anil Hardboard Factory in the Hubbards area is a major water polluter. This plant was financed with \$8.5 million by the provincial tax payer. It is in a region of Federal Assistance and is eligible for aid to the tune of \$5 million. The plant although financed to over 70 per cent of its capital costs with our money, had such a poorly - planned settling pond (an old quarry) that it leaked an overt and non-dissolving pollutant into the Little East River.

The Provincial Water Commission gave the plant three 60-day notices to have the pollution cleaned up. Why three notices? Finally, at a cost of \$250,000 the plant is having the leak repaired and an aeration device installed.

Industrial Estates Limited (IEL), the Crown industrial development body, is playing with Nova Scotia tax money and is not acting as a responsible body in the area of conservation and pollution control. IEL does not appear to work in consultation with other provincial departments, implying a serious lack of communication. I suggest that as an industrial prospect is approached, the associated provincial department be consulted and reports submitted on pollution problems such an industry will present, and the best methods of treatment and control.

Recently, a Department of Health official stated that letters of complaint have been received from P.E.I. concerning air pollution from the Scott Paper Mill in Abercrombie, Pictou County, which is another IEL attracted industry. The official feels this is a poor location for a mill considering water disposal conditions. This is a major factor considered when locating an industry of this type.

The mill is using a small lake in the area as an aeration pond and consequently this is now a dead lake.

The department spokesman said this type of plant should be

placed on the coast. This way the effluent can be treated and then piped out to the open sea. It is argued that the sea can absorb almost any amount of natural pollution and will break it down into a harmless state.

The air pollution problem is partially treated. Static precipitators in chimneys use various filters.

These and related problems must be attacked now.

Until a few years ago, communities in the province dumped their raw sewage into the waterways and coastal areas. Now a plan is underway to have treatment facilities built in all communities. About 250 have been built or are under construction.

This represents only about one-half of the areas concerned. There are no regulations governing sewage treatment and the methods of treatment utilized by a sewage treatment plan, according to a Public Health official. Although a plant must be approved by the Health Department before construction there are no licensing or inspection regulations in effect after the plant is in operation. In some cases more lethal pollutants are passed into the water than raw sewage.

In the past, regulations with stiff controls have been submitted to the governor in council (i.e. the cabinet) but have been rejected.

Ross Haynes is a second year Commerce student at Saint Mary's

From this I can only assume that provincial politicians do not want to tell big business to clean up or pay the legal price. Perhaps they fear political campaign monies would be lost.

Nova Scotia is in a unique position in the area of strict pollution control. Not being a heavily industrialized area, but in the process of attracting big industries, the province could have the laws necessary to protect the great natural treasures we now have, before they are devastated by wanton pollution. What is left becomes more precious, but harder to hold.

Dr. Henry Hicks, President of Dalhousie University said in an interview with Farley Mowatt (a

Canadian author and conservationist):

"In the future the rivers of Nova Scotia will be valued more for the salmon and other fish that swim in them than the paper mills on them"

This is undoubtedly true, but are we going to have the massive clean up job here that is now coming to public light in other areas? Some damage cannot be undone but, more can be prevented.

Industry here has been given hefty grants, tax benefits and aid: Anil Hardboard, Scott Pulp and Paper, an estimated \$12 million in tax benefits; and now Michelin Tire, \$50 million in backing. This rubber industry will possibly produce harmful wastes, but because of the secret nature of the negotiations typical of IEL, government regulatory agencies have no idea of what this will be or in what quantity.

The pollution damage these industries cause may well be bringing to an end Nova Scotia's major industry, tourism. People come to our area because of its natural beauty and wildlife. If things continue at the present pace we may be without visitors.

Recently, scientists found that D.D.T. is deadly to animal life. This took twenty years to uncover. They are now becoming aware of the major industrial pollutant hydrocarbons a family of chemicals that may have the ability to destroy all life on our planet. In North America alone it is estimated that 100 million tons of hydrocarbons were passed into the environment by industry and automotive machinery during the past year.

We are all concerned about the food we eat, the clothes we wear and the water we drink (as long as it tastes good), but these concerns are superficial. Only the taste or appearance seems important. Let's find out exactly what we are consuming. We wouldn't drink out of a bottle labeled "poison". Yet we are daily taking in poisons.

It is difficult to shock people into concern about the devastation of nature who live where the



air is fresh and most of the waterways used for recreation are problem free.

The town of New Minas in the Annapolis Valley is at present installing a sewage system that will dump all its raw waste into the Cornwallis River. This river irrigates a great many farm properties in the Annapolis Valley. Who can say this contamination in the water will not be passed into the food nourished by it?

The local shell fishing industry has been shut down because of pollution of the ocean's coastal region.

The cities of Halifax and Dart-

mouth are the major offenders here. The officials will say: "yes, but it costs too much money to install plants just now."

No mistake about this - we are all paying for pollution now, via the compensation of various types that go to unemployed fishermen, money loss in tax profits, and other intangibles.

I have become aware of the all-embracing importance of the problem. I suggest to you who read this - reflect upon and consider how you as an individual can help to overcome this problem.

Making people aware of it is the first step.

EMPLOYERS: - Students are available for part-time and shift work.

Contact: **L.T. RAMBEAU**

MANAGER
CANADA MANPOWER CENTRE
SAINT MARY'S UNIVERSITY
HALIFAX, N.S.
422-6421 LOCAL 175

DEPARTMENT OF
MANPOWER AND IMMIGRATION

MORRIS Goldberg's
MEN'S AND BOYS' WEAR LTD.

STUDENT DISCOUNT

"The Store of Better Brands"
3 STORES TO SERVE YOU

1261 BARRINGTON ST. HALIFAX N.S. W-04
HALIFAX SHOPPING CENTRE
DARTMOUTH SHOPPING CENTRE

5520 Spring Garden Road
Halifax, Nova Scotia

Bus. 422-9303
Res. 455-8440

JAMES T. HESSIAN

THE **Great-West Life** ASSURANCE COMPANY

CLEAN CARS **COURTEOUS DRIVERS**

YELLOW CAB LTD.

RADIO EQUIPPED **PHONE 422-1551**

Maritime Campus Store

6184 Quinpool Road
Halifax, N.S.
Phone 423-6523

SMU JACKETS **CRESTS**
SWEATERS **SWEATSHIRTS**
STUDENT OUTLINES
PAPERBACKS
PREFERRED READING

Formal Wear RENTALS

Black or White
Tuxedos and Accessories
Available from Stock

Rubinfeld OF HALIFAX

SCOTIA SQUARE

LE CHATEAU
2179 Gottingen Street
Halifax, N. S.

The Ministry as Hydra: chop off one, it grows another

It's really amazing how often the various Ministries of Truth change their leaders. There's McGill, UBC, Simon Fraser. But Saint Mary's University has been free from administrative turmoil for the last few years. Or that's the way it looked.

An acute observer could have perceived the signs when Laurie Smith got his position changed, or when Kenny Bendelier suddenly found himself with a new job. But the Ministry was able to hide most of the internecine strife under a placid calm surface. At least, the administrative hang-ups weren't a matter of public record as they have been at other universities.

But when the Ministry does change its leadership, they follow a rather stereotyped pattern. Three years ago, C. J. Fischer was president of this university. And he had a reputation of being rather behind the times.

But then the powers that be brought an unsung genius to the fore. Henry Labelle stepped up to bat with the reputation of being a liberal. And the academic community told themselves that at last we have a man of the future there as president. Man, this university was going to go places.

Well, we all know the end of that one. Henry punctured his bubble so quickly that it almost seemed as if we always knew he was a reactionary. Various and sundry incidents always found him on the side of the Ministry; rather than looking at things on their merits, the way a liberal is supposed to do.

So Henry had his two years. And in the process of administering the Ministry, he made more than his share of what might be regarded as mistakes if you are

a Ministry type. True, he never had to call the cops on campus but that was more good luck than good management. But our little tiffs with the Ministry managed to get more than their share of publicity. And so it seems that the Ministry has decided to get a new look.

At least that's what the grapevine says. The grapevine also says that the new boy in the Ministry, Gerald Tait, is going to take over the top spot. And he is trying to keep his nose clean until after he makes it to the top. At least he hasn't said anything that might make him seem to be on one side or the other.

That is also a characteristic of most heirs to the throne. When Henry was being picked, no one knew who he was. At the moment no one knows who Gerald is, except the grapevine.

It will be interesting to see what the Ministry says when Labelle steps down. Rumour has it that he is going to quit because of ill health. I mean, why else would he take all those vacations?

But anyway this speculation is probably useless. The Ministry is going to do their thing regardless of what we say. Even if we say that we want to keep Henry because we have grown used to his brand of reaction, they aren't going to listen. The Ministry wants to keep us off balance and a new face is probably the best thing for that purpose. It will probably keep us busy for awhile, feeling the new boy out. And the Ministry can use that time to play their diabolical tricks on us.

But the only purpose this editorial serves really is so we can say when the change-over takes place that we said it first. Egoistical bastards we are.



'Well, that takes care of that.' Globe and Mail Cartoon

Friday the society sponsored the first Bash of the year at Comeau's Tavern. Except for one sceptic Liquor Inspector the event came off with the precision of the journey of Apollo 11. As soon as final arrangements are made with the local breweries, the Arts Society will undertake two tours later in the semester.

Homecoming Weekend is approaching and the society's executive is wasting no time. Plans are now being made to create some of the best events ever planned for this annual festival.

The old-fashioned school spirit will also get a boost from the society this year. Plans are being made to provide several buses for trips to other colleges during away-games in football, hockey, and basketball.

On a more serious note, the Arts Society, in co-operation with the Christian Action Movement,

Evaluation

Dear Sir:

In the course evaluation prepared by the Economics Course Union, Simon Rosenblum states that:

"We (the students) will demand our rights as people".

Earlier in the same paper, Rosenblum makes such revolution

is making arrangements to provide Christmas parties to orphans and mentally retarded patients.

Sincerely,
Gerard LaPierre, Secretary

tionary statements as "education... must be responsive to the self-determined needs of the students" and the union "will be demanding a large say".

"I suggest that if democracy is to become more than a word loosely thrown around this institution, then we the students will have to get off our collective fat ass and start organizing to take it..."

Dave McKinnon
Arts I.

LETTERS

like Apollo 11

Dear Sir,

The article "What Happened?", published in last week's JOURNAL, deploring the inept and insufficient Orientation exercises, while true in many respects, nevertheless, did great injustice to the Arts Society, and the many students who are card-carrying members.

During Orientation the Arts Society presented two spectacu-

lar movies, co-sponsored the fantastic Boris Birthday Party and put on the Society Smoker in the residence cafeteria. All three events were termed huge successes by both students and faculty. It was generally agreed that the Arts Society had done more for Orientation than all the other societies put together.

And that was only a start. Last



THE JOURNAL

THE JOURNAL is a member of CANADIAN UNIVERSITY PRESS, and adheres to the CUP Statement of Principles. Opinions expressed herein are not those of the SMUSA or SMU administration. Letters to the editor should be typewritten and double-spaced. Pseudonyms will be used at request but all letters must be signed - or they will not be printed.

- mike smith editor
- bruce smith business manager
- francis abbott managing editor
- wayne garland layout editor
- john daigle photo editor
- phone 423-6556
- brian macinnis copy editor
- carole carter copy editor
- steve anderson literary editor

well things took a turn for the worse this week with an acid comment from three journal staffers namely david steven and michael, during the big airport robbery we all stood with our heads bowed as boy editor mike smith arrived late. bruce smith and goldy the dougsmith went to the airport to plague the american pigs. frank cassidy finally managed to corner a stroy (or story) and pix this week turned out to be mostly none as john the married man showed up late to initiate ken and dave into the darkroom. many things happen there when linda is here. steve writes poetry and ron emulates him with two of his very own conceiving. carole plays games with copy as usual wearing her russian cossack coat. francis is improving - again actually taking things over, as boy editor mike smith was left with nothing to do but write. WE FOUND WAYNE. hurrah. any way this looks like enough copy to fill the empty hole in page seven so this is goodbye for the absolutely last time from g. harvey thomgeirt (who has been disowned by his family for associating with this perverted rag.) don't touch my body again carole, or linda, or john.



**THE NOW STORE
FOR NOW PEOPLE**



... AND THAT MEANS YOU



now