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#### Abstract

We have used CFHT observations in Cousins $B$ and $R$ to probe the structure of the supergiant elliptical galaxy NGC 6166 in Abell 2199. We utilize the isophotal and aperture photometry of nuclei $B$ and $C$ to search for evidence of dynamical interactions with the central galaxy. In addition, we have investigated the dust component of the central galaxy.

The results from our photometry are consistent with the NGC 6166 system being composed of the large central cD galaxy, nucleus A , and two cluster galaxies passing through the center of the cluster. Nucleus B and C show none of the ellipticity or position angle changes, expected if these galaxies are being cannibalised. In addition, nucleus C has an average $B-R=1.75 \pm 0.15$ which is bluer than nucleus B , which has a $B-R=1.90 \pm 0.22$. This confirms the previous observations of Lachiéze-Rey et al. (1985). The color of nucleus C , while not ruling out it being a stripped Sb galaxy, is more consistent with it being an elliptical.

The computed dust mass of the central galaxy is $\sim 7.0 \times 10^{5} M_{\odot}$ from optical absorption, and $2.7 \times 10^{7} M_{\odot}$ from IRAS fluxes. The dust mass is high, in any event, and is more like that of a spiral galaxy. This supports the results of Goudfrooij \& de Jong (1995) that the IRAS fluxes for ellipticals give dust masses systematically higher by a factor of ten over optical absorption techniques. Our result therefore adds support to their suggestion that the additional dust is in a diffuse component which


is not part of discrete dust clouds. This would seem to be true even for the most massive galaxies.

A Monte Carlo simulation shows that the tidal feature indicated by Lauer is not likely to be a projected cluster galaxy. Its colors $B-R=1.52 \pm 0.37$ are consistent with it being formed from the halo of Nucleus A which, at the distance of the wake has $B-R=1.75 \pm 0.07$. The overdensity of this feature computed from our brightness information are consistent with a crude calculation based upon the models of Weinberg (1986) for dynamical wakes. The system, it must be concluded, is physically interacting, but does not represent a bound system with the central galaxy cannibalising its neighbours. The lack of significant structural changes in Nucleus B and C suggest a system in the early stages of merger.
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## 1 Introduction

### 1.1 Properties of cD Galaxies

The largest galaxies in the universe are the cD galaxies. Attention was first drawn to these objects by Mathews, Morgan \& Schmidt (1965) in their attempt to identify the optical counterparts to radio sources. Many of the radio sources were associated with the D galaxies, which are giant galaxies with elliptical-like cores surrounded by large extended envelopes. The cD galaxies are a special subclass of the D galaxies which were found to dominate the centers of rich Abell clusters. They were much larger than other D galaxies.

Other properties of these galaxies were also intriguing. Nearly $50 \%$ of the $c D$ galaxies contained multiple nuclei; (Morgan \& Lesh 1965; Schneider, Hoessel \& Gunn 1983). cD galaxies are also never found in the field, although some candidate cD galaxies have been identified in poorer clusters (Morgan, Kayser \& White 1975). Whatever the origin of cD galaxies, a cluster environment seems to be required for the formation of a cD galaxy. The cD galaxies also seem to be related to the global properties of their host clusters. Struble \& Rood (1982) showed that cD galaxies were found in more symmetric, centrally concentrated clusters. They suggested that cD galaxies were found in the more dynamically evolved environments assuming the symmetry and central concentration of the clusters represent advanced dynamical evolution. These clusters also have large X-ray luminosities and large cooling flows which may be depositing hundreds of solar masses per year of material into the center of the clusters. cD galaxies are also seen to be connected to large scale matter
distributions in the universe. A number of authors (eg. Porter et al. 1991; West 1995 and references therein) have found that the major axes of cD galaxies align with the major axes of their host clusters as well as "pointing" to the nearest neighbouring clusters.

Various theories have been proposed for the formation of cD galaxies. The large number of multiple nuclei led Ostriker \& Hausman (1977) and Hausman \& Ostriker (1978) to suggest that the formation of cD galaxies takes place through galactic cannibalism, as smaller galaxies merge with the central galaxy in the cluster core. Merritt (1984) has proposed that the cD galaxies start out as normal ellipticals, but because of their position at the center of their clusters, they build up as material is tidally stripped from galaxies passing through the cluster center. Meanwhile Sarazin (1988) and Silk et al. (1986), have suggested that if the large cooling flows are long lived, then the flows may have been able to build up most of the mass of the cD galaxies over the Hubble time.

### 1.2 The Multiple Nuclei of cD Galaxies

In this work we are interested in addressing the possibility of formation of cD galaxies through galactic mergers. In particular, we wish to address the question of whether the multiple nuclei seen in many cDs are simply chance projections of foreground or background galaxies, or if they instead represent current mergers of the central giant cDs with neighbours in the cluster.

The origin of multiple nuclei has been debated for many years. According to one view, most of the multiple nuclei could be easily explained simply by chance
projections of cluster galaxies onto the cDs; (Rood \& Leir 1979; Tonry 1985b; Beers \& Tonry 1986; Merrifeld \& Kent 1991; Blakeslee \& Tonry 1992). Theoretical studies provide arguments to support this case. For instance, White (1976) performed Nbody simulations of merging galaxies which showed that bound elliptical orbits of secondary nuclei will quickly become circular. The result is that the velocities of the nuclei relative to the larger galaxies will decrease. Radial velocity measurements of multiple nuclei (Mikowski 1961; Tonry 1985b) showed that the velocities of the multiple nuclei of cD galaxies relative to the central galaxies were high, around 800 $\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{s}$ which is comparable to the global velocity dispersion of the clusters. This argues against the multiple nuclei representing galaxies merging with the cDs.

Conversely, there are those who claim that the multiple nuclei represent the present-day mergers of the supergiant ellipticals with those neighbours which come close enough to be captured (Oemler 1976; Ostriker \& Hausman 1977 ; Hausman \& Ostriker 1978; Lauer 1986, 1988; Bothun \& Schombert 1990). According to this argument, cD galaxies started out as normal ellipticals, but because of their position at the center of their respective clusters they grew to their present large sizes through mergers. Theoretical and observational work by Tonry (1985a) showed that the high relative velocities do not necessarily argue against the multiple nuclei being merging galaxies. Tonry (1985a) showed that the orbits of a galaxy completely imbedded in the halo of a larger galaxy will not undergo stronger tidal gravity near perigalacticon than apogalacticon; this was the mechanism which circularizes the orbits in the simulations of White (1976). The models of Tonry (1985a) showed that only a small
percentage of the orbits become circular. Tonry (1985b) measured the relative velocities of a large number of multiple nuclei. He found that the binned distribution of the velocities was best fit by a double Gaussian distribution, with one peak at $300 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{s}$ and one at $800 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{s}$. The distribution of relative velocities was consistent with $30 \%$ of the nuclei being projections, $20 \%$ being on circular orbits and $50 \%$ being on elliptical orbits. This agreement between the theoretical and observational predictions argued in favor of the multiple nuclei being galaxies merging with the cDs.

### 1.3 NGC 6166: Relevant previous work

One of the largest cD galaxies is NGC 6166. When Morgan \& Lesh (1965) defined the cD galaxies, NGC 6166 was considered to be an archetypal cD galaxy, and they in fact used the properties of NGC 6166 to define the class. NGC 6166 dominates the center of the cluster Abell 2199, and is the brightest galaxy in that cluster. Abell 2199 is a Bautz-Morgan class I cluster with a cooling flow and is spherical and centrally concentrated. NGC 6166 is also associated with the radio source 3C338, an unusual jet-like radio source (Burns et al. 1983; Feretti et al. 1993).

The first examination of NGC 6166 was done in the pioneering study of Minkowski (1961). This was the first study to identify the three distinct components in the center of NGC 6166 which Minkowski labeled A, B and C, (a fourth component, D, was identified by Minkowski as a probable foreground star). We adopt his nomenclature for simplicity and ease of comparison with other work. Figure 1 shows one of our R-band images of NGC 6166 obtained at the Canada-France-Hawaii telescope with the four nuclei identified by Minkowski labeled. Minkowski (1961) also noted the high


Figure 1: A 900 second R-band image of NGC 6166. Nuclei A, B C and D are identified according to the nomenclature of Minkowski (1961). The field shown is $2 . ' 44$ by 3.9 , and North is to the left, east is towards the bottom.
relative velocities of the nuclei and the presence of a dust lane in the center of the central galaxy, Nucleus A.

There have been a number of other studies done of NGC 6166. Oemler (1976) performed a photographic study in $B$ and $V$. This work showed the large size of the envelope of the NGC 6166 and provided a profile reaching to 360 Kpc . Gallagher, Faber \& Burstein (1980) performed photoelectric aperture photometry of several normal elliptical galaxies, and NGC 6166. The colour profile shows a strong colour gradient. The halo of the cD galaxy is bluer than its center, and also bluer than the other ellipticals in their sample. These authors suggested that this colour gradient is consistent with NGC 6166 being built up by mergers with smaller, bluer companions.

The previously cited studies focused on global characteristics of the cD galaxy itself, ignoring the secondary nuclei. The first study of NGC 6166 which attempted to study the properties of its multiple nuclei was the spectroscopic work of Tonry (1984) who measured the internal velocity dispersions of nuclei A, B and C. He found that the internal velocity dispersions of nuclei $B$ and $C$ were inconsistent with them being on bound circular orbits around nucleus A. In addition, the mass to light ratios $(\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{L})$ for the nuclei were found to be $15.3 M_{\odot} / L_{\odot}, 10.0 M_{\odot} / L_{\odot}$ and $15.0 M_{\odot} / L_{\odot}$ for nuclei $A, B$ and $C$ respectively, which are typical of normal ellipticals.

Lachiéze-Rey et al. (1985) made the first attempt at the photometric decomposition of the components of NGC 6166, based on CCD images in the $b$ and $r$ passbands of the Gunn system. An iterative technique was used to model the light of the central galaxy, which they assumed could be fit by elliptical, symmetric isophotes centered
on nucleus A. Nuclei B and C were masked out during this procedure. The model was then subtracted from the images to leave $B$ and $C$ isolated, which were then examined separately. Global colours and brightness and colour profiles were calculated for all three nuclei. Nucleus A showed a strong increase in ellipticity with radius. The brightness profiles showed that nucleus B appeared to be a tidally truncated satellite galaxy of nucleus A. Based upon the global colours and increasingly blue colour with radius, they suggested nucleus $C$ could be a cluster spiral projected upon the central galaxy.

Perhaps the most important study of NGC 6166 is the work of Lauer (1986) using a single Gunn g-band image. Lauer used a non-linear least-squares algorithm to fit the light from the three nuclei at all pixels. This strategy has the advantage that, other than the assumption of elliptical isophotes, the position angles and ellipticities of the components of a multiple nucleus system are allowed to vary as free parameters. In addition, no masking of pixels of the overlapping galaxies is needed and so all the information on the images is utilized. All three galaxies were fit to a de Vaucouleurs profile, which provided good descriptions of nuclei A and C. Nucleus B, however, appeared to be tidally truncated. In addition no significant isophote twisting or ellipticity changes were seen in nuclei B and C. The large ellipticity changes observed in nucleus A by Lachiéze-Rey et al. (1985) were verified. An elongated feature was seen in the residual map after a model based upon the fits was subtracted. Lauer speculated that his feature was a tidal wake caused by the passage of nucleus B through the envelope of A. Large areas of dust absorption were seen in the residual
maps as well. The sinusoidal dust lane identified by Minkowski (1961) was identified, in addition to a larger region of possible dust absorption to the North of the center of Nucleus A. However, since only a single passband was used, no information on the colours of the nuclei could be obtained. No colours of the tidal wake candidate or dust mass could be computed.

### 1.4 The Goals of this Work

The previous studies of NGC 6166 are limited. Lauer (1986) used a superior algorithm and presents the best attempt to date to determine the structure of nuclei B and C . However, the best photometric effort is that of Lachiéze-Rey et al. (1985) which provided the best information on the photometric properties by using $B-R$ colour information. However, their algorithm is limited and does not use all the information on the images. Neither their study, nor that of Lauer (1986) provide information on the colours of the tidal wake or the dust mass.

We build upon both these studies by combining the superior fitting algorithm of Lauer (1986) with the advantages of using colour information in studying the NGC 6166 system. We therefore will use observations in the Cousins $B$ and $R$ filters to determine the mean colours of the nuclei. This should confirm if nucleus C is indeed a spiral galaxy. The work of Lachiéze-Rey et al. (1985) used a single image in each passband. We use multiple images in each passband to provide multiple measurements of the position angles, ellipticities, colours and magnitudes of the components of NGC 6166. This provides the advantage that we can average the results to provide a better indication of the uncertainties in our results. We will also provide the first colour
study of the tidal wake candidate to determine if its properties are consistent with a tidal feature. Finally, to address the possibility the nucleus $C$ might be a spiral galaxy stripped of its disk, we will compute a dust mass for the galaxy. We then compare the dust mass to that of a typical spiral galaxy.

## 2 Observations and Photometric Calibration

### 2.1 The data set

The data used in this study were obtained by G. A. Welch using the Canada-FranceHawaii Telescope atop Mauna Kea on the nights of May 3/4, 4/5 1984. Cousins B and $R$ filters were used to take the observations and employ a RCA 320X512 CCD. The field of view at prime focus of the CCD was $2 .{ }^{\prime} 44$ by 3.' 9 . Observations in both passbands were made of NGC 6166 and sky regions offset by $10^{\prime}$. For photometric calibration, standard star sequences were imaged in the globular clusters NGC 4147 and M92 (Christian et al. 1982; Davis 1989). Bias, dark and dome flat images were taken to correct for the readout bias pattern, dark current and detector response variations respectively. The complete log of observations is given in Tables 12 and 13 in Appendix A.

### 2.2 Pre-processing

Pre-processing of CCD images involves the removal of a $D C$ offset, bias pattern, dark current and pixel-to-pixel sensitivity variations from the "raw" images (Gilliland 1992). First the value of the DC offset is determined from overscan regions on each image. Second the bias pattern is subtracted using the median of many zero second exposure images. The bias pattern is described by Gilliland (1992) as a "stationary, two-dimensional, additive background" which is repeated for each readout of the CCD. Thermal oscillations in the atoms of silicon which make up the CCD chip will cause the chip to collect current even when the chip is not exposed to light. This
"dark current" is linearly dependent upon time. The third pre-processing step is to subtract the dark current using a "dark frame", which is a long-exposure time image taken in the absence of light. Finally, a uniform source is imaged and the sensitivity variations of the pixels removed by dividing this "flat" image into the program images. This is done to ensure that we measure the light at the same sensitivity levels on all the pixels in order to perform surface photometry. These reductions were performed using the VISTA package. The pre-processing steps were performed on the standard star frames as well as the images of NGC 6166 and nearby sky regions.

The DC offset was determined using an "overscan region" in the last 15 rows of the raw image. The overscan region is created by reading out the chip past the last rows and columns containing astronomical data. This results in a region on the image which contains only the DC offset. Bad pixels in the overscan region were identified on the image display and masked out. Overscan regions were then trimmed from the images.

The second step is to remove the bias pattern. The bias pattern is removed by subtracting the median value of each pixel on a number of bias frames - zero-second exposures containing only the bias pattern. Twenty-nine bias images were combined using the MEDIAN program in VISTA. This program gives each pixel on the final image its median value from all the frames. The median is more representative of the true value for the pixel than the mean. This is due to the fact that the mean is affected by spurious high or low pixel values. Small numbers of spurious data do not affect the median significantly. The median is therefore less affected by a few cosmic
ray hits than the mean.
The third pre-processing step involves dark current subtraction. A median dark frame was computed from 19 dark frames, and subtracted from all images except the bias images. The dark current is linearly dependent on time. Therefore, before subtraction the median dark frame was first multipled by the ratio of the exposure times of the program image and the dark image. It should be noted here that one third of the dark images ( 11 out of 30 ) were rejected. The rejected images exhibited excess charge near the edges along the columns. The origin of these features is uncertain. The affected regions were concentrated in the first 20 rows and the first 30 columns of the chip. As suggested in Section 3, errors in dome flat fielding may have been caused by these charge excesses. The median dark image was calculated from images least affected by these contaminating features. Re-examination of the median bias frame showed excesses of $2 \%$ in the affected regions.

The next pre-processing step is correction of pixel-to-pixel sensitivity variations. A flat image is an image of a uniform source (in this case the inside of the dome). This enables the correction of sensitivity variations among the pixels of the CCD. The median dome flat image was computed from all the flats for each passband (12 $B$-band and $13 R$-band flats). Separate processing of $B$ and $R$ flat images is necessary because sensitivity variations among the pixels of a CCD are known to be wavelengthdependent. The mean of the median dome flat image was computed before performing the flat field division. The ratio of the program image and the median dome flat was then scaled by the mean of the flat image. This ensures the mean of the program
images is preserved. Flat fielding was performed on all NGC 6166 and standard star images.

### 2.3 Evaluation of Sky Flatness and Constancy

In order to measure only the light from the galaxy, a value for the sky brightness must be subtracted from the NGC 6166 images. For this purpose, blank sky regions were imaged during the observations. However, we must first test that the mean sky value does not change from image to image. This was done by creating five boxes scattered on the blank sky images. Each box was 50 pixels square and each was examined on the display to ensure absence of visible stars or galaxies. Each box was also cleaned of cosmic rays using a boxcar median filter. The mean of the values inside each box was then calculated and the average values for all the boxes was then adopted as the average value for each sky frame. The results are shown in Table 1.

Table 1: Results of Dome Flat Fielding Tests

| Image | Region | Filter | Box 1 | Box 2 | Box 3 | Box 4 | Box 5 | Average <br> Value | $\sigma$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 162 | Sky 1 | $R$ | 735.83 | 758.12 | 747.33 | 747.30 | 756.83 | 749.08 | 3.83 |
| 259 | Sky 1 | $R$ | 481.55 | 492.49 | 488.24 | 486.28 | 492.52 | 488.22 | 1.96 |
| 269 | Sky 2 | $R$ | 528.23 | 534.64 | 517.62 | 530.97 | 533.23 | 528.94 | 2.71 |
| 163 | Sky 1 | $B$ | 244.00 | 232.29 | 229.93 | 228.35 | 232.10 | 229.33 | 3.39 |
| 258 | Sky 1 | $B$ | 191.72 | 198.48 | 196.98 | 195.66 | 198.26 | 196.22 | 1.19 |
| 267 | Sky 2 | $B$ | 423.15 | 429.43 | 425.35 | 427.72 | 424.83 | 426.10 | 1.03 |
| 277 | Sky 2 | $B$ | 200.71 | 204.77 | 203.02 | 196.47 | 202.33 | 201.46 | 1.26 |

Since all $B$-frames are 1200 second exposures, and all $R$-frames are 900 seconds,
it is clear that there is a problem: the sky values for the $R$ frames vary by about $40 \%$ from one night to the next, and also during the night. It must be concluded that the actual sky brightness is changing from image to image and that these values cannot be used for sky subtraction from the NGC 6166 images. We describe the determination of the sky brightnesses in Section 3.1.

These data also provide a check upon the dome flat fielding process. The variation of the mean values in the boxes shows $10 \%$ variation around the images. The sky value should be nearly constant over the small field of view of the images. This indicates that the flat fielding should be improved. Examination of sky images under high contrast showed a possible cause of the variations. The regions which contained brightness enhancements (see Section 2.3) on the bias and dark frames were systematically fainter than other regions on the sky images. This may be explained if the images were over-subtracted by the dark frame and end up systematically fainter as a result. We blinked the dome flat images with the median dark frame and verified that the regions of excess charge on the dark frame corresponded to the fainter regions of the sky images. The pattern was also the same in both passbands, which would not be the case if the effect was due to real sky variations. With this evidence, the decision was made to process our NGC 6166 images further using sky flat field images.

### 2.4 Preparation of the Sky Flats

A sky flat image is used when the dome flat images do not adequately remove pixel-to-pixel variations. A sky flat is required when large scale variations in the sky
background occur within an image after normal pre-processing. This effect is clearly seen in Table 1. Images of blank sky images taken during the observations were used to remove residual background variations.

As many sky frames as possible were needed to help eliminate contributions from galaxies and stars and reduce the Poisson noise in the final sky flat image. Additional blank sky images near the cD galaxy in Abell 1413 were combined with the NGC 6166 sky images to compute the median sky flats. These additional blank sky images were obtained during the same run as the NGC 6166 data. The Abell 1413 region sky frames were pre-processed in an identical manner to the NGC 6166 images. On all the sky images there are bad columns and, more importantly, field stars and galaxies. Here the Abell 1413 data are particularly valuable. The blank sky images near Abell 1413 and NGC 6166 have no common foreground stars or galaxies. (Taking the median of the two sets of images is therefore very effective at eliminating any unwanted objects.)

On highly stretched displays of the images all field stars, galaxies and cosmetic defects were identified. In order to eliminate these, the regions containing the features to be removed were replaced by interpolations using a first order polynomial. A boxcar filter of width 20 pixels was used to smooth the entire image further. The 20 pixel boxcar median filter was selected since it was twice the size of the largest unwanted feature. The median was calculated of all sky frames so prepared. The calculation of the median was scaled by the means of the images. This procedure yielded a set of sky images with the unwanted features eliminated. No traces of enhancements at

Table 2: Sky Flat Field Test Results

| Image | Region | Filter | Box 1 | Box 2 | Box 3 | Box 4 | Box 5 | Average <br> Value | $\sigma$ |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 162 | Sky 1 | $R$ | 748.61 | 748.28 | 747.84 | 750.23 | 740.15 | 748.82 | 0.37 |
| 259 | Sky 1 | $R$ | 488.45 | 487.54 | 487.39 | 488.06 | 486.06 | 487.50 | 0.38 |
| 269 | Sky 2 | $R$ | 529.01 | 529.98 | 529.16 | 529.98 | 530.63 | 529.75 | 0.28 |
| 163 | Sky 1 | $B$ | 228.77 | 229.02 | 228.46 | 228.87 | 229.07 | 228.84 | 0.09 |
| 258 | Sky 1 | $B$ | 196.01 | 194.97 | 195.89 | 196.11 | 195.33 | 195.66 | 0.20 |
| 267 | Sky 2 | $B$ | 429.03 | 428.45 | 428.02 | 424.47 | 425.75 | 427.20 | 0.80 |
| 277 | Sky 2 | $B$ | 200.76 | 200.63 | 201.43 | 200.53 | 201.67 | 201.00 | 0.21 |

the position of stars and galaxies were seen on the display of the median sky flats for either filter. The original pre-processed sky and galaxy frames were flat fielded with the median sky flat images.

To determine if the sky variation on the images had been reduced, the mean brightness of blank sky regions on the sky frames was determined. For five regions, (not the same as those used previously) the mean values are shown in Table 2. It is clear that the sky background values are changing from image to image and night to night. However, the additional flat-fielding step has reduced the variation of the sky brightness on any individual frame to $\pm 1 \%$.

### 2.5 Photometric Calibration

### 2.5.1 Transformation Coefficients

In order to compare surface photometry derived in this study to other work it is essential to transform the instrumental system of the observer to a standard astro-
nomical magnitude system. Stars with well known standard magnitudes and colours, taken from Christian et al. (1982) and Davis (1989), were observed during the night and used to calibrate the photometry. VISTA uses the following system of linear equations to accomplish this :

$$
\begin{align*}
& R=r+k_{r} \times X+T_{r} \times(B-R)+Z_{r}  \tag{1}\\
& B=b+k_{b} \times X+T_{b} \times(B-R)+Z_{b} \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

In these equations $R$ and $B$ are the standard magnitudes of a photometric standard star having instrumental magnitudes $r$ and $b$ respectively, $X$ is the airmass of the observation, $k_{r}$ and $k_{b}$ are the extinction coefficients in $R$ and $B, T_{r}$ and $T_{b}$ are colour coefficients for each passband, $(B-R)$ is the standard colour index for each star, and $Z_{r}$ and $Z_{b}$ are the photometric zero points in each passband. These enable one to correct for the atmosphere (extinction coefficients) and the instrumental sensitivites (transformation coefficients). One should note that, in equations 1 and 2, the standard magnitude appears on the left side. This particular formulation results in extinction coefficients which are negative, whereas traditionally they are positive.

### 2.5.2 Calculation of the Transformation Coefficients

The standard magnitudes and colours for the standard stars observed are given in Appendix B. These have been taken from Christian et al. (1985) and Davis (1989). On the night of May 3/4 the NGC 4147 field was observed three times, while the M92
field was observed twice. On May $4 / 5$ both were observed at three different times.
The following procedure was used to determine the instrumental magnitudes of the standard stars. First a point spread function (PSF) was determined for each image using the brightest stars on the images. These PSFs model the light of the stars so that companions to the standard stars can be subtracted from the images. With the companion stars subtracted, synthetic aperture photometry was performed on the brightest stars to determine the variation of magnitude with aperture radius for each image - the aperture growth curve. The standard stars were then isolated on the images by using the PSF to remove all other stellar objects from the image. Synthetic aperture photometry was then performed on the standard stars. To obtain total magnitudes of the standard stars the growth curve was used to extrapolate the aperture magnitudes 20 pixels past the last measured aperture. The extrapolated magnitudes were then scaled to a one second exposure time and used to solve for the transformation and extinction coefficients using equations 1 and 2.

Defining a PSF is an iterative procedure which uses the DAOPHOT program of Stetson (1987), as implemented in VISTA. The program PSF within DAOPHOT was used to determine the PSF, which consists of a model (a bivariate Gaussian) and a lookup table of residuals from the model. DAOPHOT scales the PSF to model the brightness of each star. The SUB* program uses this model to subtract the light of stellar objects. We subtracted all stellar objects except the standard stars.

Well isolated stars were picked to derive the PSFs in order to eliminate any distortion caused by neighbours. These PSF stars were not necessarily the standard stars;
the criteria for selection was that a star not have another star within 15 pixels and that it be among the brightest on the frame. For the creation of the PSFs DAOPHOT requires three input parameters: (1) a stellar full-width-at-half-maximium (FWHM); (2) a fitting radius, defined as the radius used to fit the Gaussian model; (3) a PSF radius, which defines the extent of the stars (in practice the radius of the brightest star on the image). The derivation of the PSF usually took three iterations. First the PSF stars on each image were identified along with their companions. All other stars were subtracted off and a new, presumably better, PSF was defined. Any stars missed were then added to the list of known stars. After around three iterations, a PSF was judged "satisfactory" by examining a frame with all the PSF stars subtracted.

We then determined the aperture growth curves for the images. All but the brightest stars on all the images were subtracted using the SUB* program of DAOPHOT. Aperture photometry was performed on these stars. The results were entered into the stand-alone program DAOGROW (Stetson 1990) which uses the aperture growth curve method (Howell 1989) to derive magnitudes for stellar objects. The DAOGROW routine uses the model of King (1971) to describe the growth curve. The model consists of the sum of three functions: a Gaussian, a Moffat function and an exponential. From the growth curve the total magnitude of a star can be estimated. We take the magnitude of the star within an aperture 20 pixels larger than the last fitted aperture as its total magnitude for the purpose of computing the photometric calibration. All stars but the standard stars were subtracted using SUB* and aperture photometry performed on the standard stars. The aperture growth curve for each frame was used
to determine the instrumental magnitudes for use in equations 1 and 2.
The final instrumental magnitude was then entered into the MAGAVER routine in VISTA, which scales all the observed magnitudes to an exposure time of 1 second. MAGAVER also formats the data for input into the FITSTAR program of VISTA. FITSTAR is responsible for the calculation of the transformation and extinction coefficients of equations 1 and 2 .

FITSTAR can include extra terms other than the ones shown in equations 1 and 2 but it was decided not to use these terms; they were constrained to have values of zero during the fitting process. Constraining these terms is justified because solutions in which they are included find them to be insignificant. Additional input parameters are the names of the passbands used, and a table containing the standard colours and magnitudes for each standard star in the data set. FITSTAR computes the coefficients and plots the residuals. Points which are clearly spurious may then be eliminated before final coefficients are calculated. Plots of the final residuals versus airmass, color, Time (julian Date) and magnitude showed no apparent systematic trends. The extinction and transformation coefficients are given in Table 3.

### 2.5.3 Comparison with other Extinction and Transformation Coefficients at CFHT

P. Durrell (1995) kindly supplied values of corresponding coefficients for a run at CFHT, and we use these and values from the MOS/SIS manual (Welch 1995) as an initial check upon our procedure. For a three night run Durrell obtains values of $B$-band extinction coefficients of $-0.091,-0.070$, and -0.130 , with uncertainties

Table 3: Transformation and Extinction Coefficents

| Coefficient | Night 1 | Night 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $k_{r}$ | $-0.090+/-0.006$ | $-0.132+/-0.004$ |
| $T_{r}$ | $-0.022+/-0.002$ | $-0.042+/-0.002$ |
| $Z_{r}$ | $-2.654+/-0.008$ | $-2.583+/-0.005$ |
| $k_{b}$ | $-0.206+/-0.009$ | $-0.246+/-0.006$ |
| $T_{b}$ | $0.090+/-0.002$ | $0.072+/-0.002$ |
| $Z_{b}$ | $-2.215+/-0.011$ | $-2.150+/-0.008$ |

between 0.03 to 0.07 . In the $R$-band Durrell finds values of $-0.181,-0.152$ and -0.335 respectively for the same nights. Uncertainties here are between 0.03 to 0.07 magnitudes. The MOS/SIS manual for the CCD camera gives typical extinction coefficients of -0.3 and -0.08 in $B$ and $R$ passbands respectively. Our results indicate that the extinction in the $B$ passband is greater than the extinction in $R$. This differs from the Durrell data, but agrees qualitatively with the manual values. The extinction in the blue passband is expected to be greater than for the red, since shorter wavelength light is more affected by absorption and Rayleigh scattering in the atmosphere.

The colour coefficients $T_{r}$ and $T_{b}$ quoted from Durrell are 0.129 in $B$ and 0.006 in $R$, while the MOS/SIS manual gives 0.04 and 0.05 respectively. Our colour coefficient for the $R$-band is negative in sign to these results. We find that the absolute value the $B$ colour coefficient less than that of $R$, which is also true for the Durrell and MOS/SIS manual as well.

Based upon these comparisons we find that our extinction coefficients seem typical
of CFHT, and are qualitatively consistent with the known absorption and scattering affects of the atmosphere. However, the Durrell data are in conflict with what is physically expected. It is difficult to compare our transformation coefficients to those of Durrell or the MOS/SIS manual, since these should depend more upon the detector and filter set used than the photometric conditions. These comparisons give us confidence in our results, although is is clear the transparency of the atmosphere, as measured by the extinction coefficient, was greater on the first night of observation.

### 2.5.4 Conversion of Surface Photometry to Standard Magnitudes

We must solve equations 1 and 2 for the standard $B$ and $R$ magnitudes in terms of observational quantities only. We find:

$$
\begin{gather*}
B=\frac{\left(1+T_{r}\right)\left(b+k_{b} \times X+Z_{b}\right)-k_{b}\left(r+k_{r} \times X_{r}+Z_{r}\right)}{1+T_{r}-k_{b}-2 \times T_{r} \times k_{b}}  \tag{3}\\
R=\frac{1}{\left(1+T_{r}\right)} \frac{1}{\left(r+k_{r}+T_{r} \times B+Z_{r}\right)} \tag{4}
\end{gather*}
$$

We must also note that DAOPHOT computes instrumental magnitudes from the intensities through

$$
\begin{equation*}
m=25.0-2.5 \log (I) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I$ is the intensity and the factor of 25.0 originates from the zero point shift used in the DAOPHOT program. The galaxy intensities are first scaled to one second exposure times. Thus using equations 3,4 , and 5 , and the airmass we can transform surface photometry to those of the Cousins photometric system.

## 3 Multiple Nucleus Surface Photometry of NGC 6166

### 3.1 Sky Brightness Determination

We must subtract the sky value before doing surface photometry on the NGC 6166 images. We have already found in Section 2.2 that the blank sky images cannot be used for this purpose. This means we must determine the value of the sky background from each NGC 6166 image. We must choose a sky region far as possible from the galaxy. Unfortunately the halo of the galaxy is large and unavoidably makes a contribution to the brightness values in all pixels of the images. If the galaxy contribution to the sky region brightness is not removed, we will truncate the profiles of the nuclei. We want to subtract only the true sky value from the NGC 6166 images. The true sky value is the brightness remaining after the galaxy contribution to the mean brightness of the sky region is removed.

The detailed method for determination of the sky brightness is given in Appendix C. The method is briefly summarized here. We assume as a first approximation that the galaxy isophotes are concentric ellipses of constant ellipticity, and position angle. We also adopt the brightness profile of Oemler (1976) to estimate the relative brightness of the galaxy at any two points along the major axis. A sky region was chosen near the bottom of the images, as far as possible from the galaxy. The center of the sky region and the galaxy nucleus define a line whose length is easily computed. It is also straight forward to compute the angle between this line and the major axis. The expression for an ellipse in polar coordinates gives the major axis length of the

Table 4: Adopted Sky Brightnesses

| Image | Sky |
| ---: | ---: |
|  | $(\mathrm{ADU})$ |
| 161 | 706.4 |
| 164 | 249.9 |
| 255 | 234.5 |
| 257 | 213.9 |
| 260 | 512.6 |

isophote which passes through the center of the sky region. Brightness ratios may then be computed from Oemler's photometry for any two points along the major axis. These two points are chosen to be a point near the galaxy center where sky is insignificant and the center of the sky region. The brightness ratio and instrumental brightnesses at these two points enable the galaxy contribution in the sky region to be computed. The estimated galaxy contribution to the sky is subtracted from the raw brightness to give the adopted sky brightness, which is then subtracted from all pixels. The adopted sky brightnesses are given in Table 4.

Our sky region center typically lies on the isophote with semimajor axis length of $160^{\prime \prime} 0$, while the sky region of Lauer (1986) lies near $150^{\prime \prime} .0$. However Lauer (1986) makes no attempt to eliminate the galaxy contribution to the brightness of the sky region. Our sky estimate should therefore be more free of galaxy contamination than that of Lauer (1986). We discuss the effects of error in sky values on the surface photometry in Section 3.4.

### 3.2 Testing the Surface Photometry Software

In order to study the components of NGC 6166 we utilized the VISTA program SNUC which is essentially the multiple nucleus fitting routine of Lauer (1986). SNUC is flexible and offers various options to the user to modify the fitting process. We use model images to test the effects of the various options on the fits performed by SNUC. Since the input parameters of the model galaxies are known, we can use the various options in the SNUC program to obtain the best possible fit to the models and these can then be used to fit the program images.

The IRAF routine MKOBJECT was used to create realistic de Vaucouleurs model galaxies with which to test the accuracy of SNUC. MKOBJECT takes the centroids, ellipticities and position angles of any number of de Vaucouleurs profile galaxies including the Poisson noise. Initial tests upon a single noise-free model galaxy showed SNUC to be reliable in all but the outermost regions. SNUC fits performed on models including Poisson noise likewise produced the correct position angle, ellipticity and centroids.

Next, a model with noise was created with three de Vaucouleurs profile galaxies having the appropriate positions, ellipticities, and position angles for NGC 6166 (Lauer 1986). A series of tests were conducted using the various options provided by the SNUC routine. The routine allows the following: (1) fits may be performed allowing the centroids of the isophotes to vary; (2) the user may allow for the assumption that each galaxy extends over the entire image or may indicate the maximum size of each galaxy; (3) a box may be used to limit the region of the image fit by

SNUC; (4) the size of the initial centroid box can be varied to allow for error in the initial values of the center positions; (5) the number of iterations used for the fitting process may be varied.

The first test using the multiple component model compared the accuracy of the fits obtained by treating the centers as free parameters (allowing nonconcentric isophotes) with fits obtained by fixing the centers. For artificial galaxy B we plot in Figure 7 the errors in the fitted ellipticity, position angle and centroids as a function of radius along the major axis. The centers varied by up to 10 pixels when allowed to vary. When centers were fixed errors in the fitted centroid were only -0.43 pixels and -0.03 pixels in the $x$ and $y$ coordinates respectively. The best choice is to fix the centers since it would be impossible to separate real physical variations from algorithm-induced effects. All subsequent fits were performed with fixed centers. This eliminates our ability to detect non-concentric isophotes in the real galaxy such as those expected in galaxy interactions.

The second test compared the accuracy of SNUC in the cases when the galaxies are assumed to extend over the entire image as opposed to when their sizes are indicated. Figure 8 shows for artificial galaxy B the results for the ellipticities and position angles. Comparing the two fits, it is clear that the errors rise quickly in the outer regions. Over the region in common to the two fits the errors are less for the fits performed when the sizes are indicated by the user. The regions are different because fitting the galaxy over the entire image will naturally have a larger radial extent than one chosen by the user over only part of the image.


Figure 2: Test of the accuracy of SNUC for model nucleus B when galaxy centers are free parameters. (a) The error in the ellipticities, (SNUC - input) (b) the error in the position angles, (SNUC - input) (c) the error in the centroids, (SNUC - input). For plots A and B the solid line indicates the fits made with centers as a free parameter, while the dotted line is the corrsponding fit with the centers fixed. For plot $C$ the solid line shows the errors the x values of the centers and the dashed line shows the errors in the $y$ values. In all cases, the fits with the locked centers are superior.

The third test employed a box around the objects which included only the three nuclei. This would serve to limit the area fitted on the images and reduce overall runtime. Here there are two possible ways to use SNUC to fit the galaxies. The first is to fit each galaxy over the entire region within the box; the second is to indicate the position and size of each galaxy within the box. The two methods are compared in Figure 9 where for model nucleus B we show the plots of errors in the fitted ellipticity and position angles as a function of radius. Also included are the results of fits performed after indicating the positions and radii for the three model galaxies with no limiting area indicated. The results are nearly identical at radii where the isophotes are completely within the boxed region. Outside these radii the errors rise. Better fits are obtained when the centers and outer isophotes are identified by the user and no limiting box is used.

The goal of the final tests was to determine the sensitivity of the fits to the number of iterations and the size of initial centroid box. Initial centroid boxes of 3 and 5 pixels were used to test the effect of changing this parameter on the accuracy of the SNUC fits. The fits were identical so it was decided to use the default size of three pixels for the fitting procedure. The tests on the number of iterations showed that it was perhaps the single most vital factor in the achievement of accurate fits. Shown in Figure 10 are the errors in the ellipticities and position angles for 3,5 and 8 iterations. The fits improve as the number of iterations is increased. However, when more than 8 iterations were used the routine became unstable and terminated the fitting process.

In summary, the "best" method for the fitting of multiple nuclei was found to


Figure 3: SNUC accuracy for model nucleus $B$ when the galaxy is fitted over the entire image and when the center and radius of the fitting region around each galaxy are marked. (a) The error in the ellipticities, (SNUC - input) (b) the error in the position angles (SNUC - input). For both plots, the solid line indicates the fits made when fitting the entire image, while the dotted line is the corresponding fit with both the center and limiting radius indicated. In both cases, the fits with the centers and limiting radius indicated are superior.


Figure 4: SNUC accuracy for model nucleus $B$ when the galaxy is fitted over a boxed region. (a) The errors in the ellipticities, (SNUC - input) (b) the errors in the position angles (SNUC - input). For both plots, the solid line indicates the fits made when fitting the galaxy within the entire box, while the dotted line is the corresponding fit with both the center and limiting radius indicated withing the box. The dashed line indicates the error for fits made when no box is used, but the instead the limiting radius is indicated. In both cases, the fits with no limiting box are superior.


Figure 5: SNUC accuracy for model nucleus $B$ when the number of iterations is varied. (a) The errors in the ellipticities, (SNUC - input) (b) the errors in the position angles (SNUC - input) for 3 iterations (solid line), 5 iterations (dashed line) and 8 iterations (dotted line). It is clear the accuracy improves when the number of iterations is increased. The maximum number of iterations allowed was found to be 8 without the program becoming unstable.
involve fixing the isophote centers, marking both the inner and outer isophotes for all galaxies, using default centroiding and using 8 iterations.

### 3.3 The Final Fitting

Before the final SNUC fitting, cosmic ray hits were removed using the VISTA program ZAP. ZAP is a boxcar median filter, which searches the image for pixels differing by a given amount from the median in a box of specified size. The value of the pixels identified are replaced by the median of the values within the box. The default box size of $5 \times 5$ pixels was used. Cosmetic flaws were then identified and masked out on each image. To avoid contamination of the measurements of NGC 6166, any unwanted objects must be masked before the program images are fitted using SNUC. Unwanted objects include nucleus D , the dynamical wake candidate (Lauer 1986), foreground stars and galaxies, cosmetic defects and cosmic ray hits. Nucleus D was found by Minkowski (1961) and Lauer (1986) to be a field star. In fact on our images, nucleus D has a full-width-at-half-maximum (FWHM) identical to a stellar object to within 1 pixel in FWHM, and thus nucleus D could not be fit by SNUC. When we attempted to do so, the centroiding algorithm locked onto the center of nucleus B in which nucleus D is imbedded. This caused the program to terminate. Therefore a box was used to mask out nucleus D.

The VISTA RENUC routine allows reconstruction of the galaxies fitted by SNUC. Dust features and the dynamical wake candidate (Lauer 1986) were identified by fitting a blue image and subtracting off a RENUC model of the fit. Dust extinction is greater in the blue passband so dust features are more easily identified
using the $B$-band. The most prominent dust feature was the " $S$ " shaped feature lying near the center of nucleus A. The dynamical wake was also easily identified, along with galaxies and foreground stars. The position of the various features on this blue image were used as reference positions. We wished to mask identical regions on all images. We therefore require the positional shift from the reference image to all other images. These were calculated using the mean differences between the positions of nucleus $A, B$ and $C$ on the reference image and all other images.

In order to provide the best possible centroids, each image of NGC 6166 was fitted using SNUC. The RENUC routine was then used to reconstruct a model of two of the three galaxies. The model was subtracted and the resultant image was used to find an accurate centroid for the remaining galaxy using the AXES program in VISTA. The same regions were therefore masked out on all images.

The size of the fitting region for each nucleus was determined with the others subtracted from the image. The same fitting sizes were used on all images. The photometry was calibrated using the tranformations in Equation 3. This produced $B$ and $R$ brightness profiles along the major axes of the galaxies, as well as ellipticities and position angles.

### 3.4 The Effect of Sky Error on the Photometry

We now investigate how errors in the sky determination affect the final fits. To examine this SNUC was run on an image with two different sky values subtracted. The first fit was performed on the image with adopted sky value subtracted. The second fit was performed on the image with the adopted sky $\times 1.01$ subtracted. $A$
$1 \%$ change was chosen because as seen in Appendix C, the galaxy brightness is about $1 \%$ of the total light in the sky region. The results are shown in Figures 11, 12 and 13 , which present the difference between the brightness, ellipticity and position angles as functions of radius. Other than the expected lower isophotal magnitudes, no systematic differences can be seen in the results. This would imply that the output ellipticities and position angles are not highly sensitive to errors in the sky values.


Figure 6: The effect of a $1 \%$ sky subtraction error on the fitted intensities of a 1200 second B image, for (a) Nucleus A (b) Nucleus B and (c) Nucleus C. Plotted are the fitted magnitudes for the adopted sky subtraction, (solid line), magnitudes for the $s k y+1 \%$ subtraction (dotted line). It is clear here that the sky subtraction error does not seriously affect the magnitudes of the objects, since in all cases the fits agree within the uncertainties.


Figure 7: The effect of a $1 \%$ sky subtraction error on the fitted ellipticities of a 900 second $R$ image, for (a) Nucleus A (b) Nucleus B and (c) Nucleus C. Plotted are the fitted ellipticites for the adopted sky subtraction, (solid line), the intensities for the $s k y+1 \%$ subtraction (dotted line) and the difference between the two fits (dashed line).


Figure 8: The effect of a $1 \%$ sky subtraction error on the fitted position angles of a 900 second R image, for (a) Nucleus A (b) Nucleus B and (c) Nucleus C. Plotted are the fitted ellipticites for the adopted sky subtraction, (solid line), the intensities for the $s k y+1 \%$ subtraction (dotted line) and the difference between the two fits (dashed line).

## 4 Photometry of CFHT Archive images

### 4.1 Motivation for Using Additional Data

The spatial resolution of our data is limited near the centers of the nuclei. The seeing during the observations was between $1^{\prime \prime} .2$ to $1^{\prime \prime} .78$, which is poor for CFHT. The CCD scale is $0^{\prime \prime} .457$ /pixel and as a result there are few pixels sampling the brightnesses near the cores of the nuclei. Both of these factors combine to make it difficult to measure ellipticities and position angles close to the centers of the components of the NGC 6166 system. Our study would benefit from improved resolution near the cores of the nuclei. We used the CFHT Archive of the Canadian Astronomy Data Center (CADC) to obtain additional high resolution data for NGC 6166. Detailed information on the archive data is given in Table 14 in Appendix A. The data obtained from the CADC include bias and flat field images for pre-processing, a 300 second $V$-band image, a 600 second $R$-band image and a 1200 second $B$-band image. The $V$-band images were taken by Kormendy, McClure \& Barton, on April 6, 1991. The $B$ - and $R$-band images were taken by Nieto, on June 6/7 1991.

The CFHT archive data have the advantage that they were taken using the High Resolution Camera (HRCAM) which provides extremely high resolution images. These data have a scale of $0^{\prime \prime} 11$ /pixel and the seeing FWHM is only $0^{\prime \prime} 6$ on the archive images. This enables accurate determination of position angles and ellipticities closer to the core of the nuclei than possible with our own data. However, the archive images are of lower signal to noise ratio (SNR) than our CFHT data. This is because the smaller pixels cover much less of the sky, and must be integrated about

4 times longer in order to achieve the same SNR. This makes it difficult to obtain accurate information in the fainter outer regions of the nuclei. Our CFHT data are superior in the outer regions since the larger pixel scale makes measuring lower light levels easier; each pixel covers four times more area on the sky than the archive data. The two data sets are therefore complementary.

### 4.2 Pre-processing and Sky Subtraction

### 4.2.1 Pre-processing of CFHT Archive Data

The first step in utilizing the CFHT archive images was to pre-process them, using the steps outlined in Section 2.2. The CCD chip used to take the archive data has negligible dark current, so no dark image subtraction is necessary. All other preprocessing steps were the same as described in Section 2.2. The $V$-band images were taken on a different night, and with a different CCD than the $B$ and $R$-band images and so were pre-processed independently.

The first step was to remove the DC offset from all images using the mean of the overscan region. The overscan region was located on the last 55 columns of the June 6/7, 1991 images and the last 55 rows of the April 6, 1991 images. The mean value in the overscan region was subtracted from the images. The overscan region was then trimmed from the images.

The second pre-processing step is bias image subtraction. The bias subtraction of the $V$-band images was performed using a different median bias image than the $B$ and $R$-band images. The median of 16 bias images was subtracted from the $V$-band images. The median of three bias images which were themselves the median of 20
individual bias images were used to bias subtract the $B$ and $R$-band images.
The final pre-processing step was the flat fielding of the images. Sky flat field images were used for the $V$-band images. These sky flat images were obtained by pointing the telescope at a blank region of the twilight sky and then turning the drive motor off. This trails any stars and galaxies in the field. The median of the sky flat images will have these objects efficiently removed since they are on different pixels on every image. The median $V$-band sky flat field image was the median of three sky flat images. The median $V$-band sky flat image was blinked with the original sky flat field images to ensure no artifacts from trailed stars or galaxies remained.

The $R$-band flat field was a median of 10 dome flat field images, which were median combined at the telescope. The median $B$-band dome flat field image was created from the average of two $B$-band dome flat images which were combined at the telescope. These two images were the median of 10 B -band dome flat images. The flats were divided into the $B, V$ and $R$-band NGC 6166 images. The division was scaled by the mean of the flat field images as described in Section 2.2.

### 4.2.2 Checking the Flat Fielding

One $V$-band image of a blank sky region near NGC 6166 taken on the same night as the $V$-band image was obtained from the CADC. This was used to check the flat fielding as in Section 2.3. Five $75 \times 75$ pixel boxes scattered around the image were used. These boxes were examined on the display to ensure they contained no stars or galaxies. They were then cleaned of cosmic ray hits and the mean of the boxes computed. The results are shown in Table 5.

## Table 5: $V$-Band Flat Field Test Results

| Region | Mean (ADU) |
| :---: | ---: |
| Box 1 | 32.36 |
| Box 2 | 31.20 |
| Box 3 | 31.91 |
| Box 4 | 31.55 |
| Box 5 | 32.22 |

The standard deviation of the mean of the boxes is 1 ADU, or about $3 \%$. This is much greater than for our own images. Since no blank sky images are available, the flat fielding cannot be further improved. However, the variation is smaller than the shot noise. There may also be contamination from the halos of objects lying outside the regions measured.

### 4.2.3 Sky Determination

The sky determination was carried out in the same manner as our own CFHT images. This is because no blank sky images are available in the $B$ and $R$ passbands, and only one sky image is available in the $V$-band. Therefore we followed the method outlined in Appendix $C$ to determine the sky value to be subtracted from the images. The sky values are given in Table 6.

Table 6: Adopted Sky Brightnesses

| Image | Passband | Sky <br> (ADU) |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| 112608 o | $V$ | 8.03 |
| 1166130 | $R$ | 95.06 |
| 1166140 | $B$ | 110.51 |

### 4.3 Surface Photometry and Calibration of NGC 6166 Archive Images

### 4.3.1 Surface Photometry of the CFHT Archive Images

We have performed the same steps to obtain surface photometry of the archive images as our own CFHT data. These steps have already been described in Section 3.3. The first step was to identify the regions on the images containing any dust features and foreground galaxies. These were identified by first fitting the images using SNUC, and subtracting off a RENUC model constructed from the fits. The main dust feature identified was the S -shaped feature near the center of nucleus A , and a smaller dust feature to the east. In the case of the $V$-band image, nucleus D was also masked out. In the $B$ - and $R$-band images, nucleus D was sufficiently bright and separated from nucleus $B$ that it was possible to fit it along with the other three nuclei. Cosmic ray hits were removed using ZAP (see Section 3.3), and bad columns and rows masked.

The centers of the nuclei were identified by reconstructing all galaxies but one, and then using the AXES command to compute a precise centroid. The radii used
for nuclei A, B and C were the same used for our own CFHT images. The radius of nucleus D was determined by examining an image with all other nuclei subtracted. SNUC was then run using the input positions and radii determined above.

### 4.3.2 Calibration of CFHT Archive Images

No standard star images are available in the CFHT archive data. We are left with the problem of transforming instrumental brightnesses into a standard photometric system. Regardless of the photometric conditions, for a given passband a galaxy must have the same total brightness inside a given aperture. We can use this fact to find the zero point difference between the Cousins system and the archive image instrumental system. The zero point is determined by equating a measured instrumental brightness on the archive images to a measured standard magnitude. This allows the intensities measured on the CFHT archive images to be converted to the Cousins system.

The VISTA program APER was used to perform synthetic aperture photometry on our own CFHT $B$ - and $R$-band images, and the $B$ - and $R$-band archive images in a $25^{\prime \prime} .0$ aperture. APER calculates the total brightness inside a circular aperture centered upon an image position specified by the user. The center of nucleus $A$ was chosen as the center of this circular aperture. The measured brightnesses were normalized to a one second exposure by dividing by the exposure time. The brightnesses on our CFHT images were converted to the Cousins system using equations 3 and 4 . Table 7 gives the intensity within 25.0 on the archive images and our CFHT images, and the magnitude corresponding to the brightness measured on CFHT images. It should be noted that the brightness data for image 260 may be paired with either
the data from image 255 or 257 in equations 3 and 4 to calculate the $R$ magnitude. Thus two estimates of the $R$-band magnitude for this image are presented in Table 7. The mean of the $B$ - and $R$-band magnitudes for our CFHT data were averaged to give the mean magnitude corresponding to the $B$ - and $R$-band archive brightnesses. This allowed the brightness on the archive images to be transformed to the Cousins system. equations 6 and 7 give the transformations to the Cousin system.

$$
\begin{align*}
& R=R_{o}-2.5 \times \log \left(I_{\mathrm{r}} / I_{r o}\right)  \tag{6}\\
& B=B_{o}-2.5 \times \log \left(I_{b} / I_{b o}\right) \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

Where $B$ and $R$ are the standard $B$ and $R$ magnitudes in the Cousins system, $R_{o}$ and $B_{o}$ are the B-band and R-band zero points, $I_{b}$ and $I_{r}$ are the brightnesses in the $B$ - and $R$-band, and $I_{r o}$ and $I_{b o}$ are the brightnesses corresponding to $R_{o}$ and $B_{o}$ respectively. Taking the average of the magnitudes and brightnesses from Table 7 we get: $R_{o}=12.158 \pm 0.065, B_{o}=14.143 \pm 0.034, I_{\text {ro }}=2.16 \times 10^{4} \pm 147$, and $I_{b o}=1.07 \times 10^{4} \pm 103$. Using equations 6 and 7 the surface photometry of the archive images was transformed to the standard system.

Table 7: Data for Calibration of Archive Images

| Image | Passband | Intensity <br> (ADU) | Magnitude |
| ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| 161 | $R$ | 8681.11 | $12.219 \pm 0.016$ |
| 164 | $B$ | 3276.67 | $14.065 \pm 0.023$ |
| 255 | $B$ | 2965.00 | $14.170 \pm 0.022$ |
| 257 | $B$ | 2968.33 | $14.167 \pm 0.022$ |
| 260 | $R$ | 8513.33 | $12.226 \pm 0.015$ |
| 1166130 | $R$ | 95.06 | NA |
| 1166140 | $B$ | 110.51 | NA |

## 5 Aperture Photometry of NGC 6166

### 5.1 Reasons for Performing Aperture Photometry

In addition to surface photometry, aperture photometry was performed on the NGC 6166 images. There are several reasons for this. We wish to make a comparison of the global colours ( $B-R$ ) of nuclei B and C to those of "normal" elliptical galaxies to address the question of whether the multiple nuclei of NGC 6166 represent an interacting system. The global colours can be derived by fitting the measured surface photometry to known functions to give the total intensity. For instance, the well known de Vaucouleurs Law (de Vaucouleurs 1948) is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma(r)=\Sigma_{e} 10^{\left\{-3.33\left[\left(r / r_{e}\right)^{1 / 4}-1\right]\right\}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $\Sigma(r)$ is the brightness at radius $r, r_{e}$ is the radius enclosing half the total light of the galaxy and $\Sigma_{e}$ is the brightness at $r_{e}$. By integrating the de Vaucouleurs law to infinity (Mihalas \& Binney 1981) we get the total brightness of the galaxy. For a circular galaxy:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma_{\text {total }}=7.22 \pi r_{e}^{2} \Sigma_{e} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

However, for tidally truncated galaxies, we might expect the de Vaucouleurs law to be unable to match properly the surface brightness profiles of the galaxies resulting in incorrect total magnitudes. This would be true for any of the empirical functions fit to elliptical galaxies. The best way to avoid model-dependent assumptions is to use circular apertures centered on each nucleus to derive a total magnitude for each nucleus.

Aperture photometry may also be used to construct an aperture growth curve for the nuclei. It is also known that elliptical galaxies have a common growth curve, as shown by de Vaucouleurs et al. (1976) and more recently by Burstein et al. (1987). Tidally truncated galaxies should deviate systematically from the mean growth curve defined by normal ellipticals. The growth curve method may therefore serve a twofold purpose of first providing the total magnitudes of the nuclei directly, and second providing a test of whether nuclei B and C fit the mean growth curve.

Finally, the aperture photometry can provide the structural parameter $D_{n}$ as defined by Burstein et al. (1987). Burstein et al. (1987) define the $D_{n}$ parameter as the diameter of the circular aperture which encloses a mean integrated B-band surface brightness of 20.75 magnitudes/arcsecond ${ }^{2}$. The particular choice was made in such a way as to provide a parameter that was bright enough to be measurable on a large number of galaxies, but was large enough to be relatively free from the effects of seeing.

The $D_{n}$ parameter can give an important indication as to whether the nuclei B and C are interacting with nucleus A. Recently Weinberg (1996) has shown that a small galaxy which has been captured by a larger galaxy will be affected by three mechanisms: (1) internal heating, (2) mass loss due to tidal forces and (3) orbital decay due to dynamical friction. Weinberg states that a secondary galaxy will experience a periodic force as it moves. If the resulting tidal force changes faster than the timescale of the internal motions in the object, then the galaxy will be shocked and will expand. A captured galaxy will also have material in its outer regions stripped
by tidal forces, reducing its mass. Finally, the orbiting galaxy will cause a concentration of matter behind it in the form of a tidal wake. The gravitational force of this material will slow the orbit of the secondary galaxy and cause the orbit to spiral into the center.

There are two interaction scenarios possible (Schombert 1996). A galaxy undergoing a slow encounter will be tidally truncated by an encounter with a larger galaxy. However, if the orbital time of the secondary is comparable to its internal orbital timescale, then the shocking will not be as severe. A fast encounter will "shock" a galaxy, making it physically larger. Thus, in the plot of $\log D_{n}$ vs. total B magnitude, the slow encounter galaxy will have a smaller $\log D_{n}$ for its brightness, while the galaxy undergoing a fast encounter will have a larger $D_{n}$ value for its total magnitude. Using aperture photometry to measure $D_{n}$ can therefore provide an important test to check for interaction of the components of NGC 6166.

### 5.2 Performing Aperture Photometry of Nucleus B and C

### 5.2.1 Removal of Unwanted Objects

Before performing aperture photometry of the nuclei we must remove all contaminating objects from the images. The other three nuclei must be subtracted from the images in order to measure the fourth. Thus, in order to perform aperture measurements on nucleus B, nuclei A, C and D must be subtracted from the images. We may remove any of the three brightest galaxies by using SNUC to fit the galaxies, and then using RENUC to model all but one of the galaxies. Then the model may be subtracted from the images.

In order to remove nucleus D and the tidal wake candidate, we first clipped the pixels encompassing these two objects. RENUC was then used to reconstruct the galaxy to be measured. The values of the clipped pixels were then replaced by the model values. Photometry of the wake is discussed in Section 5.3.

### 5.2.2 Calculation of Total Magnitudes

We wish to obtain the total $B$ and $R$ magnitudes of nuclei B and C . In order to remain as consistent as possible to Burstein et al. (1987) we follow the steps outlined there to derive the total $B$ magnitude. These are essentially the steps outlined by de Vaucouleurs et al. (1976). The first step is to obtain the total magnitude of the galaxy by plotting the magnitude of the galaxy as a function of aperture diameter. The total intensity inside each aperture was normalised to a 1 second exposure time by dividing by the exposure time. Equations 3 and 4 in Subsection 2.5 . 3 were then used to calculate the aperture magnitudes.

As the aperture radius is increased, the aperture magnitude will asymptotically approach the total magnitude of the galaxy when the difference in the magnitude between successive aperture is zero. This so-called "growth curve" is exactly analogous to the aperture growth curves computed for the standard stars in Section 2.3. The radii for aperture photometry measurements chosen were in $1^{\prime \prime}$ increments up to $50^{\prime \prime}$. It was found that nucleus B reached its asymptotic magnitude at $25^{\prime \prime}$ while nucleus C reached its asymptotic magnitude at $17^{\prime \prime}$. Total magnitudes were derived in both $B$ and $R$ passbands.

There are three corrections which must be made to the raw $B$-band magnitudes
before $D_{n}$ is calculated. The first correction made is for galactic extinction, which Burstein et al. (1987) find to be zero. The second correction is the K-correction. The light from distant objects is redshifted from the observer by an amount dependent upon its distance. As a result, the photometric passbands used at the telescope will sample a different part of the spectrum of the object if it is far away, than if it is at rest with respect to the observer. If we wish to compare measurements made of different objects at different redshifts, then we must correct the measured magnitudes for the redshift of the spectrum. From de Vaucouleurs et al. (1976), the K-correction for NGC 6166, which is at a redshift of 0.03 , is 0.14 magnitudes. This is subtracted from all $B$-band magnitude measurements. The final correction is made for the cosmological dimming. This requires the surface brightnesses to be divided by $(1+z)^{4}$, where z is the redshift. This is required by the geometrical size changes caused by the expansion of the universe. (See Sandage, Kron \& Longair 1995 for details).

### 5.2.3 Calculation of $D_{n}$

The value of $D_{n}$ is easily computed from the $B$-band aperture photometry. The total intensity inside each aperture was divided by the exposure time and the area of the aperture. Equations 3 and 4 then give the total integrated surface brightness for that aperture (corrected as described in Section 6.2). The value of $D_{n}$ was determined by fitting a cubic spline to the plot of integrated $B$ surface brightness vs. aperture diameter. The spline fit was then evaluated at the $B$-band surface brightness of 20.75 magnitudes per square arcsecond, to give $D_{n}$.

### 5.3 Photometry of the Tidal Wake Candidate

Lauer (1986) identified a tidal wake candidate between nuclei B and C. He speculated it could be caused by the fast passage of nucleus B through the envelope of nucleus A. We are able to perform the first colour study of this feature, in order to determine if the colours are consistent with what is expected from such a feature. A tidal wake is formed behind an object as it gravitationally pulls stars from the halo of a larger object into its path of motion. The result is a density enhancement behind the galaxy. If the tidal wake candidate identified by Lauer (1986) is a true tidal feature, then it should have the same $B-R$ colour as the halo of nucleus $A$ at the distance of the wake. Since we can easily compute a $B-R$ colour from our SNUC photometry of nucleus A , aperture photometry on the wake candiate is valuable.

To perform the photometry, the first step was to remove all possible contaminating objects near the wake candidate. SNUC was used to fit nuclei A, B and C, RENUC was used to model the nuclei, and the models were then subtracted from the original images. A PSF was computed for each image in the same manner as described in Section 2.5.2 was used to subtract nucleus D.

A key problem is that the northern-most edge of the wake candidate overlaps with the large nuclear residuals of nucleus $B$. To avoid this problem, the region occupied by the wake on all images was first identified. The region of the measuring box was $11 \times 16$ pixels wide. The center of the box was located on the blue reference image (see Section 3.3) and the positional offsets used to move the position of the box to the appropriate location on all images. This is the same procedure used to ensure the
same dust regions were masked on all images in Section 3.3. For each region to be measured a histogram of the values inside the box was plotted. The histogram showed a characteristic Gaussian shape, with a small number of large negative and positive residuals about the mean. The values outside the Gaussian were masked using the CLIP program inside the VISTA package. CLIP masks all pixels outside the upper and lower ranges specified. In this manner the high positive and negative residuals near the center of nucleus B were masked. The ABX program inside VISTA was then used to obtain the total intensity inside the region occupied by the tidal wake. Using equations 3,4 and the airmass, the intensities were transformed into total $B$ and $R$-band magnitudes.

If the tidal wake candidate is truly a tidal feature, then it should have the same colour as the central galaxy at the same position from the center. We therefore adopted the center of the wake as the center of the box used to measure its light. The major-axis length of the isophote running through the center of the wake was then computed using Equation 22, in Appendix C. We interpolate between the points of our mean $B$ - and $R$-band profiles of Figures 9 and 10 to obtain the $B$ - and $R$-band brightnesses of the isophote running through the center of the wake. The $B-R$ of this isophote then gives the an estimate of the colour of the galaxy at the location of the wake candidate.

Weinberg (1986) has modeled tidal wakes formed by the passage of a satellite galaxy through a medium of arbitrary density distribution. He defines a quantity called the overdensity, which enables us to compare our tidal feature to his models.

Weinberg (1986) defines the overdensity, as the fractional density enhancement over the background. If $\rho_{o}$ is the background density, and $\rho$ is the density in the presence of a satellite, then the overdensity is defined as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi=\frac{\left(\rho-\rho_{o}\right)}{\rho_{o}} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a satellite of mass $M_{s}$ moving with velocity $V_{t}$ through a medium with internal velocity dispersion $\sigma$, Weinberg (1986) gives an analytic expression for the overdensity (see his Equation 3). We may use our aperture photometry to estimate the overdensity of the wake as follows. We adopt the velocity dispersion of the background medium to be the velocity dispersion of nucleus A from Tonry (1985). Tonry (1985) also gives the mass-to-light ratio ( $M / L$ ) of nucleus B as $10 M_{\odot} / L_{\odot}$, and the relative radial velocity of nucleus $B$ to nucleus $A$ to be $1285 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{s}$. We then use the total magnitude of nucleus $B$ from our aperture photometry to calculate its absolute magnitude. The ( $M / L$ ) then gives the mass of nucleus B. As a first approximation, we assume the radial velocity of nucleus $B$ relative to nucleus $A$ to be the orbital velocity of the orbit of nucleus B. Weinberg (1986) also requires the spatial coordinates used to be given units of $\left(G M_{s}\right) / \sigma$. In order to compute the densities from the brightnesses, we require the $(M / L)$ of the wake and the background. However, we assume that since the material of the wake is supposedly formed from the material of the background, the mass-to-light ratios are the same. In the ratio taken, the $(M / L)$ will therefore cancel and is not required.

The observed overdensity is computed from the added intensity of the wake over the light of Nucleus A at its distance. If the $(M / L)$ is assumed to be the same, then
the ratio of the brightness of the wake at any point to the brightness of nucleus $A$ at that point gives the overdensity. This is because we have measured the brightness of the wake with the light from nucleus A subtracted. This effectively computes ( $\rho-\rho_{o}$ ) in equation 10 , because we are only measuring the excess light above the background of $A$. The overdensity is therefore given observationally by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi=\frac{I_{\text {wake }}}{I_{A}}=10^{0.4 \times\left(M_{A}-M_{w a k e}\right)} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I_{\text {wake }}$ and $I_{A}$ are the intensities of the wake and Nucleus A respectively at any point, $M_{w a k e}$ and $M_{A}$ are the apparent magnitudes of the wake and nucleus $A$ at that point. We adopt the center of the wake as our reference point for comparison. We discuss these results in Section 7.2.3.

## 6 Calculation of Dust Mass.

### 6.0.1 Motivation for Calculating the Dust Mass

Lachiéze-Rey et al. (1985) find that nucleus C is a bluer object than nucleus B. They state that while the central color of nucleus B and C are the same, $B-R \sim 2.0$, the global color of nucleus C is bluer by about 0.4 magnitudes. Thus the global color of nucleus $C$ is $B-R \sim$ 1.6. Lachiéze-Rey et al. (1985) claim that this is more like the global color of a Sb galaxy, rather than an elliptical. This leads to the question of whether nucleus $C$ is the bulge of a spiral galaxy which has been cannibalised. We attempt to check this as follows. If nucleus $C$ has been stripped of its gas and dust, then it might be argued that the dust component seen in the core of nucleus A represents material stripped from nucleus C. If so, we expect the dust mass of nucleus $A$ to be similar to that of a spiral galaxy.

### 6.0.2 Calculation of Dust Mass for NGC 6166

We may derive a dust mass using the extinction of the light of the galaxy caused by the dust, Brosch et al. (1990); Brosch \& Loinger (1991); Veŕon-Cetty \& Veŕon (1988); van Dokkum \& Franx (1995). We follow the method outlined by Veŕon-Cetty \& Veŕon (1988) to compute the dust mass. The components of NGC 6166 were modeled by SNUC and RENUC used to reconstruct the galaxies. The model was then divided into the original images, creating an absorption map. Areas where the model is brighter than the original image should be regions of dust. All dust regions in the core were identified, and the IRAF routine LISTPIX was used to output the values
of the absorption. For each pixel, the mean $B$ and $R$ absorptions were computed. In some cases, noise might make a pixel seem to be an absorption feature. To eliminate such spurious detections we use the method of Brosch \& Loinger (1991) and reject all pixels whose mean $B$ or $R$ absorption is greater than 1.0. This procedure eliminates spurious pixels, and possible emission features.

In addition, the reddening should be larger in the $B$-band than the $R$-band. All pixels which had an average absorption greater in the $R$-band than the $B$-band were rejected. This also tests to see if the large absorption feature seen to the north of the nucleus by Lauer (1986) is indeed a dust feature, or is due to non-concentric isophotes. The pixels which survived these checks are shown in Figure 24. The large feature seen to the north of the nucleus by Lauer (1986) does appear to be caused by absorption due to dust.

To compute the dust mass we use the result of Veŕon-Cetty \& Veron (1988):

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{D}=\frac{\Sigma<A_{B}>}{\Gamma_{B}} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $M_{D}$ is the dust mass in solar masses, $\Sigma$ is the area in Kpc covered by a dust feature, $\left\langle A_{B}\right\rangle$ is the absorption in magnitudes in the $B$-band, and $\Gamma_{B}$ is the $B$-band mass absorption coefficient. It should be noted that this provides a minimum dust mass, since it assumes that all the dust lies in front of the galaxy. The mass increases when the dust is assumed to lie within the galaxy. From Veŕon-Cetty \& Veron (1988) $\Gamma_{B}=8 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{magkpc}^{-2} M_{\odot}^{-1}$. This coefficient assumes that the dust mass absorption coefficient is similar to that of dust in the Milky Way. The absorption, $\left\langle A_{B}\right\rangle$, is
given by equation 13 .

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.<A_{B}\right\rangle=-2.5 \times \log \left(\frac{I_{o b s}}{I_{\text {model }}}\right) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $I_{\text {obs }}$ is the observed intensity, and $I_{\text {model }}$ is the modeled intensity. With an assumed distance of 187 Mpc , each pixel subtends and area of $0.171 \mathrm{kpc}^{2}$. The total dust mass is derived by using equation 13 to compute the absorption in magnitudes for each pixel, and then using equation 12 to compute the dust mass for that pixel. The total dust mass for NGC 6166 is then simply the sum of all the contributions.

## 7 Results

### 7.1 Results From Profile Fitting Photometry

### 7.1.1 Averaging the Surface Brightness Profiles

After performing the SNUC photometry on all NGC 6166 images, average $B$ and $R$ profiles were computed for all three nuclei. The profiles for our CFHT data were first converted to standard magnitudes using equations 3 and 4 . This produced three B-band profiles, and two $R$-band profiles. The profiles in each passband were then averaged to create average $B$ and $R$ profiles for each nucleus. The profile fitting results from the CFHT archive images were not included in the average because they are calibrated with our CFHT images, which could bias the results. We present separate profiles for these data.

The uncertainties for the mean profiles are calculated by computing the brightness of the isophote with its uncertainity in intensity alternately added and subtracted from the computed intensity. This gives uncertainties in the individual measurements in magnitudes. To compute the average magnitude we convert the measured magnitudes to arbitrary intensity units using:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=10^{-0.4 \times M} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I$ is the intensity, and $M$ is the magnitude. The upper and lower range of the magnitude is used to calculate the uncertainty in these units. The mean value in intensity is calculated and the uncertainty is calculated, using equation 15 :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{\mu}^{2}=\sum\left[\sigma_{i}^{2}\left(\frac{\partial \mu^{\prime}}{\partial I_{i}}\right)^{2}\right] \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $\sigma_{\mu}^{2}$ is the variance in the mean, $\sigma_{i}$ is the variance in measurement $i, \mu$ is the mean value, and $I_{i}$ is the $i t h$ intensity measurement. The mean intensity is converted to magnitudes using equation 5 . The uncertainty in the mean intensity is converted to an uncertainty in magnitude by computing the magnitude with the uncertainty both added and subtracted from the mean value.

### 7.1.2 Features of the Surface Brightness Profiles

The computed average $B$ and $R$ brightness profiles for nuclei $A, B$ and $C$ are given in Figures 9 and 10. Here we have plotted the measured surface brightness profiles of nuclei $A, B$ and $C$ as a function of isophote major axis length to the $1 / 4$ power. Plotting the profiles in this manner linearizes the de Vaucouleurs law. These plots show that all three nuclei seem to be well fit to de Vaucouleurs laws outside the seeing FWHM. We do not expect the profiles to fit the de Vaucouleurs law inside the seeing FHWM because the brightness peak in the center is smeared out by the seeing. We have indicated the radius of the average seeing FWHM on our profiles. The truncation of the profile of nucleus B reported by Lachiéze-Rey et al.(1985) and Lauer (1986) seems to be marginally detected, with a possible change of slope in the profiles near major axis position $r^{1 / 4}=1 .{ }^{18} 8^{1 / 4}$. This is near the same position as the change in slope seen in the Lauer (1986) profile (see his Figure 6). While this is suggestive that the truncation is real, within the uncertainties the profile of nucleus $B$ is well fit by a de Vaucouleurs law. It may appear that nucleus $C$ seems to show
a decrease in slope in its outer radii. However, the uncertainites here are very large, and the background caused by nucleus A is also very large. It may be that we are measuring contamination from nucleus A .

Figures 11 and 12 show the $B$ and $R$-band major axis surface brightness profiles of nuclei $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$ and C as measured on the CFHT Archive images. There is higher scatter for all nuclei. However, it is clear that outside the seeing radius, the nuclei are well described by the de Vaucouleurs law. The uncertainites for nucleus $C$ are such that it is difficult to draw any conclusions. However, the profile for nucleus $B$ is very straight and no evidence for truncation is present.

### 7.1.3 Comparison of Surface Brightness Profiles to other Work

We compare our results to the $B$ and $R$-band photometry of Lachiéze-Rey et al. (1985) in Figures 13 and 14. Here, the same corrections have been applied to both datasets. To transform our Cousins photometry to the Johnson system, we use the transformations given in Davis et al. (1985). We do not have uncertainty estimates for the Lachiéze-Rey et al. (1985) profiles, so the comparison is uncertain. Further, they published only their $B$-band photometry for nucieus A , and $R$-band photometry for nucleus B. Finally, the data were read off photocopies of their plots, and this adds to the uncertainty in the comparison. The profile we derive for nucleus A is brighter in the central regions, and fainter in the outer radii than Lachiéze-Rey et al. (1985), but the uncertainties in our outer isophotes are large.

Lachiéze-Rey et al. (1985) include nuclei B and D as a single object in their study. With the two objects included, we expect that their combined object would
have a much slower dropoff in light when compared to our work. Yet examination of Figure 14 shows the opposite to be the case. The $R$-band profile of nucleus B shows a shallower profile in our work, while the profile of Lachiéze-Rey et al. (1985) shows a sharp drop off. However, is is important to note that our profile also appears much straighter than theirs, which has a curved appearance. Our profile shows that nucleus B is well fit to a de Vaucouleurs law, while Lachiéze-Rey et al. (1985) suggest it is not. Once again, however, true comparison is difficult without proper uncertainty estimates for their data. The clean separation of the light of nucleus $D$ and the wake in our study, and the fact that our profile is the result of the average of multiple measurements should make our result more trustworthy.

### 7.1.4 Position Angle and Ellipticity Profiles

The position angle and ellipticity profiles might be expected to be different in the two passbands because dust absorption will affect the $B$-band profiles to a larger extent than the $R$-band images. However, plots of the position angle and ellipticity profiles the profiles to be similar within their errors. This agreement lends credibility to our identification and masking of dust lanes before performing the SNUC fitting. All five determinations of the ellipticities and position angles were averaged for each nucleus. Figures 15 and 16 show the average position angles and ellipticities for all three nuclei.

The uncertainties in the mean ellipticities are computed using:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{\mu}^{2}=\sum\left[\sigma_{i}^{2}\left(\frac{\partial \mu^{\prime}}{\partial \epsilon_{i}}\right)^{2}\right] \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 9: B-band surface brightness profiles for nuclei $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$ and C computed from photometry or our CFHT images. The brightness in $B$ magnitudes per square arcsecond is plotted as a function of major axis position to the $1 / 4$ power. This choice linearizes the de Vaucouleur law. Nuclei A, B and C seem well described by a de Vaucouleurs law. The truncation of nucleus B indicated by Lauer (1986) is not evident. Nucleus C seems well fit by a de Vaucouleurs law, but the outer most photometry may suffer from contamination from nucleus A . The vertical dot-dashed line shows the radius of the mean seeing FWHM. The vertical solid line shows the distance of Nucleus B from Nucleus A. The profiles of nuclei A, B and C are labeled.


Figure 10: $R$-band major-axis brightness profile for nuclei $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$ and C computed from photometry of our CFHT images. The brightness in $R$ magnitudes per square arcsecond is plotted as a function of major axis position to the $1 / 4$ power. This choice linearizes the de Vaucouleurs law. Once again all three nuclei seem well described by a de Vaucouleurs law, as indicated by the linear shape of the brightness profile. The vertical dot-dashed line shows the radius of the mean seeing FWHM. The vertical solid line shows the distance of nucleus B from nucleus A . The profiles of nuclei $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$ and C are labeled.


Figure 11: $B$-band surface brightness profiles for nuclei $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$ and C computed from photometry of the CFHT Archive images. The brightness in $B$ magnitudes per square arcsecond is plotted as a function of major axis position to the $1 / 4$ power. All three nuclei are well fit to de Vaucouleurs laws. The vertical dot-dashed line shows the radius of the mean seeing FWHM. The vertical solid line shows the distance of nucleus B from nucleus A . The profiles of nuclei $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$ and C are labeled.


Figure 12: $R$-band major-axis brightness profile for nuclei $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$ and C computed from photometry of the CFHT Archive images. The brightness in $R$ magnitudes per square arcsecond is plotted as a function of major axis position to the $1 / 4$ power. Once again nucleus A is well fit to a de Vaucouleurs law, as indicated by the linear shape of the brightness profile. Nuclei B and C also are well fit to de Vaucouleurs laws with no significant sign of slope changes. The truncation indicated by Lauer (1986) is not evident. The vertical dot-dashed line shows the radius of the mean seeing FWHM. The vertical solid line shows the distance of nucleus $B$ from nucleus $A$. The profiles of nuclei $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$ and C are labeled.


Figure 13: Comparison of our $B$-band surface brightness profile for nucleus $A$ (filled circles) to that of Lachiéze-Rey et al. (1985) (hollow circles). Our profile is brighter in the inner regions, while fainter in the outer parts.


Figure 14: Comparison of our $R$-band surface brightness profile for nucleus B (filled circles) to that of Lachiéze-Rey et al. (1985) (hollow circles) . Our profile has a shallower slope than the Lachiéze-Rey et al. result. In addition, our profile is well fit to a De Vaucouleurs law, while their profile has a curved appearance, mimicking a truncated profile.

Where $\mu^{\prime}$ is the mean ellipticity, $\sigma_{\mu}^{2}$ is the variance in the mean ellipticity, and $\sigma_{i}^{2}$ is the variance in the ith measurement of the ellipticity for an isophote.

The uncertainty in the position angles is calculated using:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{\mu}^{2}=\sum\left[\sigma_{i}^{2}\left(\frac{\partial \mu^{\prime}}{\partial P_{i}}\right)^{2}\right] \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $\sigma_{\mu}^{2}$ is the variance in the mean position angle, $\sigma_{i}^{2}$ is the variance in the ith position angle measurement, $\mu^{\prime}$ is the mean position angle, and $P_{i}$ is the $i$ th position angle measurement.

Figures 17 and 18 compare our ellipticity and position angle profiles to those of Lauer (1986). The ellipticity profiles of nuclei B and C show an initial dip, and then an increase in ellipticity but neither shows changes in ellipticity that are larger than the uncertainites. Such trends are expected since seeing will circularize the isophotes near their centers. For nucleus $A$, there is excellent agreement in the elipticity profiles of Lauer (1986) and this work. There is an inital dip and then a rise in ellipticity that continues along the entire profile. Lachiéze-Rey et al. (1985) also noted this behavior. Since Lauer's data was read off paper photocopies, there is some uncertainty introduced by us in the comparison. However, if Lauer's uncertainties are comparable to ours, the data would seem to agree in general shape for the three nuclei. However, we once again note that within the uncertainities no significant ellipticity changes are present.

The position angle data are in worse agreement (Figure 18) in the central regions of nucleus A. However, poor sampling of the galaxy because of finite size of the pixels, or seeing, or dust may be a problem. Once again, however, the position angle profiles
for nucleus C agree, assuming similar uncertainties for the Lauer (1986) data.
We expect that if nuclei $B$ and $C$ are interacting with nucleus $A$, then there would be isophote twisting and ellipticity changes with radius as the smaller galaxies are stretched and twisted in the tidal field of nucleus $A$. It would seem that there is evidence for ellipticity changes in nucleus C. However, given the large uncertainties, the changes are not greater than the uncertainties, and so may not be significant. Nucleus B shows a very flat position angle profile.

In Figures 19 and 20, we present the mean position angles and ellipticity profiles for all three nuclei measured on our CFHT archive images. These are the unweighted average of the ellipticities and position angles for our $B$ and $R$ archive images. The uncertainites are computed in the same manner as the uncertainties for our CFHT data. We indicate the seeing FWHM (vertical dot-dashed line) on all plots. Once again, nucleus A has a steadily increasing ellipticity profile with a strong initial decrease. Nuclei B and C show a decrease in ellipticity, as does nucleus C. The results for nucleus C therefore are opposite to that seen in Figure 15. The position angle seems to be constant for both nuclei as well, with large scatter. This leads to the suspicion that we are running into problems of light contamination from nucleus A , which would be a non-uniform background and thus affect the measured isophote shapes. The changes in nucleus $C$ may be because we become more affected by contamination from nucleus $A$ with radius. This makes measuring the ellipticities and position angles more uncertain.

The increase of ellipticity of nucleus C noted by Lauer (1986) may be explained
by the seeing making the isophotes more circular near the center, and the ellipticity then increasing as seeing effects decrease. We summarize by noting the position angle and ellipticity changes are not significant within the uncertainties and the effects of seeing and contamination may better explain them. Detailed modeling and testing would be required to confirm this.

### 7.2 Results From Aperture Photometry

### 7.2.1 Results From $D_{n}$ Determinations

The values of $D_{n}$ in arcseconds and the corrected total apparent $B$-band magnitudes, which we call $B_{\text {tot }}$, for nuclei B and C are given in Table 8, computed as described in Section 5.3. Burstein et al. (1987) give the values of $B_{\text {tot }}$ and $\log \left(D_{n} / 0.1^{\prime}\right)$ for 505 galaxies. In Figure 21 we plot these data along with the values determined for nuclei B and C from our study. Included in the Burstein et al. (1987) sample is NGC 4486A and its satellite galaxy NGC 4489B (labeled), as well as M32 (labeled), which is a small satellite galaxy of M31. Examining Figure 21, it appears that both M32 and NGC 4486B lie to the right of the mean line. M32 appears to be $\sim 0.5$ magnitudes away from the mean line, and NGC 4486B lies about 1.0 magnitude to the right of the relation.

While suggestive, there is large scatter in the plot, of the order of the difference in M32 and NGC 4486B from the mean relation. This may suggest that a certain amount of the scatter in Figure 21 is caused by the inclusion of tidally truncated galaxies. To address this issue properly, it would be valuable scientifically to identify those galaxies in the sample which are candidates for tidal truncation and remove


Figure 15: The mean ellipticity profiles of the three nuclei of the NGC 6166 system from our CFHT data; (A) the ellipticity profile of nucleus A, (B) the ellipticity profile of nucleus $B,(C)$ the ellipticity profile of nucleus $C$. The ellipticity change of nucleus A, as reported by Lachiéze-Rey et al. (1985) is confirmed. Neither nucleus B or C show significant changes in ellipticity with radius. The vertical solid line in the plot of nucleus A shows the distance of nucleus B from nucleus A . The seeing FWHM is indicated on all three plots by the vertical dot-dashed line.


Figure 16: The mean position angle profiles of the three nuclei of the NGC 6166 system; (A) the position angle profile of nucleus $A$, (B) the position angle profile of nucleus $\mathrm{B},(\mathrm{C})$ the position angle profile of nucleus C . Neither nucleus B or C show significant changes in position angle with radius. The changes in nucleus A may be due to dust absorption since the archive images also show these affects. The vertical solid line in the plot of nucleus A shows the distance of nucleus B from nucleus A. The seeing FWHM is indicated on all three plots by the vertical dot-dashed line.


Figure 17: Comparison of our mean ellipticity profiles to that of Lauer (1986); (A) the ellipticity profile of nucleus $A,(B)$ the ellipticity profile of nucleus $B,(C)$ the ellipticity profile of nucleus $C$. For all three plots, our mean data are indicated by squares and are connected by dashed lines. The Lauer (1986) data is indicated by the pentagons and solid line. The vertical dot-dashed line is the mean seeing FWHM. There is generally good agreement between our study and Lauer (1986).


Figure 18: Comparison of our mean position angle profiles to that of Lauer (1986); (A) the position angle profile of nucleus A , (B) the position angle profile of nucleus B , $(\mathrm{C})$ the position profile of nucleus C . For all three plots, our mean data are indicated by squares and are connected by dashed lines. The Lauer (1986) data is indicated by the pentagons and solid line. The vertical dot-dashed line is the mean seeing FWHM. There is poorer agreement between our study and Lauer (1986) than for the ellipticities, particularly in the central region of nucleus $A$.

(C)

Figure 19: The mean ellipticity profiles measured on our CFHT archive images. (A) the ellipticity profile of nucleus $A$, (B) the ellipticity profile of nucleus $B$, (C) the ellipticity profile of nucleus $C$. The vertical dot-dashed line is the mean seeing FWHM. The vertical solid line in the plot of nucleus A shows the distance of Nucleus $B$ from Nucleus A. The strong dip in the profile of nucleus A is recovered.


Figure 20: The mean position angle profiles measured on our CFHT archive images. (A) the position angle profile of nucleus $A,(B)$ the position angle profile of nucleus $B$, (C) the position profile of nucleus $C$. The vertical dot-dashed line is the mean seeing FWHM. The vertical solid line in the plot of nucleus A shows the distance of Nucleus B from Nucleus A. There are no significant position angle changes in nucleus B or C. The strong dip in the profile of nucleus $A$ is recovered.

Table 8: Results of $D_{n}$ Determinations

| B-image | Nucleus B |  | Nucleus C |  | Seeing |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $D_{n}\left({ }^{\prime \prime}\right)$ | $B_{\text {tot }}$ | $D_{n}\left({ }^{\prime \prime}\right)$ | $B_{\text {tot }}$ | FWHM (") |
| 164 | 5.20 | 16.33 | 2.73 | 17.44 | 1.39 |
| 255 | 4.70 | 16.19 | 2.37 | 17.36 | 1.62 |
| 257 | 4.33 | 16.12 | 1.92 | 17.09 | 1.84 |

them. Figure 21 could then be replotted with only non-interacting galaxies included. This would be more valuable as a comparison sample for determining the value of the $D_{n}$ parameter as a useful diagnostic for identifying merging galaxies. However. such a study is beyond the scope of this work.

With this difficulty in mind, it is still useful to examine whether nuclei $B$ and $C$ are similarly placed to the right of the mean relation or whether they are closer to the mean of the relation. From Table 8, we find the $B_{\text {tot }}=16.26 \pm 0.03$ and the average $\log \left(D_{n} / 0.1^{\prime}\right)=-0.09 \pm 0.02$ for nucleus B. For nucleus C $B_{\text {tot }}=17.51 \pm 0.04$ and the average $\log \left(D_{n} / 0.1^{\prime}\right)=-0.36 \pm 0.03$. Here the uncertainties are simply the standard deviations around the mean value. Inspection of Figure 21 shows that neither nucleus B nor C seems fainter for their $D_{n}$ value, which suggests they are not being truncated by nucleus A. In addition, they are not too bright for their $D_{n}$ value either, which suggests they are not being tidally shocked making them too large for their brightness (see discussion in Section 5.3). From this evidence it appears that both nuclei B and C are normal cluster ellipticals, and do not show signs of interaction with nucleus A.


Figure 21: Plot of $\log \left(D_{n} / 0.1^{\prime}\right)$ versus corrected total apparent B magnitude, $B_{\text {tot }}$, for nuclei B and C (pentagons), and the sample of Burstein et al. (1987). Significantly, nuclei B and C lie close to the relation defined by the Burstein et al.(1987) data. This suggests that nuclei $B$ and $C$ seem to internally show no evidence for being enlarged or truncated through interaction with the main galaxy.

Table 9: Global Colours of Nucleus B and C

| Image | Nucleus B |  |  | Nucleus C |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pair $(R / B)$ | $B_{\text {tot }}$ | $R_{\text {tot }}$ | $B-R$ | $B_{\text {tot }}$ | $R_{\text {tot }}$ | $B-R$ |
| $161 / 164$ | 16.33 | 14.44 | 1.89 | 17.44 | 15.89 | 1.55 |
| $255 / 260$ | 16.19 | 14.62 | 1.57 | 17.36 | 15.71 | 1.65 |
| $257 / 260$ | 16.12 | 14.64 | 1.48 | 17.09 | 15.74 | 1.35 |

### 7.2.2 Global Colours Derived from Aperture Photometry.

We may derive global colours for the components of NGC 6166 by performing aperture photometry on the $R$-band images in an identical manner to that performed on the $B$-band data. The total $R$-magnitude for each nucleus is then available directly. Table 9 gives the total Cousins $B$ and $R$ band magnitudes, and the global colours for nuclei $B$ and $C$.

For purposes of comparison with other authors, we once again transform our Cousins $B-R$ colours from Table 9 to the Johnson system using the transformations given by Davis et al. (1985). The colour of nucleus B is $B-R=1.90 \pm 0.22$ while the global colour of nucleus $C$ is $B-R=1.75 \pm 0.15$. The uncertainties quoted here are the standard deviations around the mean of three determinations of each quantity. Lachiéze-Rey et al. (1985) find $B-R \sim 2$ for nucleus $B$, while the global colour of nucleus C is bluer by 0.4 magnitudes. We find a smaller difference, of only 0.15 magnitudes, but in the same sense that nucleus C is bluer than nucleus B . Within the uncertainties the two have the same colour. We wish to compare these results to those of typical spiral and elliptical galaxies. Peletier \& Balcells (1996)
give $B-R$ determinations for the bulges of 30 spiral galaxies, while Peletier et al. (1990) provide $B-R$ colours for 39 elliptical galaxies. Figure 22 shows histograms of the $B-R$ colours of both data sets. The mean $B-R$ colour for the spiral bulges is $B-R=1.44 \pm 0.13$, while the mean $B-R$ colour of the elliptical galaxies is $B-R=1.57 \pm 0.09$. The elliptical galaxies are therefore slightly redder than the spiral bulges. There is also less scatter among the values for the elliptical galaxies, which is not surprising since the bulges must be measured separate from their disks - a difficult procedure. Based upon these values, it is not clear that nucleus $C$ has a colour different from other elliptical galaxies. However, both nuclei B and C are redder than the mean for both the spirals and ellipticals.

In Figure 23 we show a colour-magnitude diagram for the sample of ellipticals and bulges. We obtain the total absolute $B$-band magnitudes for the ellipticals from Peletier et al. (1990). The values they quote are in turn calculated from the $B$-band data of Burstein et al. (1987), with $H_{0}=50 \mathrm{kms}^{-1} \mathrm{Mpc}^{-1}$. For the spiral galaxies, we obtain total $B$-band magnitudes and redshifts from de Vaucouleurs et al.(1991). Figure 23 shows the position for nuclei $B$ and $C$ so they may be compared to spiral and ellipticals of similar blue luminosities. There is large scatter, making meaningful comparison difficult. We adopt the velocities of Tonry (1984) for both nuclei B and $C$ and compute their absolute magnitudes. Figure 23 shows that nuclei $B$ and $C$ are both very red objects, appearing redder than most ellipticals and spirals. The only spiral galaxy in the sample as red as nucleus C is NGC 6368, which is listed as an Sb galaxy by de Vaucouleurs et al. (1991). The results do not suggest that nucleus C is


Figure 22: A histogram of the $B-R$ values of spiral bulges and elliptical galaxies. The shaded region delineates the histogram for the spiral galaxies, while the dotted line shows the histogram for the ellipticals. The colours for the bulges and ellipticals are very similar in $B-R$ colour, with the spiral bulges being slightly bluer. The data is plotted in 0.5 magnitude bins.

Table 10: Global Magnitudes and Colours of the Tidal Wake Candidate

| Image Pair $(B$-image $/ R$-image $)$ | $B_{\text {tot }}$ | $R_{\text {tot }}$ | $B-R$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $161 / 164$ | $21.62 \pm 0.74$ | $20.04 \pm 0.47$ | $1.58 \pm 0.88$ |
| $255 / 260$ | $20.85 \pm 0.51$ | $19.23 \pm 0.34$ | $1.62 \pm 0.61$ |
| $257 / 260$ | $20.61 \pm 0.46$ | $19.26 \pm 0.34$ | $1.36 \pm 0.57$ |

a stripped Sb galaxy, but this possibility cannot be ruled out.

### 7.2.3 Total Magnitudes and Colours of the Tidal Wake Candidate

We averaged the three $B$-band and two $R$-band magnitude determinations of the tidal wake candidate (see Section 5.3) to produce average total $B$ - and $R$-band magnitudes and an average $B-R$ colour. Table 10 gives the results of our photometry of the wake.

The average $B$-band magnitude is $21.03 \pm 0.30$, the average $R$-band magnitude is $19.51 \pm 0.27$ and the average $B-R$ is $1.52 \pm 0.37$. Here the uncertainty estimates are computed in a similar manner to those of the mean ellipticity and position angle uncertainties. At the position of the wake, NGC 6166 has a $B-R=1.75 \pm 0.07$. Comparing the mean $B-R$ colour for the wake with that for nucleus A at its position suggests that the cD may be slightly bluer than the wake candidate by $\sim 0.22$ magnitudes. However, given the large uncertainty in the colors of the tidal wake, this difference is not statistically significant.

Comparing the models of Weinberg (1986) for a dynamical wake, we note that the models require the spatial units to be in terms of $G M_{s} / \sigma^{2}$. The absolute $B$ -


Figure 23: A comparison of the Johnson $B-R$ colour of nucleus B and C to spirals (top) and ellipticals (bottom) of the similar absolute Johnson B magnitude. It is clear here that the $B-R$ colours of nuclei B and C (labeled) are redder than other ellipticals. However, the samples show little trend of $B-R$ with magnitude for either the spirals or the ellipticals. The larger scatter in the spiral galaxies is evident.
band magnitude of nucleus B from our aperture photometry is -19.82 . The absolute blue magnitude of the Sun is 5.48 . Therefore the blue luminosity of nucleus B is $1.32 \times 10^{10} L_{\odot}$. Tonry (1984) uses $\left(M / L=10 M_{\odot} / L_{\odot}\right.$, which yields a mass for nucleus B of $M_{B}=M_{s}=1.32 \times 10^{11} M_{\odot}$. The velocity dispersion of nucleus A from Tonry (1984) is $\sigma=303 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{s}$. Substituting in these quantities and converting meters to parsecs, the spatial unit of Weinberg is therefore equal to 6183 pc. The conversion from arcseconds to parsecs is done assuming a distance of 187 Mpc for NGC 6166. The center of the wake is at a distance of $r=4 .{ }^{\prime \prime} 77$ or 4325 kpc from the center of nucleus B. In the Wienberg (1985) model units $\mathrm{r}=0.699$.

We calculate the observed overdensity of the wake by using equation 11 . The brightnesses of nucleus A in the $B$ - and $R$-band are interpolated between the observed points of the average profiles. At the semi-major axis position corresponding to the center of the wake, 13.12 , the interpolated $B$-band surface brightness of nucleus $A$ is $22.48 \mathrm{mag} /$ square arcsecond. The $R$-band surface brightness at this point is $20.75 \mathrm{mag} /$ square arcsecond. The corresponding overdensities are 3.13 and 3.80. The average overdensity is therefore $\xi \sim 3.47$. The theoretical model of Weinberg (1985) gives $\xi \sim$ 1.47. Given the crudeness of our approximations, this should be considered good agreement.

However, one could argue that the wake could be an elliptical galaxy of the Abell 2199 cluster projected onto the central system. A certain number of such chance projections are expected (Tonry 1984), which is one of the motivating reasons for our photometric study. The key here is that the wake's axis seems to point towards the
center of nucleus B. To see how likely the possibility would be for a chance projection to occur in such a manner we conducted a Monte Carlo simulation. This may be tested using the fact that in order for a projected galaxy to point towards nucleus B , the position angle of the major axis of the projected galaxy must be the same as the position angle formed by the center of the galaxy and nucleus B.

Our Monte Carlo simulation assumed that a cluster elliptical was projected within 90 pixels ( $0 . .^{\prime} 70$ ) of the center of nucleus A. This is the approximate size of the region enclosing nuclei $A, B$ and $C$ on our images. $A$ random number generator was then used to give a group of 10000 galaxies random positions and position angles. Next, all simulated galaxies which lay in box of the same dimensions and position as our wake candiate were identified. The position angle between each such object and nucleus B was then calculated. All simulated galaxies which had a position angle within ten degrees of that formed by the center of the galaxy and nucleus $B$ were considered to be a match to our wake. It was found that the chances of such a galaxy being projected at the correct orientation was $\sim 0.1 \%$. This result argues in favor of the tidal wake candidate being physically associated with nucleus $B$, possibly a tidal wake formed by the passage of nucleus $B$ through the halo of nucleus $A$. Similar features have been noted in other multiple nucleus systems (Lauer 1988; Blake \& West 1996).

### 7.3 Dust Morphology and Mass Estimate.

### 7.3.1 The Dust Morphology of NGC 6166

We show a map of all the pixels which survived our dust selection criteria in Figure 24. The dust seems to lie in three main regions, which we have labeled Dust Blob 1, the

Table 11: Properties of Detected Dust Features

| Dust Feature | Mean Absorption | Total Mass $(M \odot)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dust Blob 1 | 0.013 | $2.1 \times 10^{3}$ |
| Sinusoidal Dust Blob | 0.02 | $2.2 \times 10^{4}$ |
| Dust Blob 3 | 0.085 | $6.8 \times 10^{4}$ |

Sinusoidal Dust Blob, and Dust Blob 3. Examination of the CFHT Archive images suggests that the Sinusoidal Dust Blob may extend further to the southwest, but this is uncertain. Dust Blob 1 is also easily evident in the CFHT Archive images. The Sinusoidal Dust Blob is the dust feature identified by Minkowski (1961) and Lauer (1986). Dust Blob 3 is the largest in size, and was first identified by Lauer (1986). One interesting aspect of this feature is that it seems to lie on the opposite side to nucleus C. This leads to the suspicion that it could be caused by non-elliptical isophotes or an algorithmn induced effect created by the SNUC fitting and the RENUC model reconstruction. We are able to rule out the latter, by examining the residuals left after we fit model images created in Section 3.4 using SNUC and then using RENUC to reconstruct the model galaxies. Subtracting the RENUC model from the original model image shows no such deep oversubtracted region. This leads to the conclusion that the deep oversubtracted region is real structure in NGC 6166.

If the deep absorption region to the north is caused by dust then its mean absorption should be similar to the mean absorption of the two other dust features and dust lanes in other galaxies. Table 11 gives the mean $B$-band absorption and masses for our three dust features.

It is clear that Dust Blob 3 is the most massive and has the deepest absorption of the three. Its absorption is four times higher than the other two features. This makes it difficult to understand why it is not visible on the images. If smaller, less deep patches of absorption are visible, then a large, deep patch should be readily apparent. The fact that the smaller features have less absorption can be understood perhaps by the fact that seeing will affect smaller, more narrow features more than a larger dust cloud. The absorption of the two smaller dust clouds may therefore be underestimated, as light from the galaxy is smeared into them. Thus their contrast with the galaxy light will be less than if they were larger and less affected by the seeing. However, this still does not explain why the larger dust feature is not seen. It may be because it is more central, where the light from the galaxy is brightest. The seeing smears the light of the galaxy there as well, and since the galaxy is brightest there, the large amount of light smeared around the center makes the dust feature less prominent. However, these arguements are speculation.

Comparing the absorption of our features to those of Gallagher \& Hunter (1981) for M31 and NGC 185 dust clouds, they find absorptions in the Johnson $B$ band ranging between 0.07 and 0.01 magnitudes for dust in M31 and 0.24 magnitudes for dust clouds in NGC 185. Hodge (1973) provides average absorption values in the Johnson V-band for dust clouds in the galaxy NGC 205 of between $0.10,0.11$ and 0.20 magnitudes for three dust clouds in NGC 205. Thus our absorption values are comparable to those of dust clouds in other early-type galaxies. However, once again, the absorption values may be underestimated because of the effects of seeing.

### 7.3.2 Results of the Dust Mass Calculations

We have computed the dust mass for NGC 6166 from optical absorption as described in Chapter 6. The dust mass may also be computed from data from the IRAS fluxes measured for NGC 6166. Welch (1996), using the procedure outlined in Fich \& Hodge (1991), and the IRAS fluxes of Knapp et al. (1989) obtains for the dust mass of NGC 6166:

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{D} \sim 7.8 \times 10^{6} M_{\odot} \times(D / 100)^{2} . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $M_{D}$ is the dust mass in solar masses, and $D$ is the distance in megaparsecs. For an assumed distance of 187 Mpc , we get a dust mass of $2.7 \times 10^{7} M_{\odot}$. Welch (1996) estimates this value to be uncertain by $50 \%$.

We find the total dust mass for NGC 6166 from optical absorption to be $\sim 7.0 \times$ $10^{5} M_{\odot}$. Van Dokkum \& Franx (1995), used a similar procedure based upon optical extinction in the $V$-band to compute a dust mass for NGC 6166. They estimate a dust mass for NGC 6166 of $\sim 1.2 \times 10^{4} M_{\odot}$, which is 70 times smaller than our value for the total dust mass. However, they measured only the dust from the Sinusoidal Dust Blob (Van Dokkum 1996). Since we also measure the large feature to the north of nucleus A, our estimate is much higher. However, our mass of the Sinusoidal Dust Blob of $2.2 \times 10^{4} M_{\odot}$ is in good agreement with the value of van Dokkum \& Franx (1995) for that feature. Van Dokkum (1996) indicates that agreement within a factor of two should be considered as excellent agreement between the two determinations.

It is better to compare dust masses obtained from IRAS measurements to masses obtained for other elliptical and spiral galaxies in the same manner. We compute


Figure 24: A map of all pixels surviving the dust selection process. The position of Nucleus A, B C and D are indicated. The shaded regions show the extensive dust regions near the center of nucleus $A$, which appear in three main regions. Dust Blob 1 is light grey, the Sinusoidal Dust Blob is white, and Dust Blob 3 is in dark shading. North is to the left and West is to the bottom. The field shown is $96^{\prime \prime} \times 89^{\prime \prime}$.
an average dust mass for spirals using the data of Devereux \& Young (1990), who used IRAS fluxes to compute the dust mass of 58 spirals. We find an average dust mass for their sample of $5.9 \times 10^{6} M_{\odot}$. Goudfrooij \& de Jong (1995) provide dust mass estimates for 56 elliptical galaxies based upon IRAS fluxes. The mean mass in this sample is $1.3 \times 10^{6} M_{\odot}$. Figure 25 shows histograms of the $\log$ of the dust mass for the ellipticals and spirals in each sample. It is apparent that NGC 6166 is a very dusty galaxy, and lies outside the range occupied by the ellipticals. We see that NGC 6166 has a very large amount of dust even when compared to spiral galaxies. We indicate the position of NGC 6166 on this diagram. It should be noted that neither van Dokkum \& Franx (1995) nor Goudfrooij \& de Jong (1995) find any relation between total magnitude of the ellipticals and the dust mass. Therefore our comparison of NGC 6166, a supergiant galaxy to fainter ellipticals is legitimate. The dust mass estimate from IRAS fluxes is high for an elliptical galaxy (van Dokkum 1996) and is more consistent with a spiral galaxy than an elliptical.

It is also interesting to address the question of why the mass from IRAS fluxes is in our case a factor of 10 higher than the mass obtained from optical extinction. Clearly, any identification of dust based upon examination of images on a display is prone to error and small dust blobs of low contrast will be missed. However, Goudfrooij \& de Jong (1995) have examined such effects and found that the optically determined dust masses are usually about 10 times less when compared to the IRAS estimates. They suggest that there is a diffuse component to the dust in the ellipticals, in addition to the discrete dust clouds. Our result for NGC 6166 may indicate that this discrete
component exists in NGC 6166.
Of primary interest in our study is the question of whether the dust seen in the center of NGC 6166 could have come from dust captured from nucleus C. Our dust mass estimates of $2.7 \times 10^{7} M_{\odot}$ from IRAS fluxes, and $\sim 7.0 \times 10^{5} M_{\odot}$ from optical absorption should be compared with the dust mass for spirals. As already discussed, we find an average dust mass for the spirals of $5.9 \times 10^{6} M_{\odot}$ from Devereux \& Young (1990). Therefore, since we get larger values for the dust mass than for a typical spiral, the data is consistent with some component of the dust being captured. The Sinusoidal Dust Blob alone for instance, which may represent material spiraling into the center of the central galaxy has a dust mass of $2.2 \times 10^{4} M_{\odot}$. This is much smaller than the mass of a spiral. So we find that most of the dust in NGC 6166 is probably from the central galaxy its self. Still, it is more dusty than average, so some amount of captured dust being present cannot be ruled out.


Figure 25: A histogram comparing the IRAS dust mass for spirals and ellipticals. The top plot shows the histogram of $\log$ (mass) for the data of Devereux \& Young (1990) for 57 spirals. The bottom plot shows the corresponding histogram for the sample of 56 elliptical galaxies from Goudfrooij \& de Jong (1995). The arrow on both plots indicates the position of NGC 6166 from our IRAS dust mass. There is large scatter in both histograms, but the spirals have higher average dust masses than the ellipticals. NGC 6166 must be considered a very dusty galaxy, and lies on the upper limits of both distributions.

## 8 Conclusions

### 8.1 Summary

The results from our photometry are consistent with the NGC 6166 system being composed of the large central cD galaxy, nucleus A , and two cluster galaxies passing through the center of the cluster. Nuclei B and C do not show significant ellipticity or position angle changes expected if these galaxies are being cannibalised. While there is marginal evidence for ellipticity changes in nucleus $C$, they are not at a significant level. In addition, nucleus C is bluer than nucleus B , by about 0.15 magnitudes, which confirms the previous observations of Lachiéze-Rey et al. (1985). The colours for both nucleus B and C are more similar to elliptical galaxies than spirals, although we cannot rule out the suggestion that nucleus $C$ is a stripped spiral.

The computed dust mass of the central galaxy is $\sim 7.0 \times 10^{5} M_{\odot}$ from optical absorption, and $2.7 \times 10^{7} M_{\odot}$ from IRAS fluxes. Our optical esimate is larger than previous estimates, which included only the Sinusoidal Dust Blob. Our mass for that feature, $2.2 \times 10^{4} M_{\odot}$ agrees well with the mass determined by van Dokkum \& Franx (1990) of $\sim 1.2 \times 10^{4} M_{\odot}$. We find that the dust mass from optical absorption is $40 \times$ smaller than that computed from IRAS fluxes, which supports the results of Goudfrooij and de Jong (1995) that there may be a diffuse component to the dust in elliptical galaxies.

The large absorption feature to the northwest of nucleus A which was first identified by Lauer (1986) would seem to be the deepest absorption feature, and it is difficult to understand why it is missed by visual inspection. Two smaller, less deep
absorption regions are seen. The dust mass of NGC 6166 very is high, in any event, and is more like that of a spiral galaxy. Our results do not rule out the scenario where nucleus C is a stripped spiral and nucleus A is accreting material from it. Therefore nucleus $C$ could be a cluster spiral which has been stripped of its disk during its passage through the cluster core. The colour of nucleus C is similar to a red Sb galaxy, but is most similar to that of the ellipticals.

Finally, nucleus B is likely creating a tidal wake behind it. The tidal feature indicated by Lauer (1986) is not likely to be a projected cluster galaxy, as our Monte Carlo simulation shows. Its colour is also consistent with it being formed from the halo of nucleus A. Our crude calculation of the overdensity of this feature based upon the models of Wienberg (1986) shows order of magnitude agreement with the values we calculate from our images.

The picture of the system is that of a group of three physically interacting galaxies. Nucleus $B$ seems to be producing a tidal wake behind it, and nucleus $C$ seems to be another cluster elliptical. The lack of internal structural changes in the smaller galaxies argues in favor of an interaction in its early stages, as suggested by Lauer (1986).

### 8.2 Future Work

In the future, a larger sample of multiple nucleus systems should be studied in detail to examine whether structural changes, if any, are present. It would be useful to examine in detail the effectiveness of the $D_{n}$ parameter to identify galaxies being cannibalised. Creating a sample of galaxies uncontaminated by possible stripped
systems and comparing multiple nucleus candidates to the uncontaminated sample would be extremely useful in this regard. This might help determine if multiple nucleus systems are systematically different from other ellipticals.

Finally, a systematic study of the correlation of dust in cD galaxies is warranted. NGC 6166 has a large dust mass when computed from IRAS measurements, more like spiral galaxy masses. It would very valuable to see if this is a common property of cD galaxies.
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## 9 Appendix A

## Journal of Observations

Table 12: Night 1 May 3/4 1984 Observations

| Image | UT | Exposure | Filter | Target |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 129xxx.fits | 04:30:12.3 | 60 | R | flat |
| 130xxx.fits | 04:30:12.3 | 60 | R | flat |
| 131xxx.fits | 04:30:12.3 | 90 | B | flat |
| 132xxx.fits | 04:30:12.3 | 90 | B | flat |
| 133xxx.fits | 04:30:12.3 | 0 | NA | Bias |
| 134xxx.fits | 04:30:12.3 | 0 | NA | Bias |
| 138xxx.fits | 07:08:38.6 | 30 | B | NGC4147 |
| 139xxx.fits | 07:19:12.3 | 90 | B | NGC4147 |
| 140xax.fits | 07:26:06.8 | 60 | R | NGC4147 |
| $144 \mathrm{xxcx} . \mathrm{fits}$ | 04:30:12.3 | 0 | NA | Bias |
| $145 \mathrm{xxx} . \mathrm{fits}$ | 04:30:12.3 | 0 | NA | Bias |
| 146xxx.fits | 04:30:12.3 | 0 | NA | Bias |
| 155xxx.fits | 11:15:33.8 | 60 | B | NGC4147 |
| 156xxx.fits | 11:19:30.1 | 60 | R | NGC4147 |
| 157xxx.fits | 04:30:12.3 | 60 | NA | Bias |
| 158xxx.fits | 04:30:12.3 | 60 | NA | Bias |
| 159xxx.fits | 12:07:29.8 | 60 | R | NGC6166 |
| 160xxx.fits | 12:35:11.9 | 60 | R | NGC6166 |
| 161xxx.fits | 12:40:20.9 | 900 | R | NGC6166 |
| 162xxx.fits | 13:01:34.8 | 900 | R | Sky 1 |
| 163xcx.fits | 13:19:02.4 | 1200 | B | Sky 1 |
| 164xxx.fits | 13:42:35.3 | 1200 | B | NGC6166 |
| 165xxx.fits | 04:30:12.3 | 60 | NA | Bias |
| 167xxx.fits | 14:18:59.4 | 120 | B | M92 |
| 168xxx.fits | 14:24:31.0 | 120 | R | M92 |
| 169xxx.fits | 14:28:37.6 | 60 | R | M92 |
| 170xxx.fits | 14:31:07.9 | 0 | NA | Bias |
| 171xxx.fits | 04:30:12.3 | 0 | NA | Bias |
| 172xax.fits | 04:30:12.3 | 0 | NA | Bias |
| 173xxx.fits | 04:30:12.3 | 0 | NA | Bias |

Table 8 May 3/4 1984 Observations (Continued)

| Image | UT | Exposure | Filter | Target |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 174xxx.fits | 04:30:12.3 | 0 | NA | Bias |
| 185xxx.fits | 04:30:12.3 | 0 | NA | Bias |
| 186xxx.fits | 04:30:12.3 | 0 | NA | Bias |
| 187xxx.fits | 04:30:12.3 | 0 | NA | Bias |
| 188xxx.fits | 04:30:12.3 | 0 | NA | Bias |
| 189xxx.fits | 04:30:12.3 | 1 | B | flat |
| 191xxx.fits | 04:30:12.3 | 90 | B | flat |
| 192xxx.fits | 04:30:12.3 | 90 | B | flat |
| 193xxx.fits | 04:30:12.3 | 90 | B | flat |
| 194xxcx.fits | 04:30:12.3 | 90 | B | flat |
| 195xxx.fits | 04:30:12.3 | 60 | R | flat |
| 196xxx.fits | 04:30:12.3 | 60 | R | flat |
| 197xxx.fits | 04:30:12.3 | 60 | R | flat |
| 198xxx.fits | 04:30:12.3 | 60 | R | flat |
| 199xax.fits | 04:30:12.3 | 900 | NA | Dark |
| 200xxx.fits | 04:30:12.3 | 900 | NA | Dark |
| 201xxx.fits | 04:30:12.3 | 900 | NA | Dark |
| 202xxx.fits | 04:30:12.3 | 900 | NA | Dark |
| 203xxx.fits | 04:30:12.3 | 900 | NA | Dark |
| 204xxx.fits | 04:30:12.3 | 900 | NA | Dark |
| 205xxx.fits | 04:30:12.3 | 900 | NA | Dark |
| 206xxx.fits | 04:30:12.3 | 900 | NA | Dark |
| 223xxx.fits | 04:30:12.3 | 0 | NA | Bias |
| 224xxx.fits | 04:30:12.3 | 0 | NA | Bias |
| 225 xxx .fits | 04:30:12.3 | 0 | NA | Bias |
| 226xxx.fits | 04:30:12.3 | 0 | NA | Bias |
| 227xxx.fits | 04:30:12.3 | 900 | NA | Dark |
| 228xxx.fits | 04:30:12.3 | 60 | R | Flat |
| 229xxx.fits | 04:30:12.3 | 60 | R | Flat |
| 230xxx.fits | 04:30:12.3 | 60 | R | Flat |
| 231xxx.fits | 04:30:12.3 | 90 | B | Flat |
| 232xxx.fits | 04:30:12.3 | 90 | B | Flat |
| 233xxx.fits | 04:30:12.3 | 90 | B | Flat |
| 234xxx.fits | 04:30:12.3 | 10 | B | Flat |

Table 13: Night 2, May 4/5 1984

| Image | UT | Exposure | Filter | Target |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 235xxx.fits | 04:30:12.3 | 60 | B | Flat |
| 237xxx.fits | 06:34:10.8 | 60 | B | NGC4147 |
| 238xxx.fits | 06:41:35.6 | 120 | B | NGC4147 |
| 239xxx.fits | 06:46:45.4 | 120 | R | NGC4147 |
| 246xxx.fits | 04:30:12.3 | 0 | NA | Bias |
| 247xxx.fits | 04:30:12.3 | 0 | NA | Bias |
| 248xxx.fits | 08:36:21.0 | 120 | B | NGC4147 |
| 249xxx.fits | 08:41:02.1 | 120 | R | NGC4147 |
| 250xxx.fits | 04:30:12.3 | 900 | B | Dark |
| 253xxx.fits | 09:21:41.6 | 60 | R | M92 |
| 254xxx.fits | 09:28:03.6 | 60 | B | M92 |
| 255xxx.fits | 09:34:04.8 | 1200 | B | NGC6166 |
| 256xxx.fits | 10:01:24.8 | 120 | B | NGC6166 |
| 257xxx.fits | 10:04:57.2 | 1200 | B | NGC6166 |
| 258xxx.fits | 10:27:35.0 | 1200 | B | Sky1 |
| 259xxx.fits | 10:49:00.2 | 900 | R | Skyl |
| 260xxx.fits | 11:07:20.5 | 900 | R | NGC6166 |
| 261xxx.fits | 04:30:12.3 | 0 | NA | Bias |
| 262xxx.fits | 11:29:19.6 | 120 | R | NGC4147 |
| 263xxx.fits | 11:33:40.9 | 120 | B | NGC4147 |
| 264xxx.fits | 04:30:12.3 | 0 | NA | Bias |
| 265xxx.fits | 11:48:17.6 | 120 | B | NGC6166 |
| 266xxx.fits | 11:54:42.5 | 1200 | B | Halo |
| 267xxx.fits | 12:18:31.8 | 1443 | B | Sky2 |
| 268xxx.fits | 12:46:33.0 | 900 | R | Halo |
| 269xcx.fits | 13:05:09.9 | 900 | R | Sky2 |
| 270xxx.fits | 04:30:12.3 | 0 | NA | Bias |
| 271xxx.fits | 13:26:26.0 | 60 | R | M92 |
| 272xxx.fits | 13:30:02.1 | 60 | R | M92 |
| 273xxx.fits | 13:32:42.5 | 60 | B | M92 |
| 274xxx.fits | 04:30:12.3 | 0 | NA | Bias |
| 275xxx.fits | 13:38:51.3 | 120 | B | NGC6166 |
| 276xxx.fits | 13:44:07.0 | 1200 | B | Halo |

Table 9 May 4/5 1984 observations (continued)

| Image | UT | Exposure | Filter | Target |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 277xxx.fits | $14: 40: 10.2$ | 1200 | B | Sky2 |
| 278xxx.fits | $14: 30: 40.2$ | 60 | B | M92 |
| 279xxx.fits | $14: 33: 23.9$ | 60 | B | M92 |
| 280xxx.fits | $14: 38: 12.9$ | 30 | R | M92 |
| 281xxx.fits | $14: 40: 18.6$ | 30 | B | M92 |
| 282xxx.fits | $04: 30: 12.3$ | 0 | NA | Bias |
| 283xxx.fits | $04: 30: 12.3$ | 0 | NA | Bias |
| 284xxx.fits | $04: 30: 12.3$ | 90 | B | Flat |
| 285xxx.fits | $04: 30: 12.3$ | 90 | B | Flat |
| 286xxx.fits | $04: 30: 12.3$ | 90 | R | Flat |
| 287xxx.fits | $04: 30: 12.3$ | 60 | R | Flat |
| 288xxx.fits | $04: 30: 12.3$ | 60 | R | Flat |
| 289xxx.fits | $04: 30: 12.3$ | 60 | R | Flat |
| 290xxx.fits | $04: 30: 12.3$ | 900 | B | Dark |
| 291xxx.fits | $04: 30: 12.3$ | 900 | B | Dark |
| 292xxx.fits | $04: 30: 12.3$ | 900 | B | Dark |
| 293xxx.fits | $04: 30: 12.3$ | 900 | B | Dark |

Table 14: Detailed information on CFHT Archive data

| Image | Filter | Exposure <br> (seconds) | Date <br> DD/MM/YY | Target |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| 112544 b |  | 0.0 | $16 / 04 / 91$ | Bias |
| 112545 b |  | 0.0 | $16 / 04 / 91$ | Bias |
| 112546 b |  | 0.0 | $16 / 04 / 91$ | Bias |
| 112547 b |  | 0.0 | $16 / 04 / 91$ | Bias |
| 112548 b |  | 0.0 | $16 / 04 / 91$ | Bias |
| 112549 b |  | 0.0 | $16 / 04 / 91$ | Bias |
| 112550 b |  | 0.0 | $16 / 04 / 91$ | Bias |
| 112551 b |  | 0.0 | $16 / 04 / 91$ | Bias |
| 112552 b |  | 0.0 | $16 / 04 / 91$ | Bias |
| 112553 b |  | 0.0 | $16 / 04 / 91$ | Bias |
| 112554 b |  | 0.0 | $16 / 04 / 91$ | Bias |
| 112592 o | V | 30.0 | $16 / 04 / 91$ | Focus |
| 112608 o | V | 300.0 | $16 / 04 / 91$ | NGC6166 |
| 112610 o | V | 60.0 | $16 / 04 / 91$ | NGC6166 |
| 112611 o | V | 300.0 | $16 / 04 / 91$ | Sky Flat |
| 112612 o | V | 120.0 | $16 / 04 / 91$ | Sky Flat |
| 112611 o | V | 300.0 | $16 / 04 / 91$ | Sky Flat |
| 112619 b |  | 0.0 | $16 / 04 / 91$ | Bias |
| 112620 b |  | 0.0 | $16 / 04 / 91$ | Bias |
| 112621 b |  | 0.0 | $16 / 04 / 91$ | Bias |
| 112622 b |  | 0.0 | $16 / 04 / 91$ | Bias |
| 112623 b |  | 0.0 | $16 / 04 / 91$ | Bias |
| 116430 Bb |  | 0.0 | $06 / 06 / 91$ | Median 20 Bias |
| 116442 F | R | 7.0 | $06 / 07 / 91$ | Median 10 Dome Flats |
| 116448 F | B | 10.0 | $06 / 07 / 91$ | Median 10 Dome Flats |
| 116459 F | B | 10.0 | $06 / 07 / 91$ | Median 10 Dome Flats |
| 116612 o | R | 30.0 | $06 / 07 / 91$ | NGC6166 |
| 116613 o | R | 600.0 | $06 / 07 / 91$ | NGC6166 |
| 116614 o | B | 1200.0 | $06 / 07 / 91$ | NGC6166 |
| 116706 Bo |  | 0.0 | $06 / 07 / 91$ | Median 20 Bias |
| 116727 Bo |  | 0.0 | $06 / 07 / 91$ | Median 20 Bias |

## 10 Appendix B

## Standard Star Data

Table 15 and 16 present the magnitudes of the standard stars in the Cousins photometric system.

Table 15: M92 Standard Star Data

| Star | Lable 15: Mar Standard Star Data |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| M92-1 | $13.078 \pm 0.012$ | $0.612 \pm 0.016$ | $0.257 \pm 0.060$ |
| M92-2 | $14.579 \pm 0.014$ | $0.800 \pm 0.021$ | $0.485 \pm 0.009$ |
| M92-3 | $14.694 \pm 0.019$ | $0.788 \pm 0.033$ | $0.485 \pm 0.017$ |
| M99-4 | $14.618 \pm 0.014$ | $0.783 \pm 0.019$ | $0.486 \pm 0.014$ |
| M92-5 | $16.052 \pm 0.009$ | $0.534 \pm 0.016$ | $0.313 \pm 0.008$ |
| M92-6 | $16.331 \pm 0.021$ | $-0.112 \pm 0.018$ | $-0.038 \pm 0.010$ |
| M92-7 | $16.437 \pm 0.020$ | $-0.112 \pm 0.016$ | $-0.041 \pm 0.010$ |
| M92-8 | $15.932 \pm 0.021$ | $0.574 \pm 0.030$ | $0.351 \pm 0.015$ |
| M92-9 | $16.986 \pm 0.010$ | $1.146 \pm 0.012$ | $0.722 \pm 0.008$ |
| M92-10 | $14.036 \pm 0.010$ | $0.798 \pm 0.026$ | $0.516 \pm 0.014$ |
| M92-11 | $15.146 \pm 0.023$ | $1.025 \pm 0.018$ | $0.630 \pm 0.020$ |
| M92-12 | $15.982 \pm 0.027$ | $0.637 \pm 0.072$ | $0.433 \pm 0.013$ |
| M92-13 | $15.078 \pm 0.018$ | $0.589 \pm 0.022$ | $0.360 \pm 0.015$ |
| M92-14 | $14.311 \pm 0.011$ | $0.590 \pm 0.030$ | $0.372 \pm 0.006$ |
| M92-15 | $17.153 \pm 0.009$ | $0.629 \pm 0.029$ | $0.396 \pm 0.019$ |
| M92-16 | $15.264 \pm 0.018$ | $0.107 \pm 0.034$ | $0.058 \pm 0.017$ |
| M92-17 | $14.475 \pm 0.000$ | $0.567 \pm 0.028$ | $0.403 \pm 0.016$ |
| M92-18 | $17.966 \pm 0.012$ | $0.488 \pm 0.027$ | $0.320 \pm 0.005$ |
| M92-19 | $18.211 \pm 0.017$ | $0.415 \pm 0.018$ | $0.286 \pm 0.018$ |
| M92-20 | $18.047 \pm 0.041$ | $0.878 \pm 0.029$ | $0.494 \pm 0.047$ |
| M92-21 | $17.925 \pm 0.009$ | $0.497 \pm 0.007$ | $0.340 \pm 0.009$ |
| M92-22 | $17.542 \pm 0.015$ | $0.550 \pm 0.017$ | $0.357 \pm 0.017$ |
| M92-23 | $16.791 \pm 0.023$ | $0.636 \pm 0.015$ | $0.403 \pm 0.006$ |

Table 16: NGC 4147 Standard Star Data

| Star | V | I | $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{V}$ | $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{I}$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 6 | $16.783 \pm 0.030$ | $15.811 \pm 0.016$ | $0.810 \pm 0.000$ | $0.490 \pm 0.015$ |
| 9 | $18.263 \pm 0.022$ | $17.407 \pm 0.093$ | $0.706 \pm 0.037$ | $0.426 \pm 0.030$ |
| 11 | $16.795 \pm 0.017$ | $15.824 \pm 0.049$ | $0.783 \pm 0.012$ | $0.489 \pm 0.019$ |
| 12 | $17.708 \pm 0.077$ | $16.290 \pm 0.022$ | $1.055 \pm 0.035$ | $0.650 \pm 0.040$ |
| 14 | $17.424 \pm 0.024$ | $17.457 \pm 0.016$ | $0.000 \pm 0.000$ | $0.068 \pm 0.014$ |
| 15 | $16.651 \pm 0.015$ | $16.386 \pm 0.018$ | $0.163 \pm 0.020$ | $0.187 \pm 0.029$ |

## 11 Appendix C

## The Procedure for Sky Determination

As seen in Section 4.1, the sky brightness is not constant. This indicates that the sky value on the NGC 6166 images must be estimated from the images themselves. Here a problem arises. The envelope of NGC 6166 is large, (Oemler 1976) and contributes to the brightness values in every pixel of the image. The images contain no true sky region.

We adopt as the sky region the last 50 rows of each image. This region was selected because it is the region furthest from NGC 6166. In each image this region was cleaned of hot pixels and cosmic rays. Cosmetic defects, background stars and galaxies were then masked out. The mean of the counts in the sky region was then computed. To check that the mean was a good statistic for the sky region value, histograms were plotted of the values inside the region. In all cases the peak of the histogram was indistiguishable from the mean. To determine the contribution of the galaxy to any pixel, we need knowledge of how the brightness of the galaxy changes with distance from the center. The geometry is shown in Figure 30.

We assume that the isophotes of the main galaxy have constant position angle (PA) and ellipticity. The true brightness of the cD at semi-major axis position $A_{1}$ is $B_{1}$. The cD brightness is $B_{2}$ at semi-major axis position $A_{2}$, which lies on the isophote containing the center of the sky region. The center of the sky region is at angle $\theta$ from the major axis and distance $r$ from the center of the galaxy. For elliptical isophotes we have in polar coordinates:


Figure 26: The geometry used for the determination of the galaxy contribution to the sky value. The position $(r, \theta)$ of the sky region with respect to the center is known, giving the semi-major axis distance $A_{2}$ using equation 9 . Knowing the galaxy surface brightness at $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$, from Oemler (1978), and the instrumental brightness at both points, the contribution of the halo to the counts at the center of the sky region may be found. $B_{1}$, and $B_{2}$ are the sky infensities at $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ respectively in ADU.

$$
\begin{equation*}
r^{2}=\frac{a^{2} b^{2}}{a^{2} \sin \theta^{2}+b^{2} \cos \theta} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $a$ is the major axis length and $b$ is the minor axis length. The major to minor axis ratio is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon=b / a \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Solving for $b$ and substituting into equation 8 we get :

$$
\begin{equation*}
r^{2}=\frac{a^{2} \epsilon^{2}}{a^{2}\left(\sin \theta^{2}+\epsilon^{2} \cos \theta\right)} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Solving for the semi-major axis position $a$, corresponding to the position ( $\mathrm{r}, \theta$ ):

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=\left(\frac{r}{\epsilon}\right) \sqrt{\sin \theta+\epsilon^{2} \cos \theta} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

The position along the major axis of the point corresponding to the center of the sky region may then be determined from Equation 11. Table 12 gives the radii, $\theta$ and semimajor axis position, in kiloparsecs, corresponding to the center of the sky region. Since we wished to used the surface brightness data of Oemler (1976) to estimate the galaxy contributions, the semimajor axis values are converted to kiloparsecs, assuming a distance of 187 Mpc for NGC 6166 (Oemler 1976). To use Oemler's plot, it must be noted that he defines $r=\sqrt{a b}$, where $a$ and $b$ are the minor and major axis lengths. I give this the symbol $R_{o}$ in Table 12.

The geometry of Figure 30 indicates the brightness of the galaxy at point $A_{1}$, close to the nucleus, is given by $B_{1}$. At $A_{2}$, the corresponding brightness is $B_{2}$. From

Table 17: Sky Region Semi-Major Axis Positions

| Image | Radius | $\theta$ | $A_{2}$ | $R_{o}$ |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | (Kpc) | (Degrees) | (Kpc) | $(\mathrm{Kpc})$ |
| 161 | 153.795 | 55.22 | 569.461 | 284.730 |
| 164 | 151.901 | 53.62 | 557.597 | 278.799 |
| 255 | 129.941 | 70.55 | 510.255 | 255.128 |
| 257 | 135.922 | 55.86 | 504.999 | 252.500 |
| 260 | 141.277 | 50.85 | 510.166 | 255.083 |

Oemler's data we know the surface brightness in magnitudes per unit area, $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ at these points then:

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{1}-M_{2}=2.5 \log \left(B_{2} / B_{1}\right) \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

The ratio of the galaxy brightness at $A_{2}$ to that of $A_{1}$ is $C$ and is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
C=B_{2} / B_{1}=10^{0.4\left(M_{1}-M_{2}\right)} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

The total brightness in ADU at $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$, are $B_{t 1}$ and $B_{t 2}$ and are given by:

$$
\begin{align*}
& B_{t 1}=B_{1}+S  \tag{25}\\
& B_{t 2}=B_{2}+S \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

Where $S$ represents the true sky brightness for the image. From equation 25 and solving for $B_{1}$ using equation 24 we get:

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{1}=\frac{B_{t 1}-B_{t 2}}{1-C} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Solving equation 25 for the sky:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=B_{t 1}-B_{1} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting our expression for $B_{1}$ from equation 27 into equation 28 we get an expression for the sky in terms of known quantities:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=B_{t 1}-\frac{B_{t 1}-B_{t 2}}{1-C} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

$B_{t 1}$ and $B_{t 2}$ are determined directly from the NGC 6166 frames in ADU. If $B-V^{-}$ and $B-R$ values are assumed constant the ratio of the brightness at $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ is the same in the $V, B$ and $R$ passbands. This allows the $V$-band surface photometry of Oemler (1976) to be used to compute $C$. With $C, B_{t 1}$ and $B_{t 2}$ known, the sky brightness is computed from equation 29.

To determine the correct major-to-minor axis ratio, and position angle, SNUC multiple nucleus fits were performed on the images (see Section 3.3). These fits gave the run of brightness in ADU as a function of semi-major axis distance, the position angles and ellipticities. A position angle of $30^{\circ}$, and an ellipticity of 0.20 were adopted, giving $\epsilon=0.80$.

The sky values for the frames are listed in Table 18. The first column gives the image number, the second column gives the semi-major axis distance in kiloparsecs, the third column gives the surface brightness in magnitudes per square arcsecond

Table 18: Adopted Sky Values

| Image | $R_{0}$ | $M_{1}$ | $M_{2}$ | $C$ | $B_{t 1}$ | $B_{t 2}$ | $S k y$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $(\mathrm{kpc})$ |  |  |  | $(\mathrm{ADU})$ | $(\mathrm{ADU})$ | $(\mathrm{ADU})$ |
| $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | $(5)$ | $(6)$ | $(7)$ | $(8)$ |
| 161 | 284.730 | 19.79 | 26.95 | $1.36 \mathrm{E}-3$ | 3575 | 710.26 | 706.4 |
| 164 | 278.799 | 19.79 | 26.84 | $1.51 \mathrm{E}-3$ | 1725 | 252.17 | 249.9 |
| 255 | 255.128 | 19.79 | 26.80 | $1.57 \mathrm{E}-3$ | 1562 | 236.56 | 234.5 |
| 257 | 252.500 | 19.79 | 26.74 | $1.66 \mathrm{E}-3$ | 1543 | 216.10 | 213.9 |
| 260 | 255.083 | 19.79 | 26.80 | $1.57 \mathrm{E}-3$ | 3396 | 516.55 | 512.6 |

at the inner isophote. This is adopted as the innermost point on Oemler's profile, which is at $3^{\prime \prime} 6$. Column four gives the surface brightness in magnitudes per square arcsecond from Oemler at the sky region, column five gives $C$, column six gives $B_{t 1}$, column seven gives $B_{t 2}$, and column eight gives the adopted sky value. The adopted sky values were subtracted from the respective NGC 6166 images, which were then ready for final SNUC profile fitting.
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