Conflicting edge influence on herbaceous species in open areas vs. underneath oak trees in forest fragments in Iran

Gelareh Valadi[1], Javad Eshaghi Rad[2], Yahia Khodakarami[3], Karen Amanda Harper[4]*

1-Department of Forestry, Faculty of Natural Resources, Urmia University, Iran.

2-Corresponding author. Department of Forestry, Faculty of Natural Resources, Urmia University, Iran

3-Forests and Rangelands Research Department, Agricultural research, Education and extension organization, AREEO, Kermanshah, Iran

4-Biology Department, Saint Mary's University, Halifax, Canada

[*] Correspondence: Javad Eshaghi Rad

Email: j.eshagh@urmia.ac.ir or Javad.eshaghi@yahoo.com

Tel: +98 44 32770489, Fax: +98 44 32770489

Abstract

Since the type of forest influences vegetation patterns from the edge to interior forest, site-specific edge studies are needed but there have been few studies in open-canopied forests such as oak savannahs. Our objective was to compare patterns of herbaceous plant diversity along the forest edge-to-interior gradient between open areas and underneath oak trees in the Zagros Forest in Iran. We established eighteen transects from the forest edge to the interior in small and large forest fragments to sample herbaceous species in five 0.25 m^2 quadrats at 1 m intervals from the base of the tree to the open area at different distances from the forest edge. We analyzed the data using randomization tests for edge influence and generalized linear mixed models. Edge influence had a positive effect on herbaceous species richness and diversity underneath oak trees but a negative effect in open areas. At forest edges, species richness and diversity significantly decreased from the tree base toward open areas but exhibited the opposite pattern away from the edge. Edge influence extended up to 50 m from the forest edge to the interior. Our findings highlight the importance of considering forest type and stand heterogeneity when studying edge influence on plant diversity. Our results show that edge studies are needed for specific forest types, particularly in heterogeneous landscapes, to ensure appropriate conservation of species diversity. We recommend establishing a 50-meter buffer zone along edges in the Zagros Forest in Iran to minimize negative edge influence on herbaceous plant diversity.

Keywords: Distance of edge influence, edge effects, fragment size, open canopy forest, species richness, Zagros forests.

Introduction

Destruction and degradation of natural ecosystems are the primary causes of the decrease in global biodiversity (Rands et al. 2010). Human disturbances such as logging, forest clearing for agriculture, and landscape fragmentation are related to loss of natural habitat and biological diversity (Barima et al. 2010). Fragmentation, the division of natural habitat into smaller and more isolated fragments (Haddad et al. 2015), alters forest dynamics, microclimate, and biological cycles, leading to an increase in invasive and pioneer species (Barima et al. 2010), and changes in environmental factors, community structure, and species composition close to the edge of fragments (Harper 2005; Pardini et al. 2017).

One of the major consequences of forest fragmentation is an increase in forested areas influenced by the edge (Honnay et al. 2002; Fahrig 2003). This concept is termed edge influence and is defined as the difference in biotic and abiotic factors at the forest edge relative to the interior forest (Harper et al. 2005). Edge influence can have important impacts on species diversity, and community and ecosystem functioning (Laurance et al. 2006; Willmer et al. 2022). Along a forest edge-to-interior gradient, species are exposed to changes in microclimatic conditions such as greater light availability, temperature variation, and wind exposure (Harper et al. 2005; Magnago et al. 2015; Erdős et al. 2018), which affect the establishment and development of plants (Coelho et al. 2016; Erdős et al. 2019; Wekesa et al. 2019; da Costa et al. 2020). The edge is often dominated by light-demanding species with high growth and low survival rates (Magnago et al. 2015; Bragion et al. 2019). In contrast, the shady and humid conditions in the forest interior favor long-lived shade-tolerant species (Bragion et al. 2019), which grow slowly but are taller and larger, resulting in greater aboveground stand biomass (Da Silva et al. 2019). Edges also influence litter decomposition and nutrients, and subsequently alter species diversity and richness along the forest edge-to-interior gradient (Bennett and Saunders 2010).

Edge influence has been a principal topic of interest in studies of landscape processes associated with edge creation and fragmentation during the last few decades (Harper et al. 2005, Franklin et al. 2021). Forest herbaceous species can be influenced by the edge because their composition is affected by altered forest conditions such as increased light availability and reduced soil moisture (Pellissier et al. 2013). Furthermore, conditions at the forest edge have been found to be more heterogeneous compared to the interior (Ewers and Didham 2006). Previous studies have shown that forest edges influence woody plant species richness and diversity in different ecosystems including in South Africa (Ruwanza 2018), Tanzania (Kacholi 2014) and Brazil (Fontoura et al. 2006; Sampaio and Scariot 2011). However, few studies have investigated the herbaceous layer diversity in response to created edges. Studies show that plant species richness and diversity of understory species decreased from the forest edge to interior forest in central-southern China (Li et al. 2018) and in southwestern France (Alignier 2013). However, the opposite trend of higher species richness in the forest interior compared to edge has been found in northern France and Atlantic Forest in Brazil (Berges et al. 2013; Mendes et al. 2016). Finally, no edge influence on species richness was reported in southwestern Amazon forests (Phillips et al. 2006).

Furthermore, studies of edge influence on vegetation in open, dry forests are compared to those in more humid ecosystems. For instance, studies have been conducted in humid black spruce boreal forests in Canada (Harper et al. 2016) and the tropical cerrados in Brazil (Dodonov et al. 2013). Moreover, no edge research has considered differences in edge influence on herbaceous vegetation in different habitats within a heterogeneous open-canopied forest or the interaction between edge influence (forest edge-to-interior gradient) and the gradient from the tree base to open area away from the tree canopy.

The Zagros Forest, an open-canopied temperate forest dominated by *Quercus* spp., is the largest forested land in Iran and has been fragmented by human activities such as fuelwood cutting, agriculture and livestock grazing. The forests have been significantly destroyed and their potential productivity has been lost due to social problems and inadequate management practices (Eshaghi Rad et al. 2018). In a previous study in this forest, we investigated edge influence on herbaceous plant species diversity and soil properties along the forest edge-to-interior gradient (Valadi et al. 2022). Here we investigate edge influence further by considering the effect of distance from the tree base into an open area on herbaceous species richness and diversity at different distances along the forest edge-to-interior gradient. Our first objective was to determine how herbaceous plant richness and diversity varied along two gradients: (i) from the tree base to open area and (ii) from the forest edge to the interior, and to ascertain whether these two gradients interact. Our second objective was to assess the differences in herbaceous plant richness and diversity in small vs. large fragments. We tested the following null hypotheses: (i) species richness and diversity is the same at different distances from the base of tree, (ii) changes in species richness and diversity from the forest edge to interior are the same at different distances from the tree base toward open area, and (iii) herbaceous plant richness and diversity patterns along gradients are the same in small and large forest fragments. By understanding the effects of edge influence on herbaceous species richness and diversity, forest managers could develop more effective strategies to conserve and protect these important ecosystems.

Material and Methods

Study area

We conducted our research in the semi-arid Kermanshah province in Iran (34˚1´20.37" N, 46˚23'54.93"E, 1650 m asl). *Quercus brantti,* the main tree species in our study area, forms even-aged stands with a density of 70 individuals per ha and canopy cover < 50% (Jazirei and Ebrahimi Rastaghi 2003). Average annual precipitation and temperature were 489 mm and 21.4 °C, respectively. The lowest and highest monthly average temperatures were 8.2 °C in January and

35.2 °C in August 2019. From the past to present, these forests have been settled by residents and nomads resulting in deforestation in some parts and severe damages in others. Due to the lack of adequate conservation planning, this settlement created forest fragments of varying sizes.

Data collection

To investigate edge influence on species richness and diversity of herbaceous vegetation in sparse oak forests, we selected three small (5 to 7 ha) and three large (13 to 18 ha) fragments on 20-25% north-facing slopes. We chose fragments with similar physiographical conditions to isolate the effect of edge influence and we maintained a distance of approximately 1 km between fragments. We established three transects from the edge to the forest interior in each of the three small and three large forest fragments for a total of 18 transects. The first transect in each fragment was randomly chosen (using random coordinates) and the other two transects were placed 200 m on either side parallel to the first one. Herbaceous vegetation was sampled in May and June 2019 at 0 (forest edge), 25, 50, 100, and 150 m distances (toward forest interior) along each transect (Mendes et al. 2016) for a total of 90 sampling points in the six forest fragments (15 per fragment, 45 in small and 45 in large fragments).

To understand how herbaceous vegetation richness and diversity change from the tree base to the adjacent open area we collected data on canopy cover. We measured the short and long crown diameters of all trees with a DBH greater than 7.5 cm in two quadrats (20 × 2 m) perpendicular to the main edge to interior transect at each sampling point. We collected herbaceous data in five 0.5 × 0.5 m (0.25 m^2) quadrats at 1 m intervals from the base of two trees at each sampling point (ten quadrats total). We selected the nearest tree on either side of the main transect and established the quadrats from the tree base towards open area and the main transect (Fig.1). We recorded the number of individuals of all vascular herbaceous species < 0.5 m in height within each quadrat. Individuals were easily differentiated for most species, but we estimated the number of individuals for a few species with high density such as some grasses. Herbaceous species were identified to species level; nomenclature followed Ghahraman (2001).

Data analysis

For each sampling point, we calculated the mean herbaceous species abundance for paired quadrats located at the same distance from the tree base for a total of five mean abundances (one for each distance from the tree base) for each species at each sampling point. Before data analysis, Shapiro-Wilk tests were used to test for data normality. Unless otherwise indicated, all data analyses were conducted in R version 3.6.1 (R Core Team 2014).

Herbaceous vegetation diversity was quantified for each sampling point using three diversity indices: species richness (N = number of species), Shannon diversity as $H' = \sum_{i=1}^{s} p_i ln p_i$, where s equals the number of species and p_i is the relative cover of ith species (hereafter referred to as diversity) and evenness as $J' = H'/ H'_{max}$ with $H'_{max} = ln(S)$ (Magurran 2004). We analyzed diversity using the package "vegan," version 2.5-6 (Oksanen et al. 2013). We calculated average canopy cover by using CD = (C1×C2)× π/4 where CD=canopy diameter, C1=long diameter, C2= short diameter (Zobeyri 2008) for each tree, which we then averaged for all sampling points for each transect. We detected significant differences in canopy cover between different distance from edge using the Tukey test in SPSS 22 (Rovai et al. 2013).

For each of the five distances from the tree base, we calculated the magnitude of edge influence (MEI) and distance of edge influence (DEI) (Harper and Macdonald 2011) for species richness, diversity and evenness. The MEI is a measure of the strength of edge influence, which we determined as MEI = $(X_d - X_i)/(X_d + X_i)$ where X_d = average of each variable at distance d from the edge, and X_i = average of each variable in interior forest (100 m and 150 m). This metric ranges from -1 (negative edge influence) to +1 (positive edge influence). We reported MEI at the distance from the edge where the absolute value of MEI was greatest for each variable. To calculate DEI for each variable, we used the randomization test of edge influence (RTEI) according to the methodology in Harper et al. (2011). RTEI tests the significance of MEI for various distances from the edge compared to interior forest using randomization tests of the data. We reported DEI as either 0 m if MEI was significant only at 0 m or the set of two or more consecutive distances (or separated by one distance) where MEI was significant. Otherwise, DEI was reported as not significant and was excluded from average DEI. We calculated MEI and DEI separately for the five distances from the tree base into the open area.

We used generalized linear mixed models (GLMMs) (Magnago et al. 2017) to assess the effects and interactions of distance from forest edge, distance from tree base and fragment size on diversity indices. Distance from edge, distance from tree base and fragment size were fixed effects and fragment was a random effect. A Gaussian distribution was used for the normally distributed response variables. For analyzing GLMMs, we used the package "lme4" version 1.1-21 (Bates et al. 2014). Tukey tests (in SPSS 22) were used to compare diversity indices at different distances from the edge for each distance from the tree base (Rovai et al. 2013). Indicator species analysis was applied to determine indicator species for different distances from the tree base in small and large fragments (Mccune and Mefford 2006). This method is based on relative fidelity and relative abundance of species and aims to identify species (Legendre and Legendre 2012).

Results

Trees had significantly larger canopies in the forest interior compared to the edge in both small and large fragments; canopy area per tree was particularly low within 50 m of the edges of large fragments (Table 1).

The results of the GLMM showed that distance from forest edge and distance from tree base significantly affected herbaceous plant species richness, diversity and evenness (Table 2). Furthermore, the interaction between distance from edge and distance from tree base was significant. Fragment size was a significant factor in explaining species diversity and evenness, but not richness. The interactions of fragment size with distance from edge and with distance from tree base were significant except for the interaction between fragment size and distance from edge for species diversity, and the interaction between fragment size and distance from tree base for species diversity and evenness.

At the edges of small and large forest fragments (0, 25 m), herbaceous plant species richness and diversity significantly decreased from the tree base (0, 1, 2 m) toward open area (3, 4 m) (Fig. 2). We found the opposite pattern in interior forest, with significantly higher species richness and diversity 3 and 4 m from the tree base. Evenness was significantly greater in the open area than at the base of trees at distances of 150 m from the edge in small fragments, and 100 m and 150 m from the edge in large fragments. The interaction between distance from tree base and distance from forest edge can also be viewed from a different perspective. Measures of species diversity at the tree base decreased from the forest edge to the interior but increased along the edge-to-interior gradient in open areas. Overall, diversity was lowest in open areas near the edge and next to tree bases in the forest interior, and greatest at tree bases at the edge and in open areas of interior forest.

The MEI was positive (greater values at the edge) for herbaceous plant species richness, diversity and evenness for areas within 3 m of the tree base in both small and large fragments, but negative for distances greater than 3 m from the tree base in the open areas (Table 3). The DEI for species richness and diversity extended up to 50 m from the forest edge to the interior for nearly all distances from the tree base in both small and large forest fragments.

Herbaceous plant indicator species were discernible only for the tree base (0, 1 m) at the forest edge (0 m) and in open areas (4 m from the tree base) at 100 and 150 m from the edge in small forest fragments (Table 5). For large fragments, indicator species were identified for comparable distances from the tree base and the forest edge, with the addition of the tree base (0, 1 m) at a distance of 25 m from the edge.

Discussion

Overall, we found opposing patterns of edge influence on herbaceous understory vegetation in oak savannah forest fragments in the Zargos Forest of Iran. Edge influence was positive for herbaceous plant species diversity at tree bases but negative in the open areas between trees (Table 3). Stated another way, diversity was greater under trees than in open areas up to 50 m from the edge, but the opposite pattern occurred in the forest interior (100 and 150 m from the forest edge) with greater diversity in open areas. Microenvironmental variation along the forest edge-to-interior gradient might explain these opposing patterns; a different microclimate at the edge may favor a different plant community from that found in the interior (Noss and Cooperrider 1994). Documented changes in microclimate typical of forest edges include higher light, air and soil temperatures, wind speed, and vapor pressure, and lower relative humidity and soil moisture (Young and Mitchell 1994). Increased evaporation and reduced soil moisture adjacent to the forest edge are crucial drivers behind differences in forest vegetation between forest edge and interior (Herbst et al. 2007; Riutta et al. 2016). Soil carbon and moisture levels are higher in shaded areas than in open areas at the forest edge (Joshi et al. 2001). Combined with additional light penetration and more organic matter, these wetter conditions under the canopy at the forest edge likely favor more species, resulting in higher richness and diversity compared to the drier, nutrient-poor conditions in open areas. Greater herbaceous species richness under tree canopies near the forest edge is associated with more organic matter and soil moisture, wind protection, decreased daily oscillations of temperature, and lower evapotranspiration rates, air, and soil temperatures (Ishii 2013; Valladares 2016; Ren 2022).

Edge influence did not affect herbaceous species richness and diversity after 50 m. In contrast to forest edges, interior forest had greater canopy cover (Table 1), resulting in less light availability. Although soil moisture is generally important, light is probably the most limiting factor for understory species in temperate forests (Dormann et al. 2020). This lack of light is more important for the establishment of herbaceous species, as shade reduces herbaceous species richness (Gillet et al. 1999; Fikadu and Zewdu 2021). Light is a key resource for the growth and survival of herbaceous species (Tinya 2009; Plue et al. 2013; Garg 2022) and is likely the reason we observed more herbaceous species in open areas compared to tree bases in interior forests, which had less available light because of greater canopy cover. Many studies found that light availability has a major impact on herbaceous species composition (e.g., De Frenne et al. 2015; Medvecká et al. 2018). Most herbaceous species in sparse oak forests, such as *Tortilis* sp., *Hordeum* sp., and *Heteranthelium* sp. in open areas within the forest interior, and *Astragalus* sp. and *Trifolium* at forest edges, which mainly belong to Poaceae and Fabaceae, are adapted to high light conditions and are not usually found in low light conditions beneath the canopy. Greater light availability in open-canopied forest tends to promote the establishment of generalist and light-demanding species (Alignier et al. 2014).

Distance from the forest edge and from the tree base were crucial factors in the open canopy oak forests, as we found opposite patterns of edge influence on herbaceous species diversity for the tree base vs. open areas (Fig.2). We believe that these results are related to increasing canopy cover from the forest edge to interior, which mediates harsh abiotic environmental conditions such as light availability, wind speed, air temperature, and humidity and reduced soil evaporation (Sagar et al. 2012; Ishii et al. 2013; Valladares et al. 2016). Whereas light availability is positively correlated with understory plant species richness in temperate forests (Dormann 2020), this relationship is not consistent across all forests. Studies have found varying relationships between light availability and plant species richness (Adler 2011; Bartels and Chen 2013; Fuxai et al. 2014; Tinya 2016). These relationships often depend on factors such as dominant tree species, stand density, soil properties, successional stage, and management (Hardtle 2003; Fuxai et al. 2014). Carefully controlled grazing can increase plant diversity (Kirk et al. 2019); a study of Zagros forests showed that herbaceous and woody communities responded differently to various levels of grazing intensity (Ahmadi et al. 2022). In our study fragments were surrounded by agricultural land in which cattle grazing was prohibited by landowners.

Our result of a DEI of 50 m for herbaceous species richness and diversity agrees with other studies that indicate that DEI usually extends up to 50 m in temperate forests (Honnay et al. 2002) and 40 m in boreal forests (Harper and Macdonald 2001). Based on a synthesis by Franklin et al. (2021), average DEI for forest fragments surrounded by anthropogenic disturbances extends up to 42 m into the interior. Guirado et al. (2006) observed greater DEI (100 m) in oak and pine Mediterranean forests in Spain, indicating that DEI depends on various conditions in different ecosystems. Forest practices can strongly modify understory environmental conditions such as light, temperature, and soil moisture as well as species diversity (Ash and Barkham 1976; Grayson et al. 2012). In the Zagros Forest in Iran, considering that DEI extended up to 50 m for both under trees and in open areas, we recommend a 50 m buffer to conserve the interior herbaceous communities of these oak fragments. Further research on the impact of edge influence and buffer zones is urgently required in these fragmented forests to develop comprehensive management plans for each forest.

In conclusion, our study showed that forest edges influence herbaceous species richness and diversity and have different impacts on species at the tree base compared to in open areas in open-canopied oak forests of Iran. Efforts to conserve and restore forests and herbaceous plants should be integrated with sustainable forest management practices to maintain and enhance the ecosystem services of these forests, ensuring their benefits for present and future generations. Regional and national assessments are needed to determine where and what kind of conservation and restoration should occur to protect the remaining natural herbaceous plants. Our study has major implications for edge research beyond open oak forests in that we showed how edge influence on plant diversity can differ dramatically at a fine scale within the same ecosystem, even having opposite effects within a few meters from the tree base.

References

Adler P B, Seabloom E W, Borer E T et al. (2011) Productivity is a poor predictor of plant species richness. Science 333(6050):1750-1753

Ahmadi A, Rezaei R, Abdi N, Toranjzar H (2022) Changes in species diversity and soil seed bank under the exclosure and different intensity of livestock grazing in deteriorated forests of middle Zagros. Ecol Iran For 10(20): 52-63

Alignier A, Deconchat M (2013) Patterns of forest vegetation responses to edge effect as revealed by a continuous approach. Ann For Sci 70(6): 601-609

Alignier A, Alard D, Chevalier R, Corcket E (2014) Can contrast between forest and adjacent open habitat explain the edge effects on plant diversity? Acta Bot Gall 161(3): 253-259

Ash J, Barkham J (1976) Changes and variability in the field layer of a coppiced woodland in Norfolk, England. J. Ecol 697-712

Barima Y S S, Barbier N, Ouattara B, Bogaert J (2010) Relation entre la composition floristique et des indicateurs de la fragmentation du paysage dans une region de transition forêt savane ivoirienne [Relationship between floristic composition and indicators of landscape fragmentation in a transition forest-savanna region in Ivory Coast]. Biotechnol Agron Soc Envir 14(4): 617

Bartels S F, Chen HYH (2010) Is understory plant species diversity driven by resource quantity or resource heterogeneity? Ecol 91(7):1931-1938

Bates D, Mächler M, Bolker B et al (2014) Fitting linear mixed-effects models using lme4.

ArXiv org :1406.5823

Bennett A F, Saunders D A (2010) Habitat fragmentation and landscape change. Conserv Biol 93: 1544-1550

Bergès L, Chevalier R, Avon C (2013) Influence of forest road, road-surfacing material and stand age on floristic diversity and composition in a nutrient-poor environment. Appl Veg Sci 16: 470– 479

Bragion E D F A, Coelho G A O, de Siqueira F F et al (2019) Sharp differentiation on the performance of plant functional groups across natural edges. Plant Ecol 12(1): 186-198

Coelho R L F, de Oliveira D S, de Almeida M I S (2016) Does social media matter for post typology? Impact of post content on Facebook and Instagram metrics. Online Inf Rev 40(4): 458-471

Da Costa L B, de Carvalho O L F, de Albuquerque A O et al (2022) Deep semantic segmentation for detecting eucalyptus planted forests in the Brazilian territory using sentinel-2 imagery. Geocarto Int 37(22): 6538-6550

Da Silva T S, Haigh S K, Elshafie M Z E B et al (2019) Preparations for field testing for the performance validation of piled wind turbine foundations in expansive clays. ISSMGE

De Frenne P, Rodríguez-Sánchez F, De Schrijver A N (2015) Light accelerates plant responses to warming. Nat Plants 1: 1-3. doi:10.1038/nplants.2015.110

Dodonov P, Harper K A, Silva-Matos D M (2013) The role of edge contrast and forest structure in edge influence: vegetation and microclimate at edges in the Brazilian cerrado. Plant Ecol 214(11): 1345-1359

Dormann C F, Bagnara M, Boch S et al (2020) Plant species richness increases with light availability, but not variability, in temperate forests understorey. BMC Ecol 20: 1-9

Erdős L, Ambarlı D, Anenkhonov O A et al (2018) The edge of two worlds: A new review and synthesis on Eurasian forest-steppes. Appl Veg Sci 21 (3): 345-362

Erdős L, Krstonošić D, Kiss PJ et al (2019) Plant composition and diversity at edges in a semi natural forest–grassland mosaic. Plant Ecol 220(3):279-292

Eshaghi Rad J, Valadi G, Salehzade O, Maroufi H (2018) Effects of anthropogenic disturbance on plant composition plant diversity and soil properties in oak forests, Iran. J For Sci 64(8): 358-370

Ewers R M, Didham R K (2006) Confounding factors in the detection of species responses to habitat fragmentation. Biol Rev 81:117-707

Fahrig L (2003) Effects of habitat fragmentation on biodiversity. Annu Rev Ecol Evol Syst 34(1): 487-515

Fikadu T, Zewdu T (2021) Influences of tree species and canopy cover on aboveground Biomass yield and ground cover of herbaceous plants in eastern Oromia, Ethiopia. Am J Agric For 9(4): 233-240

Fontoura S B, Ganade G, Larocca J (2006) Changes in plant community diversity and composition across an edge between Araucaria forest and pasture in South Brazil. Am J Bot 29: 79-91

Franklin C M A, Harper K A, Clarke M J (2021) Trends in studies of edge influence on vegetation at human-created and natural forest edges across time and space. Can J For Res 51: 274-282

Fuxai X, Fousseni F, Chungang P et al (2014) Effect of overstory on the seasonal variability of understory herbs in primary broad-leaved Korean pine forest of Changbai Mountain. AJB 13(11). https ://doi.org/10.5897/ajb12 .1013

Garg S, Joshi R K, Garkoti S C (2022) Effect of tree canopy on herbaceous vegetation and soil characteristics in semi-arid forests of the Aravalli hills. Arid Land Res Manag 36(2): 224-242

Ghahreman A (1979-2003) Color flora of Iran. Research Institute of Forests and Rangelands, Tehran

Gillet F B, Murisier A, Buttler J, Gallandat J (1999) Influence of tree cover on the diversity of herbaceous communities in subalpine wooded pastures. Appl Veg Sci 2: 47-54

Grayson S F, Buckley D S, Henning J G et al (2012) Understory light regimes following silvicultural treatments in central hardwood forests in Kentucky, USA. For Ecol Manag 279: 66-76

Guirado M, Pino J, Rodà F (2006) Understorey plant species richness and composition in metropolitan forest archipelagos: effects of forest size, adjacent land use and distance to the edge. Glob Ecol Biogeogr 15(1): 50-62

Haddad N M, Brudvig L A, Clobert J et al (2015) Habitat fragmentation and its lasting impact on Earth's ecosystems. Sci Adv 1(2) e1500052

Härdtle W, von Oheimb G, Westphal C (2003) The effects of light and soil conditions on the species richness of the ground vegetation of deciduous forests in northern Germany (Schleswig-Holstein). For Ecol Manag 182:327–38

Harper K A, Macdonald S E (2001) Structure and composition of riparian boreal forest: new methods for analyzing edge influence. Ecol 82(3):649-659

Harper K A, Macdonald S E, Burton P J (2005) Edge influence on forest structure and composition in fragmented landscapes. Conserv Biol 19(3): 768-782

Harper K A, Macdonald S E (2011) Quantifying distance of edge influence: a comparison of methods and a new randomization method. Ecosphere 2(8): 1-17

Harper K A, Drapeau P, Lesieur D, Bergeron Y (2016) Negligible structural development and edg influence on the understory at 16-17-yr-old clear-cut edges in black spruce forest. Appl Veg Sci 19:462-473

Herbst M, Roberts J M, Rosier P T W, Taylor M E, Gowing D J (2007) Edge effects and forest water use: a field study in a mixed deciduous woodland. For Ecol Manag 250:176–86

Honnay O, Verheyen K, Hermy M (2002) Permeability of ancient forest edges for weedy plant species invasion. For Ecol Manag 161 (1-3): 109-122

Ishii H, Azuma W, Nabeshima E (2013) The need for a canopy perspective to understand the importance of phenotypic plasticity for promoting species coexistence and light-use complementarity in forest ecosystems. Ecol Res 28: 191–198

Jazirei M H, Ebrahimi Rastaghi M (2003) Zagros silviculture. Tehran University Press. 560 pp (In Persian).

Joshi B, Singh S P, Rawat Y S, Goel D (2001). Facilitative effect of *Coriaria nepalensis* on species diversity and growth of herbs on severely eroded hill slopes. Curr Sci 80: 678-682

Kacholi D S (2014) Edge-interior disparities in tree species and structural composition of the Kilengwe forest in Morogoro region, Tanzania. International Scholarly Research Notices in Forests of Different Type and Ages. Pol J Ecol 66(3):239-249

Kirk D A, Hébert K, Goldsmith F B (2019) Grazing effects on woody and herbaceous plant biodiversity on a limestone mountain in northern Tunisia. PeerJ, 7, e7296

Laurance W F, Nascimento H E, Laurance S G (2006) Rain forest fragmentation and the proliferation of successional trees. Ecol 87(2): 469-482

Legendre P, Legendre L (2012) Numerical Ecology. Elsevier

Li J, Zhao Ch, Peng Y et al (2018) Edge fefect on tree growth and species diversity in forests of different type and ages. Pol J Ecol 66(3):239-249

Liepa L, Rendenieks Z, Jansons Ā et al (2020) The persisting influence of edge on vegetation in hemiboreal *Alnus glutinosa* (L.) Gaertn. swamp forest set-asides adjacent to recently disturbed stands. Forests 11(10) 1084

Magnago L F S, Rocha M F, Meyer L et al (2015) Microclimatic conditions at forest edges have significant impacts on vegetation structure in large Atlantic forest fragments. Biodivers. Conserv 24: 2305-2318

Magnago L F S, Magrach A, Barlow J (2017) Do fragment size and edge effects predict carbon stocks in trees and lianas in tropical forests?. Funct Ecol 31(2): 542-552

Magurran A E (2004) Measuring biological diversity. Afr J Aquat Sci, 29(2): 285-286

McCune B, Mefford M (2006) PC-ORD version 5.10: Multivariate Analysis of Ecological Data. MjM Software Gleneden Beach OR USA

Medvecká J, Jarolímek I, Hegedušová K (2018) Forest habitat invasions – Who with whom, where and why. Ecol Manag 409: 468–478

Mendes P G A, Silva M A M, Guerra T N F (2016) Dynamics and edge effect of an Atlantic Forest fragment in Brazil. Floresta Ambiente 23(3): 340-349

Noss R F, Cooperrider A Y (1994) Saving nature's legacy: protecting and restoring biodiversity. Island Press Washington D C

Oksanen J, Blanchet F G, Kindt R et al (2013) Community ecology package. R package version 2(0): 321-326

Pardini R, Nichols E, Püttker T (2017) Biodiversity response to habitat loss and fragmentation. Encyclopedia of the Anthropocene 3: 229-239.

Pellissier L, Pinto-Figueroa E, Niculita-Hirzel H et al (2013) Plant species distributions along environmental gradients: do belowground interactions with fungi matter? Front Plant Sci 4, 500

Phillips O L, Rose S, Mendoza A M et al (2006) Resilience of southwestern Amazon forests to anthropogenic edge effects. Conserv Biol 20(6): 1698-1710

Plue J,Van Gils B, De Schrijver A (2013) Forest herb layer response to long-term light deficit along a forest developmental series. Acta Oecol 53: 63–72

Rands M R, Adams W M, Bennun L (2010) Biodiversity conservation: challenges beyond 2010. Science 329(5997): 1298-1303

R Core Team (2014) R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. http://www.R-project.org/

Ren Y, Guo M, Yin F et al (2022) Tree cover improved the species diversity of understory spontaneous herbs in a small city. Forests 13(8): 1310

Riutta T, Clack H, Crockatt M, Slade E M (2016) Landscape-scale implications of the edge effect on soil fauna activity in a temperate forest. Ecosystems 19: 534–544

Rovai A P, Baker J D, Ponton M K (2013) Social science research design and statistics: A practitioner's guide to research methods and IBM SPSS. Water tree Press LLC.

Ruwanza S, Gaertner M, Esler K J (2018) Medium-term vegetation recovery after removal of invasive *Eucalyptus camaldulensis* stands along a South African river. S Afr J Bot S AFR J BOT 119:63-68

Sagar R, Pandey A, Singh J S (2012) Composition, species diversity, and biomass of the herbaceous community in dry tropical forest of northern India in relation to soil moisture and light intensity. The Environmentalist 32:485-93

Sampaio A B, Scariot A (2011) Edge effect on tree diversity, composition and structure in a deciduous dry forest in central Brazil. Rev. Arvore 35(5):1121-1134

Saunders D A, Hobbs R J, Margules C R (1991) Biological consequences of ecosystem fragmentation: a review. Conserv. Biol 5: 18–32

Tinya F, Mihók B, Márialigeti S, Mag Z S, Ódor P (2009) A comparison of three indirect methods for estimating understory light at different spatial scales in temperate mixed forests. Community Ecol 10: 81–90

Tinya, F, Ódor P (2016) Congruence of the spatial pattern of light and understory vegetation in an old-growth, temperate mixed forest. For Ecol Manag 381:84-92

Valadi G, Eshaghi Rad J, Khodakarami Y et al (2022) Edge influence on herbaceous plant species, diversity and soil properties in sparse oak forest fragments in Iran. J. Plant Ecol 15(2) :413-424

Valladares F, Laanisto L, Niinemets U, Zavala M A (2016) Shedding light on shade: ecological perspectives of understorey plant life. Plant Ecol Divers 9 (3): 237-251

Wekesa C, Kirui B K, Marangan E K, Muturi G M (2019) Variation in forest structure, tree species diversity and above-ground biomass in edges to interior cores of fragmented forest patches of Taita Hills, Kenya. For Ecol Manag 440: 48-60

Willmer J N G, Puettker T, Prevedello J A (2022) Global impacts of edge effects on species richness. Biol Conserv 272, 109654

Young A, Mitchell N (1994) Microclimate and vegetation edge effects in a fragmented podocarp-broadleaf forest in New Zealand. Biol Conserv 67: 63–72

Zobeyri M 2008. Biometry, Tehran university. 450pp

Statements & Declarations

Funding

This research was financially supported by the vice chancellor for research and technology of Urmia University and received no external funding.

Competing Interests

The authors have no relevant financial or nonfinancial interests to disclose.

Author Contributions

All authors contributed to the study conception and design. Data collection and analysis were performed by Gelareh Valadi, Javad Eshaghi Rad, Yahia Khodakarami and Karen Amanda Harper. The first draft of the manuscript was written by Gelareh Valadi and all authors commented on previous versions of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Table 1. Average canopy area per tree (m^2) at different distances from the forest edge in small and large forest fragments. Values at different distances within small or large fragments that share the same letter were not significantly different according to Tukey tests.

Distance (m)	Small fragments	Large fragments
0	9.52 ± 3.17^{b}	7.11 ± 0.74^{b}
25	12.00 ± 1.72^{b}	7.6 ± 0.74^{b}
50	11.60 ± 1.04^{ab}	9.40 ± 0.91^{ab}
100	18.07 ± 1.50^{a}	18.35 ± 3.97^{a}
150	14.40 ± 1.89^{a}	18.67 ± 3.65^{a}

Table 2. Results of the generalized linear mixed models (GLMMs) showing the effects of distance from edge, distance from tree base and forest fragment size on species diversity indices.

		Sum of squares	df	Mean square	F	Sig
Species richness	Intercept	28960.22	1	28960.22	5156.12	0.00
	Size	3.92	1	3.92	0.69	0.40
	Edge	921.71	4	230.43	41.02	0.00
	Tree base	625.33	4	158.83	28.27	0.00
	Size * Edge	126.45	4	31.62	5.63	0.01
	Size * Tree base	89.45	4	33.36	3.98	0.00
	Edge * Tree base	9689.69	16	586.79	104.48	0.00
	Size * Edge * Tree base	387.56	16	24.22	4.31	0.00
	Error	2246.67	400	5.62		
Shannon diversity	Intercept	1034.12	1	1034.12	7535.54	0.00
	Size	1.48	1	1.48	10.38	0.01
	Edge	11.64	4	2.91	20.45	0.00
	Tree base	4.98	4	1.24	8.74	0.00
	Size * Edge	1.07	4	0.27	1.87	0.11
	Size * Tree base	0.15	4	0.04	0.27	0.89
	Edge * Tree base	167.73	116	11.04	77.60	0.00
	Size * Edge * Tree base	10.56	16	0.66	4.64	0.00
	Error	56.94	400	0.14		
Evenness	Intercept	223.33	1	224.33	7882.87	0.00
	Size	0.65	1	0.65	18.61	0.00
	Edge	1.97	4	0.49	14.12	0.00
	Tree base	1.27	4	0.34	9.07	0.00
	Size * Edge	0.39	4	0.09	2.78	0.02
	Size * Tree base	0.26	4	0.06	1.86	0.11
	Edge * Tree base	8.39	116	0.52	15.01	0.00
	Size * Edge * Tree base	1.23	16	0.08	2.20	0.00
	Error	19.97	400	0.03		

edge= distance from edge, tree base= distance from base of tree

Table 3. Magnitude (MEI) and distance of edge influence (DEI) of species diversity indices for different distances from the base of tree in small and large forest fragments

		Small fragments		Large fragments	
	Distance from tree base (m)	MEI	DEI (m)	MEI	DEI (m)
Species richness	0	0.6306	0-50	0.8980	0-50
	1	0.5834	0-50	0.8491	0-50
	2	0.2694	0-50	0.4365	0-50
	3	-0.3346	0-50	-0.4237	0-50
	4	-0.6006	0-50	-0.7852	0-50
Shannon diversity	0	0.4743	0-50	0.8708	0-50
	1	0.4306	0-50	0.7508	0-50
	2	0.1616	0-50	-0.0421	NA
	3	-0.2183	0-50	-0.2897	0-50
	4	-0.4311	0-50	-0.5989	0-50
Evenness	0	0.1893	0-50	0.1893	0-50
	1	0.1375	0-25	0.3731	0-50
	2	0.0239	NA	0.0938	0-50
	3	-0.476	NA	-0.1117	NA
	4	-0.1570	0-25	-0.2707	0-50

Table 4. List of herbaceous indicator species at different distances from the tree base and forest edge in small and large forest fragments

Large fragments			Small fragments		
Distance from edge (m)	Distance from tree base (m)	Species	Distance from edge (m)	Distance from tree base (m)	Species
0	0	*Gladiolus atroviolaceus* Boiss	0	0	*Alyssum marginatum* Steud.ex Boiss
0	0	*Coronilla varia* L.	0	0	*Lallemantia iberica*(M.Beib.)Fisch. & C.A.Mey
0	0	*Velezia rigida* L.	0	0	*Euphorbia bupleuroides* Diels
0	0	*Minuartia hamata* (Hausskn.)Mattf	0	0	*Aegilops triuncialis* L.
0	0	*Campanula cecilli* Rech.f.&Schiman	0	0	*Hordeum glaucum* Steud.
0	0	*Salvia multicaulis* Vahl	0	0	*Euphorbia macroclada* Boiss
0	0	*Arenaria serpyllifolia* L.	0	0	*Cephalaria syriaca* (L.) Schrad.exRoem.&Schult.
0	1	*Achillea aleppica* DC.	0	1	*Ornithogalum brachystachys* K.Koch
0	1	*Eryngium thyrsoideum*Boiss	0	1	*Trifolium dasyurum* C.Presl
0	1	*Aegilops triuncialis* L.	0	1	*Filago arvensis* L.
0	1	*Alyssum marginatum* Steud.ex Boiss	0	1	*Teucrium scordium* L.
0	1	*Tragopogon longrostris* Bisch.	100	4	*Phlomis lanceolata* Boiss. & Hohen
0	1	*Lophochloa phleoides*(Vill.)Rchb.	100	4	*Tortilis leptophylla* L.
0	1	*Hordeum bulbosum*L.	100	4	*Heteranthelium piliferum* (Banks & Soland)
0	1	*Bromus danthoniae* Trin.	100	4	*Quercus brantii* Lindl.
25	0	*phlomis persica* Benth	100	4	*Lamium amplexicaule* L.
25	1	*Euphorbia inderiensis* Less.ex Kar.&Kir.	150	4	*Rosularia elymatica* (Boiss.& Hausskn.ex Boiss.
25	1	*Astragalus cyclophyllon* Beck	150	4	*Erodium cicutarium* (L.)Lher
25	1	*Trifolium scabrum* L.	150	4	*Hordeum bulbosum*L.
50	0	*Vulpia myuros*(L.)C.C.Gmel.	150	4	*Echinaria capitate* (L.)Desf

Continued Table 4. List of herbaceous indicator species at different distances from the tree base and forest edge in small and large forest fragments

Large fragments		
Distance from edge	Distance from tree base	Species
100	4	*Poa bulbosa* L.
100	4	*Euphorbia macroclada* Boiss
100	4	*Muscari neglectum* Guss.ex Ten.
100	4	*Euphorbia cheiradenia* Boiss
100	4	*Marrubium astracanicum* Jacq.
100	4	*Senecio vernalis*Waldst.& Kit.
150	4	*Fritillaria imperialis* L.
150	4	*Quercus brantii* Lindl.
150	4	*Atractylis cancellata*L.
150	4	*Lamium amplexicaule* L.
150	4	*Daphne mucronata* Royle
150	4	*Tortilis leptophylla* L.
150	4	*Hordeum glaucum* Steud.
150	4	*Heteranthelium piliferum* (Banks & Soland)
150	4	*Ziziphora capitata* L.



Fig.1 Sampling design for the data collection. DT0 to DT4 refer to quadrat locations at 0 to 4 m from the tree base nearest to each sampling point along the main transect


a
b
c
d
e
f
Species richness (# per quadrat)
Shannon diversity
Evenness
Distance from edge (m)
DT0
DT1
DT2
DT3
DT4

Fig.2 Species richness, Shannon diversity and evenness at different distances from the forest edge and different distances from the tree base in small (a, b, c) and large (d, e, f) forest fragments. For a given distance from the tree base, values at different distances from the forest edge that share the same letter were not significantly different according to Tukey tests