

LIBRARY  
OCT 12 1971  
SAINT MARY'S UNIVERSITY  
HALIFAX, CANADA

# The JOURNAL

CIRCULATION  
NOW  
RUNNING 4500

October 6, 1971

St. Mary's University, Halifax

VOLUME XXXVII NO. 5

## Senate Committee's decision:

# Student board not to handle res. appeal

by Paul MacGillivray  
Staff Writer

The Senate Committee on Discipline met today, (Oct. 4) to decide on an appeal concerning the decision of the Residence Judicial Board, in which two residence students were convicted by them.

The meeting was a result of a decision of the Senate, which stated that the RJB was a valid court at the time that it held this

trial.

The Board consisted of Ken Bendelier, Dean of Students, Prof. Roger Crowther, Prof. Don Warner, Dave Murphy, and Ross Haynes.

The Board met this afternoon for two reasons, according to Bendelier; first, to discuss whether the Interim Student Judicial Board, or his (Bendelier's) Committee, would handle the appeal.

Dave Miller (Arts 11) and Don Caley (Arts 11) filed an appeal with Bendelier, as Chairman of the Committee, on Oct. 1.

According to Bendelier, "The letter that they addressed to me is somewhat ambiguous. In one sense, they're asking for an appeal to us, (the Committee on Discipline) and in another sense, they were asking for an appeal to the Interim Student Judicial Board. The first thing that had to be decided was who should hear it and we decided we should hear it."

"Now, our directions from Senate are very clear," Bendelier said. "According to the report to Senate (the report on the Interim Student Judicial Board) we are the appealing body. Now that we've decided that, we've got to decide whether or not there are grounds for appeal."

The Committee meeting was adjourned for the time being, to gather more information on the case, and it was not decided whether or not an appeal would be granted. The Committee will meet again at some future date.

Students' Representative Council President John McLaughlin, asked to address the Committee meeting, concerning the illegality of the RJB's decision, but was not granted permission to attend. McLaughlin maintains that

"The Residence Judicial Board was an illegal body, there's no question of that," he said.

McLaughlin, who set up the residence board last year, said that it was done with the understanding that it was an invalid board, which handled judicial proceedings only because there was no legal board at the time. He said that it was also understood that that board would be invalid as soon as a legal board was established. (In this case, the ISJB).

Interim Student Judicial Board Chairman Ken T. Langille is much of the same opinion.

"The Residence Judicial Board," said Langille, "in this case is totally invalid, and was totally invalid in its set-up as well. Two administration people sat on that board. The whole idea of a system of justice for students is to be tried by your peers, not by people paid by the administration, who are usually the ones who lay the charges in the first place."



Dean of Students, Ken Bendelier

## Sullivan explains Senate decision

by Paul MacGillivray  
Staff Writer

The case of two residence students convicted of infractions of residence rules has been taken over by the Senate Committee on Discipline (Student), following a decision by the Senate last Friday that the Residence Judicial Board, was, at the time of the trial, a valid judicial body.

The decision came on Friday, October 1, during discussion after a request by Student Senator Jim Sullivan for a clarification of the intent of a motion brought before Senate concerning the setting up of the Interim Student Judicial Board.

"The original motion of the Committee on Student Discipline", said Sullivan, "passed a motion allowing the Interim Student Judicial Board to be set up, since the permanent student judicial board wasn't ready to be set up yet," Sullivan said.

"This interim board would go into effect as soon as the SRC set it in motion. This means that the interim board went into effect the very minute that it held its first trial, which was last Monday," he said.

The SRC appointed Ken T. Langille to the chair of the ISJB, and asked him to set up the Board along the structures outlined in the report by the Committee on Discipline (Student). Langille did this, and the first trial of the ISJB was held on Monday, September 27.

Sullivan explained that the Senate's stance on the legality of The Residence Judicial Board was that, when the new

university act came in, there was no legal judicial board. John MacLaughlin, then President of the Residence Society, set up the RJB by "a sort of gentleman's agreement" as Sullivan put it. It had no charter, and was generally acknowledged as a non-legal body.

According to Sullivan, "The Residence Judicial Board was set up by Red (John) MacLaughlin, and because there was no legal board at the time, it had 'legality by precedence'.

"Now, because it was legal until the ISJB had its first trial, and since the residence board trial was on a Wednesday, (September 22), and the ISJB met on the following Monday, September 29, then the decision of the Residence Board is a legal one."

Since the RJB's verdict was legal, so far as the Senate is concerned, then any request for retrial would be construed as an "appeal", and, if the appeal was granted, then the Committee on Discipline (Student) would handle it, the Committee being the only body on campus which may be appealed to following the decision of a valid court.

The Senate Committee on Discipline (Student) will meet on Monday, October 4, to decide whether or not they will grant an appeal.

The Committee consists of Ken Bendelier, Dean of Men, Roger Crowther, Head of the English Dept., Ross Haynes, Dave Murphy and Prof. Don Warner.

## Caley and Miller apply For ISJB retrial

Dave Miller, (Arts 11) and Don Caley (Arts 11) have requested a retrial by the Interim Student Judicial Board.

The two students were living in St. Mary's residence until Friday, September 24. As a result of the verdict of the Residence Judicial Board, which found them guilty of breaking residence rules, they were ordered to leave the residence. They are now living in Pine Hill Divinity Hall Residence, awaiting decision on their retrial.

Difficulties may arise from this request, since they also made an appeal to Ken Bendelier, Chairman of the Committee on Discipline (Student).

However, according to Caley, "When we signed that appeal

for Mr. Bendelier, we thought that we were going to go before the Interim Student Judicial Board. If we went before the Committee, won't that be just like it was when we were tried

by the Residence Judicial Board?"

The request for retrial was filed with Ken T. Langille, Chairman of the ISJB, on Sunday, October 3.



Dave Miller



Don Caley



# Communiqués show FLQ active

MONTREAL (CUPI) — The Front de Libération du Québec (FLQ), in the wake of Quebec intellectual Pierre Vallières' disappearance underground, has come forward to claim responsibility for two robberies and a bombing during the past two weeks.

The Combined Anti-Terrorist Squad is presently studying two communiqués found Saturday night by Montreal newsmen acting on tips from anonymous telephone calls. The communiqués, found in telephone

booths, were signed by the FLQ.

The communiqués state that the FLQ is responsible for the credit union robbery in Mascouche, Québec, last Friday; the bombing of the Canadian Association of Independent Associations on Saturday (September 25); and a bank holdup in Montréal two weeks ago.

The CAIA is an association of labor unions that are not affiliated with the Confederation of National Trade Unions (CSN), or with the Canadian

Labor Congress (CLC).

RCMP experts working with the anti-terrorist squad are attempting to determine whether the communiqués are similar to those received during last year's October crisis.



IF YOUR PIZZA IS  
**PERFECTION**  
IT'S FROM  
**NAPOLI**  
PIZZA PARLOR

117 PORTLAND ST.  
DARTMOUTH  
466-9750  
6430 QUINPOOL RD.  
HALIFAX  
423-0739

PHONE YOUR ORDER AND PICKUP...

## STAIRS PHARMACY

(JUST A FEW STEPS FROM THE RESIDENCE)

DRUGS - COSMETICS - CARDS  
MAGAZINES - GIFTS

**DISCOUNT ON PRESCRIPTIONS FOR STUDENTS**

OPEN 9 a.m. to 9 p.m. FREE DELIVERY

586. TOWER RD.

423-8429

STUDENT **MORRIS Goldberg's** DISCOUNT  
MEN'S AND BOYS' WEAR LTD.

**"The Store of Better Brands"**  
**3 STORES TO SERVE YOU**

1261 BARRINGTON ST. HALIFAX SHOPPING CENTRE  
HALIFAX SHOPPING CENTRE  
DARTMOUTH SHOPPING CENTRE

**Help  
line**

**422-7246**

## Give blood

A blood donor clinic will be held at St. Mary's University on October 19. This is a very worthwhile endeavour, and is a good chance for SMU students to show they really care. It will only take a few minutes of your time, but may add years to someone's life.

Show that you care on October 19th.

## ABORTION

pregnancies up to 12 weeks terminated from

**\$175.00**

Medication, Lab Tests, Doctors' fees included.

Hospital & Hospital affiliated clinics.

(201) 461-4225

24 hours - 7 days

**LENOX MEDICAL**

NO REFERRAL FEE

## Formal Wear RENTALS

Black or White  
Tuxedos and Accessories  
Available from Stock



Scotia Square

Phone 429-5936

## BRITISH MOTORS LIMITED

"Your Authorized Dealer"



AUSTIN - MG  
ROVER - TRIUMPH  
JAGUAR

SMU campus Rep.

**BILL MANLEY**

SALES & SERVICE

**422-7456**

2386 Maynard Street, Halifax



# Schooner Beer

There's a moment on the ocean when everything has to be right. Nature sets the rules: if you do your best, you make it...if you try to get by with something less, you don't.

We stick to those rules making beer. We make Schooner Beer. And we can praise it no more highly than this: it's the best lager beer we know how to make.



# New Morning reveals demonstration plans

A press conference was held last Saturday, October 2, by New Morning Collective, at its Halifax office.

The press release concerned a demonstration planned by the Collective for October 16 (the first anniversary of the invocation of the War Measures Act), which is to demonstrate an act of solidarity with the people of Quebec.

None of the media showed up for this press conference except the Journal. New Morning wasn't really sure why no one had showed up. "I thought they might come, if just to sensationalize the whole thing," said Dave McKinnon, one of the members of New Morning.

The text of the release stated that a demonstration is to begin at 11:45, October 16 at Victoria Park, and from there the demonstrators will move on to Maritime Command Headquarters on South Street.

"We are still not sure about exactly what our tactics will be when we get to Maritime Command," said McKinnon, "except that it will be more militant than anything that has ever been done here before. We aren't sure to what degree of militancy we will go yet," MacKinnon said.

"We don't want to be suicidal, and we don't want to lose anybody, or at least as few as possible through arrests.

Whatever we do will be extremely well-planned, and all angles of risk to either side will be investigated."

Considering the history of the area, New Morning said that the Armed Forces is the most relevant target on which they can choose to carry out this motion of solidarity.

Said Dianne Vincent, "The Armed Forces is one of the major oppressors and exploiters in this country. As an organization, it is useless and a waste of money. They do not help the people in the least. For instance, in Cuba, the army spends a lot of time working in the sugar cane fields."

While New Morning does not

claim to be an affiliate of any sort of the FLQ, they feel that their sort of struggle and their solidarity with that organization is necessary.

"Passive and non-existent resistance has been the form of rebellion for a long time. We can march with placards till we're blue in the face and it will get us nowhere, just as it has done in the past," said McKinnon. "All the Revolutionaries in North America have a great deal of solidarity in the fact that they are out to destroy the same thing . . . the oppressive capitalistic system which serves its own interests, and not those of the people which it is supposed to serve," McKinnon said.

"Our targets will be those

which oppress the people. What's the use of blowing up Saul's Dry Cleaners? We're not into putting bombs into theatres," he added.

To further explain, Vincent went on to say, "We all have the same enemy. This gives us an excuse to attack, which worries the pigs. There's a bit of 'nerve warfare' involved."

New Morning was very disappointed that the press did not show up at the conference, as they said that they could play a very important part in the demonstration. "We don't want to start anything with the pigs," said McKinnon, "but should anything happen we are very anxious that the press catch it, so that the public will get the whole story, especially if the pigs should start something."

## SRC to support res. students

The student's representative council gave full support, last Wednesday night, (September 29), to the two students who were evicted from residence last week (Sept. 24).

In giving support, the SRC would ignore the penalty imposed on them (the students, Don Caley and Dave Miller) by the Residence Judicial Board, and have the students apply for re-trial to the Interim Students' Judicial Board.

According to SRC president, John MacLaughlin, "Since the Residence Judicial Board's

legality is in question, it would be necessary for the two students to adhere to the SRC's recommendation (for re-trial); and also that they abide by the ISJB's decision," he said.

"Whether or not the administration will agree with our proceedings, and the outcome of the ISJB's decision, will have to be dealt with when the situation arises," he added.

### AN INVITATION TO STUDENTS OF ALL FACULTIES

Especially Class of 1972

Come and meet Serge Gaudet of the Federal Public Service Commission who will outline career opportunities in the fields of

### PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION AND CAREERS ABROAD

October 6, 1971 at 7:30 p.m.  
Room 510A, Science Building.

For further information please contact your University Canada Manpower Centre.

**SAFE, LEGAL ABORTION**

**LET US HELP YOU**

Guidance for

- Certified Gynecologists
- Choice of Top Private Clinics and Hospitals
- Appointments Available Within 24 Hours

(212) TR 7-8562  
MRS. SAUL  
CERTIFIED ABORTION REFERRAL

All Inquiries Confidential

**Seein' is believin'!**

**SAUL'S**

**GOOD TIME JUG BAND**

**AT THE HERRING CHOKER**

4768132 **The Herring Choker** 4768132

9 p.m. to 2 a.m.  
located next to the fabulous  
Lobster Trap  
Scotia Square, Trade Mart  
Halifax


Admit **one**

**Complimentary Pass**

*Saul Saul* expires **OCT 11 1971**

**one**

**The Best "Stereo Value" In Town \$298.00**



Individually, each of the components pictured above has created a new standard of sound-per-dollar value. Together, these components will provide you with more quality sound and reliability than you could have bought a year ago for much more.

The Harman Kardon HK20 are the only speakers in their class that can produce the entire musical range. Most inexpensive speakers cannot produce the lowest octaves of music: the lowest string of a double bass or electric bass guitar, the lowest pipes of a pipe organ, the HK20 can.

The Pioneer SA500 stereo amplifier has enough power to satisfy both you and the HK20's. It produces 16 watts RMS per channel across the entire musical range, at less than .5% total distortion (RMS is the most demanding and least flashy of the various power-rating systems). A matching AM-FM Stereo Tuner is available for only \$98.00 more.

To match the quality and reliability that the Pioneer and the HK20 represent, we recommend the Garrard SL55B with a Shure cartridge. The SL55B has a heavy platter, a synchronous motor, a convenient cueing control and comes with a dustcover and base.

Come to Audioworld this week. You'll be surprised how much quality and sound your \$298.00 can buy.

**A Division of RELIABLE TV LIMITED**

**6257 Quinpool Road, Hfx., N.S. (902) 423-8203**

ADVENT / ACOUSTIC RESEARCH / PIONEER / KLH / DYNACO DUAL / NORESKO / SONY / HARMAN KARDON / SHURE ADC / PICKERING / KOSS / ELECTROVICE / GARRARD SAE / SHERWOOD / ALTEC LANSING / BRAUN / TDK / J.B.L. McINTOSH / B & W / QUAD / Lenco / BOSE / P.E. / AKG KENWOOD

**DEALER INQUIRIES WELCOMED**



The Front du Libération du Québec is not the Messiah, nor a modern-day Robin Hood. It is a group of working people of Quebec who are committed to do everything they can for the people of Quebec to take their destiny in their hands.

The Front de Libération du Québec wants the total independence of the Québécois, brought together in a free society, purged forever of its band of voracious sharks, the patronage-dispensing 'big bosses' and their servants who have made Quebec into their private preserve of 'cheap labour' and of exploitation without scruple.

The Front de Libération du Québec is a movement not of aggression, but of response to aggression — the aggression organized by high finance through the marionettes of the federal and provincial governments (the Brinks 'show', Bill 63, the electoral map, the so-called "social progress" (sic) tax, Power Corporation, Doctor's Insurance, the men of Lapalme . . .).

The Front de Libération du Québec finances itself by voluntary (sic) taxes taken from the corporations which exploit the working people (banks, finance companies, etc. . .).

"The moneyed powers of the status quo, the majority of the traditional guardians of our people, have gotten the reaction they hoped for: the step backward, rather than the change we have worked for as never before, for which we are going to continue working."

—René Lévesque, April 29, 1970

We believed, once, that it was worth the effort to channel our energies, our impatience which René Lévesque expresses so well, within the Parti Québécois; but the Liberal victory shows clearly that what is called a democracy in Quebec is, and always has been, nothing but the 'democracy' of the rich. The Liberals' victory in this way is nothing but the victory of the Simard-Cotroni election riggers. As a result, British parliamentarism is finished, and the Front de Libération du Québec will never let itself be diverted by the electoral crumbs which the Anglo-Saxon capitalists toss into the Québécois lower courtyard every four years. Numbers of Québécois have understood, and they are going to act. Bourassa in the year to come, will see an idea ripen: 100,000 revolutionary working people, organized and armed.

Yes — there are reasons for the Liberal win. Yes, there are reasons for unemployment, for poverty, for slums, for the fact that you Mr. Bergeron of Visitation Street, and also you Mr. Legendre of Laval who earns \$10,000 a year, you do not feel free in our country, Quebec.

Yes, there are reasons, and the men of Lord and Cie know them; the fishermen of the Gaspésie, the workers of the North Shore, the miners or Iron Ore, of Québec Cartier Mining, or Noranda Mines, they also know those reasons. And the decent, honest working people of Cabano whom they tried to screw one more time know lots of those reasons.

Yes, there are reasons why you, Mr. Tremblay of Panet Street, and You, Mr. Cloutier, working in construction in St-Jérôme, why you can't afford "golden vessels" with beautiful string music and trappings put on by Drapeau the aristocrat — the one who is so concerned about slums that he puts colored billboards and fences in front of them so the rich tourists will not see our misery.

Yes, there are reasons why you Mrs. Lemay of St-Hyacinthe, you can't afford little trips to Florida, as the crooked judges and

MPs can with our money.

The good, honest workers of Vickers and Davie Ship, the men who were given no reason for being kicked out of their jobs, know these reasons. And the men of Murdochville, smashed for the sole reason that they wanted to unionize, the men who were made to pay over two million dollars because they wanted to exercise this elementary right. The men of Murdochville know about justice and they know a lot of reasons.

Yes, there are reasons why you, Mr. Lachance of Ste-Marguerite Street, you went to drown your despair, your bitterness, your rage in that dog's beer, Molson's. And you, Lachance junior, with your marijuana cigarettes . . .

Yes, there are reasons why you, welfare people, you are kept on the dole from generation to generation. There are all sorts of reasons, the Domtar workers in Windsor and East Angus know about them. And the workers at Squibb and Ayers, and the men at the Liquor Board and Seven Up and Victoria Precision, and the manual laborers of the cities of Laval and Montreal and the men of Lapalme, they know the reasons well.

The Dupont of Canada workers, they also know them, even if soon they will only be able to tell about them in English (thus assimilated, they will increase the number of immigrants, Neo-Quebecois, the favorite children of Bill 63).

And the policemen of Montreal, the arms of the system, they must have understood those reasons; they must have seen that we live in a terrorized society, because without their force, without their violence, nothing functional on the 7th of October.

We have had our fill of the Canadian federalism which penalizes the dairy producers of Quebec to satisfy the needs of the Anglo-Saxons of the Commonwealth; which maintains the decent taxi drivers of Montreal in a state of half-slavery while blatantly protecting the exclusive monopoly of nauseating Murray Hill and its assassin-owner Charles Hershorn and his son Paul, who repeatedly, on the night of October 7, grabbed the 12-gauge shotgun from the hands of his employees to fire at taxi drivers and so fatally injure Corporal Dumas, killed as a demonstrator; which carries out an insane import policy while throwing into the street, one by one, the small wage-laborers in the textile and shoe industries, the most downtrodden in Quebec, for the profit of a clutch of accursed 'money-makers' in their Cadillacs; which classifies the Québécois nation as one of Canada's ethnic minorities.

We, like more and more Québécois, have had our fill of a government of hand-puppets which performs a thousand and one acrobatics to charm U.S. millionaires, begging them to come and invest in Quebec, La Belle Province where thousands of square miles of forests full of game and fish-stocked lakes are the exclusive property of these same all-powerful Seigneurs of the twentieth century;

of the hypocrite, Bourassa, who falls back on the Brinks armored cars — the true symbol of the foreign occupation of Quebec — to keep the poor Québécois 'natives' in fear of the misery and unemployment to which we are so accustomed;

of our taxes which Ottawa's man in Quebec wants to hand out to the English-speaking bosses to give them "incentive", I kid you not, to speak French, to negotiate in French: 'repeat after me: "cheap labor means main-d'oeuvre a bon marche";

of promises of jobs and prosperity, when we will always be the faithful servants and boot-lickers of the 'big shots' as long as



# QUEBEC



there are Westmounts, Town of Mount Royals, Hampsteads, Outremonts, all those fortresses of high finance of St. James Street and Wall 'Street'; as long as all of us, Québécois, have not driven out by any means necessary, including arms and dynamite, those economic and political 'bosses' who are ready to stoop to any level in order to screw us better.

We live in a society of terrorized slaves, terrorized by the big bosses, Steinberg, Clark, Bronfman, Smith, Neapole, Timmins, Geoffrion, J. -L. Lévesque, Hershorn, Thompson, Nesbitt, Desmarais, Kierans. (Beside these, Rémi Popol the gasket, Drapeau the 'dog', Bourassa the Simard sidekick, Trudeau the faggot, they are 'peanuts'.)

Terrorized by the capitalist Roman Church, even if this seems less and less obvious (but who owns the Stock Exchange Tower?), by payments to Household Finance, by the advertising of the masters of consumption, Eaton's, Simpson's, Morgan's, Steinberg's, General Motors . . . ; terrorized by the closed precincts of knowledge and culture called universities and by their ape-directors Gaudry and Dorais and the sub-ape Robert Shaw.

Our numbers are growing, we who know and are ground down by this terrorist society; and the day is approaching when all the Westmounts of Quebec will disappear from the map.

Working people in the factories, in the mines and in the forests; working people in the service industries, teachers, students, unemployed: take what belongs to you, your labor, your determination and your freedom. And you, workers of General Electric, it is you who make your factories run; you alone are capable of producing; without you, General Electric is nothing!

Working people of Quebec, begin today to take back what belongs to you; take yourselves what is yours. You alone know your factories, your machines, your hotels, your universities, your unions; do not wait for a miracle organization.

Make your revolution yourselves, in your neighborhoods, in your work-places. And if you do not make it yourselves, more usurpers, technocrats or others, will replace the handful of cigar puffers we now know, and everything will have to be done over again. You alone can build a free society.

We must fight, no longer one by one, but together, until victory, with all the means at our disposal, as did the Patriots of 1837-1838 (those whom our holy mother the Church hastened to excommunicate, the better to sell itself to British interests).

Let all those, in every corner of Quebec, scornfully dismissed as 'lousy French' and drunkards, take up with vigor the battle against the club-smashers of freedom and justice, and strip their power to harm from the professionals of hold-ups and fraud: bankers, 'businessmen,' judges, sold-out politicians . . .

We are Québécois working people and we will go to the end. We want, with all the people, to replace this salve society with a free society, functioning of itself and for itself, a society open to the world.

Our struggle can only be victorious. Not for long can one hold in misery and scorn; a people once awakened.

Vive le Québec libre!

Vive les camarades prisonniers politiques!

Vive la révolution québécoise!

Vive le Front de Libération du Québec!



## Feedback from the English Department

Editor  
Journal  
Saint Mary's University  
Halifax, Nova Scotia

Sir:

I refer to the letter concerning your "Lit Page" and also the Editor's reply to Miss (?) Ethel Ryan, whose observations appeared in the latest (September 22, 1971) issue of the Journal.

There seems to me no question that Miss Ryan's letter is as unsatisfactory as it is provocative. Whatever resentment she feels about what you published (she does not actually mention the Larkin poem) does not arise from clear sources. One wonders whether she is shocked more by misuse of student funds than by unrestrained expression. If it is really those naughty four-letter words that pain her so, she should not (as your quotation marks imply) use them herself.

My concern, however, is not with Miss Ryan's inadequacies but with yours. The "rebuttle" (sic) provoked seems to me a far worse piece of work than that of Miss Ryan, which shows neither discernment, argument nor judgment.

Setting aside what comment might be made about the several errors of expression contained in the rebuttal, I might mention that you do not make clear what "this poem" is. I assume that you allude to High Windows, by Philip Larkin; others, however, may not — may not, indeed, have read the issue which offered it to your readers. Your precision of reference, I suggest, is no greater than hers.

Your rudeness, however, is

greater than hers and entirely unwarranted. The lofty sneer introduced by "we presume"; the easy gesture towards authority — Prof. Whelan (six) — the knowing reference to "the curriculum" of the 120 level courses; the self-satisfied way in which you condemn her with her own diction ("crap") — all those instances (by which you belittle Miss Ryan for ignorance) betray a boorishness which I hope is temporary.

The rebuttal would not seem to me so offensive if it were merely boorish, but the rudeness, I'm afraid, is emphasized by argument bad enough to suggest stupidity (which is worse than ignorance).

It does not follow that High Windows is unassailable even if you have taken a course from Mr. Whalen.

It does not follow that the poem is not "crap" even if Mr. Whalen approves of it (if he does).

It does not follow that High Windows is "on the curriculum" even if other members of the English Department choose to discuss it. Nor does it follow that the poem may be taken to be good because it has been discussed with 120 level students by members of faculty.

Your conclusion, Sir, that Miss Ryan has 'a superior talent in the writing of poetry' to that of Larkin, is manifestly absurd. To disapprove of a poem is not necessarily to disapprove of its author, nor does disapproval necessarily imply a claim to superior talent. Miss Ryan may hold what opinion she will, and you may

continue to think her opinion foolish, but you should bear in mind that one need not be a hen to hold opinions about eggs!

It would be a decent gesture on your part, Mr. Editor, if, in the light of these observations,

you withdrew the final gratuitous and sarcastic references to Miss Ryan's 'masterpieces' by which you would be 'honoured.' The 'concerned' Miss Ryan asked to see her letter printed; she did

not, I think ask to be insulted.  
Yours faithfully,

R. H. Crowther  
Chairman  
Department of English

Editor's reply:

Dear Professor Crowther,

We are reluctant to reply to your letter, certainly not because you do not deserve a reply, but because we do not wish to make 'letter and reply' into a "war of paper", in a student media. However, we feel that the necessity of reply outweighs the risk.

The rudeness of our reply in the September 22 edition of the JOURNAL is conceded, yet not as you point out, i.e. "greater than hers."

The reasons for this, Professor Crowther, are the underlying circumstances.

When a reader replies to a piece in the JOURNAL, whether

their remarks are favorable or not, we are usually given the opportunity to express our feelings as to why the piece was printed. However, in Ethyl Ryan's case, we were not given this chance.

Miss Ryan entered the JOURNAL office, slapped her letter on the news desk, flipped her head in a disdainful manner and left the office without so much as a "how-do-you-do?"

We would wonder what your reactions would be in this manner, whether or not a feeling of offence would prevail, as in our case.

Finally, this last comment. The JOURNAL is a collective. That is, in matters of editorial

policy, it is the staff that decides what is to be printed. When we speak in the first person plural, the staff is speaking. An article may be written by a single person, but the decision of content and wording is that of the staff.

Obviously, you are not aware of this, for your statement, "The lofty sneer introduced by 'we presume'; the gesture towards authority" shows an ignorance of the structure of the JOURNAL... an organization where staff democracy exists. It is regretful that this is not prevalent in other areas of this university.

Ed.

Editor  
The Journal  
Saint Mary's University  
Halifax, Nova Scotia

Dear Sir:

High Windows is a subtle and incisive comment on the decadence of contemporary values. Its recent desecration in The Journal confirms my suspicion that Larkin's analysis of contemporary soullessness is accurate. It is pathetic that Ethel Ryan was not granted a

constructive reply.

An essential element of meaning in the poem is the ironic tone of the first four stanzas. At first glance the freedom from sexual and religious conventions appears to be a real liberation. Finally it is not.

In reality, it is a "going down" into spiritual emptiness. Larkin suggests that the technological "fucking" (as opposed to sexual love) is callous and degrading. He sees

no spiritual connection here, only mutual accommodation.

In the final stanza the speaker is moving, in mind, to an image of life which is more expansive and uplifting. The image is there in crucial contrast to the levelling down of life that is configured in the first three stanzas. The artistic stroke is brilliant.

To comprehend it is to be liberated from the depressing smallness of contemporary life at its worst.

Prof. T. A. Whalen

## Knowing your rights "was negative . . ."

Pine Hill Residence,  
Halifax, N.S.  
Sept. 27, 1971

The Editor,  
JOURNAL,  
Saint Mary's University

Dear Sir:

I would very much like to know why you published the article on "knowing, demanding, and enforcing your rights" in your issue of Sept. 22. What did you hope to accomplish? The article sounds like a leaflet the French Underground might have passed out advising their members on how to react to the SS or Gestapo!

In this day and age, when the

police have so much criticism dropped in piles at their feet, your article does not help anyone.

Everyone, including the police, realizes that mistakes have been, and are being made by various police departments throughout Canada and the United States. To be sure, some departments are corrupt and there are policemen who get their kicks by busting heads I imagine, but there are civilians who get their jollies the same way — ask any cop.

The entire article was negative from the opening sentence: "At all times say as little as possible to the police."

Also, in the section "Driving A Car", the article says: "If you are pulled over while driving a car, first, lock all the doors and roll up all the windows, except leave the driver's window open just wide enough to give the officer (surprising he isn't referred to as a PIG) your driver's license, registration and insurance."

One would almost imply from this that if you are driving a motorcycle and are pulled over by the police you should immediately chain yourself to the machine, and tighten your helmet strap!

If a police officer instructs a car to pull over and he sees the

driver locking all his doors and rolling up windows how can he help being suspicious? For instance, if a highway patrol car is making a spot check and the operator of the car he stops follows the instructions printed in the article, the officer's first thought is quite likely to be "What is he trying to hide?"

In conclusion, it is all well and good to know one's rights, but we are not living in a police state as yet, and because of this, I think an article written in the manner of the one in the JOURNAL serves little purpose. Thank you.

Paul Calder  
Arts 3



# Kenny Bendelier is still not a man of the people

Although the Senate has upheld the validity of the Residence Judicial Board in the trial of two residence students, there are still some matters that must be dealt with and must be dealt with now.

Students' Representative Council President John McLaughlin (who is the founder of the RJB) has stated that the

Board was set up with the knowledge that it was an invalid board and that it would be disbanded as soon as a legal judicial board was formed.

Who is right... the Senate, who has decided that the Board's decision was valid or John McLaughlin, who says it is not?

One would think that McLaughlin would be right, since he set up the Residence

Judicial Board, with its invalidity in mind. He has said that it was imperative that students be judged by their peers.

You would probably think this unless you were the Dean of Students, Ken Bendelier.

Kenny is in a very tight situation, and he knows it.

The Residence Dean of Men Brian O'Byrne had threatened

to resign if the decision of the RJB was not upheld. Since Ken sees the necessity of maintaining a man such as O'Byrne, it was very important that he take such actions as would insure O'Byrne's position.

Since the Senate has upheld the RJB's decision, an appeal has been made by Don Caley and Dave Miller to the Chairman of the Senate Committee on Discipline, who by coincidence just happens to be Ken Bendelier.

However, Ken was not too sure about who should handle the appeal. But, true to form, he came up with the decision that was in the best interests of the students.

Said Bendelier, "The first thing that had to be decided was who should hear it, and we decided that we should hear it." ("we" being the Senate Committee on Discipline (Student)). So much for the ISJB, which is the only legal student judicial body on campus.

It is important to note that the ISJB is at the present time under the jurisdiction of the Senate Committee on

Discipline.

Ken Bendelier is also concerned with the situation concerning the Residential Tenancies Act and its application to Saint Mary's University.

Bendelier said that the matter should be dealt with on campus. "The matter should not go outside," he said.

In his actions, he seems to have forgotten about this little piece of Nova Scotia legislation. He may be forcing the students into making a complaint with the Residential Tenancies Board (since that seems to be all there is left).

It has been acknowledged by the Residential Tenancies Board that the Act applies to Saint Mary's University.

Brian O'Byrne and the Residence Judicial Board have violated two sections of the Act by entering the premises of a tenant without permission or without a 24-hour written notice of entry and by ordering eviction without three-months notice.

The next move is up to Ken Bendelier.



## letters

Letters should be addressed to the Editor, JOURNAL, Saint Mary's University. They should be typed and double-spaced. They should be signed, but a pseudonym will be used if requested. For legal reasons unsigned letters cannot be printed.

To the editor,

Dear Sir,

I am well aware that people do not seem to give a fuck about affairs on campus, for that matter, affairs off campus and in the world at large. It almost seems inevitable that if events have no immediate effect on the individual, then those events are either scape-goated or just simply ignored.

I commend your paper not so much for its basic humanistic-altruistic endeavour, but for its undying effort to fight for what it feels is right and against what it knows is wrong. But I go no further. I am one of those secure people already mentioned, idealistic but quite gutless.

My uncaring attitude should only add to your ranquor. My excuse is my own tremendous feeling of powerlessness. I can do nothing.

But it seems to me that on this campus, there are people as gutless as myself but who, unfortunately, do have power. They never seem to use it for any real benefit. Indeed, it seems to continue to lie dormant; that is, until something comes along that might jeopardize the position of someone who holds that power. Then power is used not for the sake of protecting principles of moral-based institution but for protecting a position. The validity of such a function I question.

Last year, I went to the fourth floor of the SUB to seek help... financial help. I was at my lowest point. I was told to go to Mr. Ken Bendelier. Not only was I not helped, but completely discouraged by a man who sat behind a large cumbersome desk saying he felt that instead of supporting bitching radical students through school, that all

that money should go towards helping destitute students such as myself. A fine idea. But he did nothing. Not for me and, as far as I can tell from talking to people, not for any other student.

I ask but one question. What is the function, purpose, or role of this man? I have not been able to find out. I know his title is Dean of Students but that is so empty as all titles generally are. I would appreciate that when you answer my question, you do not quote a description from any brochure or handbook. Those are just as empty as titles.

David W. Kosub  
Arts III

Editor's reply:

There is not much to say, except that Ken Bendelier is not a man of the people.

Ed.

## The JOURNAL

The JOURNAL is a member of the Canadian University Press (CUP), adheres to the CUP statement of principles, and would like to think of itself as an agent of social change. It is the official undergraduate publication at Saint Mary's University, and we try to get it out every Tuesday during the academic year.

The editor regrets that for reasons of style, lateness of arrival, or lack of space, he cannot guarantee everything submitted will be printed, but all contributions are welcome from students, faculty, and others interested.

Advertising rates on request.

Subscriptions \$3.00 a year. (cheap at the price)

Frank Cassidy

Mike Abraham

Phone

Editor

Business Manager

423-6556

tis the second masthead that's been written this week because the first has mysteriously disappeared somewhere, good friend edie so informed us. another foul-up this week. oh boy, anyway, back at the old office where no one is around except just a few, abe the logical doornob mike has been running around getting ads and we've hardly seen him, while paul from bridge macgillvray hogged all the front page for himself. george and debbie who have been seen hardly at all again, haven't been seen, as has claude who was in charlottetown. jim took the cue and went out to search for blood which will appear in gory print next week. paul the moore photog is continuously putting his kicks in the soup. one would wonder about that man. gail has been practicing typing but nothing else than now is the time for all good . . . . . peters and paulines to get in their articles. gerry, who keeps asking for the contact printer hasn't seen it yet, because a certain frank that could be named, hasn't called to have it returned yet. he has an excuse though; it's called over-tired no copy coming in when it's supposed to, late laying out the paper-itis, so from the former hangout of fieldmoo and frabbat who are in toronto and ottawa, goodnight. 5 a.m. is a real drag.



# COMING EVENTS

**SATURDAY, OCTOBER 9**  
**AFTERNOON AT THE ARROW'S CLUB**  
 band — admission \$.50  
 2:00 - 7:00  
 Football:  
 Acadia vs. SMU  
 1:30 p.m.

**VICTORY FOOTBALL DANCE**  
 bar and band — SMU SUB  
 9:00 - 1:00

**FIRST ANNUAL ST. MARY'S  
 HARVEST MOON FALL FESTIVAL**  
**FRIDAY, OCTOBER 15**

Ryan's Fancy — Irish Beer Festival  
 8:30 - 12:00

**SATURDAY, OCTOBER 16**  
 Afternoon at the Arrow's  
 2:00 - 5:00

**COLD DUCK GABARET**  
 with The Great Scott's  
 9:00 - 1:00

**SUNDAY, OCTOBER 17**  
 National Film Board Films  
 free — in library theater  
 2:00 - 5:00

**SOMA ON SUNDAY**  
 9:00 - 12:00

Brought to you  
 Compliments of

## The JOURNAL

We try to make our student customers happy!  
 How?

With our quality and prices, of course!  
 You will receive a 10% discount on all purchases of  
 \$5.00 or more. Bring a copy of this ad, please.

### MEL'S COMMUNITY STORE

5384 Inglis St. Phone 422-3881  
 For delivery service — Phone 1 day ahead.  
 Thanks for your patronage.

# Stomp on UPEI 42-12

Huskies win no. 2

by Claude Isaacs

In a game marred with many cheap penalties to both sides, the Saint Mary's Huskies crushed the University of Prince Edward Island Panthers 42-12 in Charlottetown, Saturday, which gives the Huskies a 2-0 record.

Coach Al Keith tried out several new plays in the game, and sometimes a mix-up was the result. The Huskies were called twice for too many men on the field, or illegal substitution.

SMU got great performances from Conrad Kozak, Bill Miller, Henry Mayer and Stan Daley. Kozak accounted for a touchdown, six concerts and 193 yards passing, including one 50-yarder and one 70-yard touchdown pass.

Stan Daley scored the

Huskies' first major, taking a ten-yard pass from Robinson. Daley scored again late in the first half on an eight-yard rush. Robinson also hit Ken Clark with a 27-yard touchdown pass and Bob Spree with a 15-yarder.

Ironman Jim Paolini accounted for both UPEI touchdowns as well as 70 of their 92 yards rushing. UPEI quarterback Grant Canvin was good on 13 of 30 pass attempts with Robinson good on 15 of 29. Most of Robinson's incomplete passes came in the second half when the Huskies were trying different and new plays.

Henry Mayer and Ron Maida were sharp in the first half on defence. Mike Thompson picked off a beautiful interception, stepping in front of the intended receiver at the last minute.

Defensive halfback Pierre Lefebvre picked up two of the six Saint Mary's interceptions.

Others went to Mayer, Thompson, Pokrajac and Jones. Tuck Jones returned his for 31 yards and a touchdown, after having another 120-yard run nullified by a penalty.

Saint Mary's had 132 yards rushing and 329 yards passing for a total of 461. UPEI had 92 yards rushing and 154 yards passing for a total offense of 246 yards.

Top receiver was Kozak with six completions for 193, while Ken Clark had five completions for 75 yards. Daley was the top rusher for the Huskies with 51 carries for 58 yards.

The Panthers' top player was Paolini, who carried the ball 19 times for 70 yards.

UPEI had 72 plays from the line by my count, while the Huskies had 68, the difference being that it only took Saint Mary's about three plays to get a touchdown.



Scene from Huskies bench. (Isaacs Photo)

## Classified

**FOR SALE:** Leather SMU jacket, size 40-42 (large), \$20.00. 423-4549, ask for Tom or leave a number and name.

**WANTED:** One carpet, green preferred, to fit a room 10 feet x 13 feet. Phone 423-6771 after 5.

## PIZZA DELIGHT

ALL ACROSS CANADA

6422 QUINPOOL RD. (Next to Oxford Theater Building) HALIFAX, N.S.

**Tel. 423-7958**



	9"	12"
PLAIN	1.00	1.45
HAMBURG	1.25	1.65
BACON	1.25	1.65
GREEN PEPPER	1.25	1.65
ONION	1.25	1.65
SALAMI	1.25	1.65
PEPPERONI	1.25	1.65
MUSHROOM	1.25	1.65
OLIVE	1.25	1.65

### SPECIALS

COMBINATIONS of 2	1.40	1.85
COMBINATIONS of 3	1.50	2.10
COMBINATIONS of 4	1.60	2.35
THE WORKS (8 ingredients)	1.95	2.70

• OPEN •

SUNDAY to THURSDAY — 4 p.m. — 1 a.m.  
 FRIDAY & SATURDAY — 4 p.m. — 2 a.m.

## X-RAYS

On students with positive T-B tests (Green cards will be done on Thursday, October 14th, 9 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.; Friday, October 15th, 9 a.m. to 1 p.m.) Student Center, first floor. Faculty and students welcome. (All students who didn't have TB tests read should have an X-ray.)

**CLUB MEETINGS**  
**THURSDAY, OCTOBER 7**  
 Chess Club, 2:30 @ A.C. 225  
**FRIDAY, OCTOBER 8**  
 Film Club, 3:30 @ A.C. 225  
**CULTURAL AFFAIRS**  
**CLASS HOURS**  
 M., W., F.: 8:30-10:30;  
 12:30-1:30; 2:30-3:30  
 T. T.: 10:00-11:30; 4:00-5:30  
 Leave message if important.

## ABORTION QUESTIONS?

For Information and Referral Assistance Call  
**ABORTION INFORMATION CENTER, INC.**

(201) 868-3745  
 868-3746

9:00 A.M. to 7:00 P.M.  
 Monday to Saturday

**COLOR TV**      **BLACK & WHITE TV**

19" (B&W) TV Rental  
 \$4.00 weekly    \$12.50 monthly

12" (B & W) TV Rental  
 \$10.00 per month

15" Color TV Rental  
 \$12.50 2 wks  
 \$20 1 month  
 \$35 2 months

10% discount on merchandise with student card.

**ARGYLE**

Drop in and see our display of Stereo Components.

**ARGYLE TV SALES & SERVICE • RENTALS**  
 2063 GOTTINGEN ST.    PHONE 422-5930