



THE STUDENT GUIDE



SAINT MARY'S UNIVERSITY, HALIFAX, NOVA SCOTIA, CANADA

Published by the Students' Association of Saint Mary's

WELCOME TO S.M.U.!!

FROM THE PRESIDENT OF SMU:

August 8, 1973

To All Students

I wish to take this opportunity, on behalf of the University community, to extend a hearty welcome to new and returning students. The beginning of a new year can be an exciting time, a time when new acquaintances are made and old acquaintances are renewed. It is also a crucial time because the decisions made, study schedules established, etc. can determine your success or failure for the remainder of the year.

I hope all students will avail themselves of the information and assistance available to them during registration period and throughout the year and that you will have an enjoyable and successful year at Saint Mary's University.

D. O. Carrigan
D. Owen Carrigan
President

ORIENTATION '73 EVENTS

SCHEDULE

Wednesday, September 12

Upperclassmen Reunion Bash in SUB, 9:00 p.m.-12:00 p.m. Admission \$1.50

Thursday, September 13

1. Brewery Tour at Oland's Brewery in afternoon (Free) — tentative.
2. Coffee House in Residence Cafeteria 8:00 p.m.-10:30 p.m. Admission .50. Speaker: Claire Cohenne on Vietnam. Free coffee and donuts.
3. Movies in Theatres A & B Titles: Woodstock & Thumbtripping — tentative, 9:00 p.m.-12:00 p.m. Admission \$1.00.

Friday, September 14

1. Coffee House in Residence Cafeteria. 8:00 p.m.-10:30 p.m. Admission .50. Band: Folk Group Entertainment.
2. Bash in Gymnasium: Major Hoople and his Boarding House. 9:00 p.m.-12:00 p.m. Admission \$2.50.

Saturday, September 15

1. Slave Auction — tentative
2. Football Game in stadium 1:30 p.m.-5:00 p.m.
3. Supper: TBA (Corn Boil) 5:00-6:00 p.m.
4. Faculty-Student Smoker: SUB 1st floor total admission Free Band: Recorded Music. 7:00 p.m.-9:30 p.m.
5. Bash in Gym Admission \$2.00 Band: Copper Penny 9:00 p.m.-12:30 a.m.

Sunday, September 16

1. Hangover breakfast 9:00 a.m.-11:00 a.m.
2. Scavenger Hunt: 2:00 p.m.-4:00 p.m. to be held within SMU area.
3. Outdoor Folk Revival 4:00 p.m.-5:00 p.m. in football field
4. Saint Mary's Annual Orientation Games 1:30 p.m.-5:00 p.m.: a) Grease Pig Contest; b) Paper Airplane Contest; c) Pie Eating Contest; d) Apple Bobbing Contest; e) Greased Pole Contest; f) Plan X.
5. Coffee House in Residence Cafeteria Admission Free 9:00 p.m.-11:30 p.m.
6. Concert with Peter Law in Gymnasium — 9:00 p.m. — 12:00 — Admission \$2.00

Monday, September 17

1. General Student Body Meeting in Gymnasium to meet with Administration and Residence Society personages. 8:00 a.m.-9:00 p.m.
2. "Pieces of Eight" Travelling Theatre Admission Free 9:30-11:00 p.m.

REGISTRATION 15
EVALUATION FOR REL238.0 TAUGHT BY J. MURPHY
80.0 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTION

KEL

CHAIRMAN'S MESSAGE

WELCOME TO SAINT MARY'S UNIVERSITY

On behalf of the 1973 Orientation Committee I take great pleasure in welcoming all of the new and returning students to Saint Mary's University. Our committee has been working during the entire summer to provide you with a fun filled orientation and if you have examined the program, you will see that our efforts were not in vain. Regretfully, not everything is known by press time as some of the movies and folk groups are still not finalized. However, we hope that you will participate in ALL of the Orientation activities and that you will really enjoy yourself. There is plenty of fun for everyone of all ages, shapes and mentalities. So have fun.

Kenneth T. Langille
Chairman
Orientation '73

INSIDE TO STUDENT GUIDE:

Page	
2	Students Council
3	Campus Organizations
4	University Personages
5	Who and Who not to Know!
6	The Buildings Which are Located at St. Mary's!!
7	Places found around St. Mary's Campus
8	Counselling Appeals
9	Schedule of Events
10	Registration
11	Misc. Info.
12	Featured at orientation
13	Course Evaluations '73
14	
15-32	

COMMITTEE

Ken L. Langille — Business Manager
William A. Manley — Entertainment
Chris Garner — Residence Events
Camille Cameron — Games
John R. MacNeil — Photography
Richard Daigle — Counselling
Debbie Fougere — Films and Information
Saga Food Service — Outdoor Supper and Coffee Houses
And other assorted people who have contributed their valuable time to make this Orientation a success.

ORIENTATION '73 IS FOR YOU PARTICIPATE MAKE IT SUCCEED

THE STUDENT'S REPRESENTATIVE COUNCIL (SRC)




Malcolm Thompson
President



Ron Pate
Vice-President



Gerrard P. LaPierre
Secretary



Richard Daigle
Intern Affairs



John Ford
Arts



Ken T. Langille
Graduate Representative



Ken L. Langille
Science Representative



Donald N. Burns
Engineering Representative



Michael Watson
Commerce Representative



Margot Muise
Arts Representative



Bruce A. Garvin
Residence Representative



Brenda Fournier
Day Hop Representative

CAMPUS ORGANIZATIONS

STUDENTS REPRESENTATIVE COUNCIL

The Student Council is the representative voice of the students on Campus. It comprises of 14 people which include an executive, internal, external, cultural affairs plus your faculty representatives.

They usually represent the students on committees, make appointments to administrative positions, handle all of the Association's vast wealth, etc. The Council meets at least twice a month and meeting times are placed on bulletin boards in the SUB. All students are permitted to attend these meetings which are held in the fourth floor Board Room SUB.

Elections for the SRC usually are held in February and all students are eligible to run for office.

THE JOURNAL

The Journal is the Student Newspaper here at Saint Mary's. It usually appears on a weekly basis and is representative of the students' views on specific happenings around Saint Mary's. This year's Journal Editor is Paulene Vaughan, who will entertain any ideas, criticisms, etc., and will endeavour to uncover the roots and factual information concerning any problem on Campus.

The Journal is usually always understaffed and they could use YOU as a regular staffer quite easily. People involved with the Journal usually gain experience in the field of writing, editing, layout, photography and a little administration as well. All in all, the Journal offers a lot to the incoming student as you meet a lot of people through the Journal assignments. Make it a point to visit the Journal when you come to SMU. Their office is on the fifth floor of the student Union Building. Just ask anyone for the location, EVERYONE knows where it is ...

THE SANTAMARIAN

The Santamarian is the official Yearbook of Saint Mary's University. Its offices are also located on the fifth floor of the Student Union Building with offices overlooking the stadium as well as all Football games. The Yearbook, one of the largest annual ventures, is put out by the Students' Association and is very representative for all events which annually occur on Saint Mary's Campus.

The Editor is Kenneth T. Langille and the Business Manager is Kenneth L. Langille, both of which hold the Canadian Record for longevity in office as this is the third book they are producing. Like most campus organizations, the Yearbook is understaffed and appreciate any assistance from the Student Body. The fields of advertising, layout, design, writing, editing and off course photography are all tapped by the Santamarian staff.

Drop up any time and visit the Santamarian. The 1973 Santamarian will be available on September 13, 1973 ... hopefully ... and is free to all full-time students and part-time students can acquire copies at \$6.00 each. The 1973 Santamarian is 256 pages in size and the largest book that SMU has ever produced. Please remember that first come, first served as only limited quantities exist.

RADIO CSMU

Radio CSMU is the Students Radio Station also located on the fifth floor of the student Centre. Radio CSMU has undergone very heavy renovations during this last year and has now emerged as a very well organized Radio Station on Saint Mary's Campus.

The station normally signs on about 10:00 a.m. although it may come on earlier if the D.J.'s arrive earlier. It operates entirely from student work and is sponsored by the Students Association in its endeavours.

It too needs all the help it can possibly get from the student body and you will gain experience in all facets of radio station experience.

CANADA MANPOWER

NEED FOR AN ON-CAMPUS MANPOWER CENTRE

The most significant and most inescapable fact facing both undergraduates and graduates of post-secondary institutions is that they must now truly compete for their jobs. The pressing need for hundreds of uncommitted graduates who possess a B.A. or a B.Sc. and who have no definite occupational goal is to talk to someone who can provide useful employment information, sound educational and occupational counselling and up-to-date labour market information. In essence, to help them to market their skills and latent aptitudes. This is Canada Manpower's concern in association with universities.

Specialized demand exists for those going into the professions, such as: medicine, dentistry, pharmacy, law, accountancy, commerce, economics, computer science, geology, biology, social sciences, pollution environmental science, and a few more such as community planners, and technicians and selected occupations in the developing health manpower field. But even these occupations are now increasingly subject to budgetary limitations and economic contingencies. Times are definitely changing and the labour market trend on the Atlantic campus and across the Canadian campus has switched on one which reflects more students and less demand. The boom years of the sixties, when students were in popular demand and our on-campus offices served largely as meeting centres for swarming recruiters are now becoming centres of concern and require a new direction oriented toward Counselling Resource Centres.

Students, universities, Institutes of Technology, employers, the general public collectively will require that we take a positive stand and set our directional tone and long range course for the Seventies and Eighties. In final analysis, what matters is that the students get the required service, be it through an agency of the Federal Government, through the university itself, by means of the private sector or through a combination of factors.

HISTORY OF ON-CAMPUS MANPOWER CENTRES

In the Atlantic Region, it traces its roots from the pressing need to assist Second World War Veterans who flocked in the thousands to universities following cessation of hostilities in 1945. Initially, a part-time registration was provided and efforts were made to get students short-term employment at Christmas and during the summer as well as helping students make contacts with employers. Many (veteran) students were coping with family and economic problems as well. Veteran organizations and universities were pleased with these employment efforts and resultant new practice in the job placement field.

TRENDS IN COUNSELLING

In a shrinking labour market where new graduates of general courses are no longer being considered by employers, there is a need to rethink our role on the campus. More emphasis must be placed on preparing students to graduate with marketable skills — this means occupational counselling at its most productive — "the process of assisting an inexperienced applicant who has not made a satisfactory vocational choice to review and evaluate his present and potential qualifications and relate them to occupational requirements so that he may select an appropriate occupation and develop a realistic vocational plan." This is a process which cannot happen in a final year of graduation. It must essentially begin at the Freshman level and carry on through to graduation. It consists in providing realistic, up-to-date, easily accessible occupational and labour market information, it could mean students working in groups to arrive at an understanding of what they can hope to contribute to and get back from the labour market. It may mean UCMC personnel going into the classrooms regularly and interpreting to the various faculties the realities of the world of work. If we project ourselves into the not too distant future it is not inconceivable to consider that

orientation to the labour market could eventually become an integrated component of a student's curriculum of studies. Several suggestions come to mind, for example, manpower personnel may in seminar fashion be penetrating the classroom atmosphere on the campus. In any event it would seem that dynamism is what we will look for and it will be incumbent on UCMC Managers to aggressively address themselves to the objective of providing all of the manpower services possible to university students.

AIMS AND OBJECTIVES

Through the dissemination of labour market information and by means of the counselling process and programs (available and applicable) we attempt to help the students come to decisions which will make the best use of their knowledge (and skills) capabilities and needs.

The staffing needs of our employer clients are met by referring to them, either on or off campus, candidates which most closely meet the requirements specified. In addition, employer needs for information concerning personnel supply and other related data, is met using our own personal knowledge, as well as departmental and extra-departmental resources.

Not as an after thought, but separate from our permanent placement role, we attempt to meet the needs of Undergraduates for part-time and summer employment. Although much is being done in co-operation with the regular CMC offices, the students and administration of the University look to the U-CMC's on their campus as being responsible for this necessary service.

SERVICE TO THE STUDENT

Ideally, I hope that our Manpower Centre becomes such a part of the students' lives that they turn to it automatically for guidance on problems of employment and career planning.

Since many students pay their way through university, summer employment and part-time jobs are very important to them. In this respect, the U-CMC must help them by staying continuously in touch with employers and jobs in co-operation with the local Canada Manpower Centre.

SERVICE TO THE INSTITUTION

Though it should be evident that the major emphasis in any successful placement organization is in the student-employer relationship, the third area of responsibility, that to the university cannot be overlooked. The U-CMC can serve its institution most effectively through the breadth of information and knowledge that it gains as a part of its effective natural operation. Professors and members of the administration staff can find the answers to the questions of academic values and curricular needs as they relate to employers' needs and attitudes.

A constant flow of information to and from the faculty and the employers is encouraged by the U-CMC Manager. Professors are most likely to know the various students' qualifications or have detailed acquaintance with degree and subject requirements that will help employers to judge candidates fairly. On the other hand, familiarity with the employer's opportunities, products, facilities and business practices can make for a more realistic approach to mutual problems when university and employer representatives meet.

In conclusion, I would state our objective in the following terms: "To facilitate the matching of the employment needs, of the students of this university, to the personnel requirements of business firms and government agencies, in ways which improve the productivity of the economy and help the individual to develop to his or her potential."

Surely anything else we do, is a means to that end.

UNIVERSITY PERSONAGES




Dr. D. O. Carrigan
President



Father J. J. Hennessey S.J.
Residence Counsellor



Elizabeth Chard
Registrar




Left to right: Ken P. Bendelier (Dean of Students), Leo MacDonald (Director of Admissions), Robert Hayes (Director of Athletics).



Kenneth T. Langille
Chairman
ORIENTATION '73



Ken L. Langille
Business Manager
ORIENTATION '73



Edmund Morris
Vice-President
Finance & Development



Richard Ratcliffe
Dean of Residence



Father W. Stewart S.J.
Drama Club



Brian Heany
Basketball Coach




Al Keith
Football Coach



Ryans Fancy in Concert
Orientation '72




Registration in Progress — Usually held in the Multi-Purpose Room, New Academic Building (1972 Registration)



A typical sign at the 1972 Registration.


THE BUILDINGS WHICH ARE



The main Administration Building.




The Student Union Building




High Rise II and Huskie Stadium, New Academic Complex.

LOCATED AT SAINT MARY'S !!!



High Rise I, Low Rise and Huskie Stadium



The Library




The Chemistry-Biology Building



Rink & Arena

PLACES FOUND AROUND




Swimming Pool



The Reading Room
(Old Chapel)



Mini Mart



Lobby — New Academic Building (Ignatius Loyola Building)

SUPPORT


SAINT MARY'S CAMPUS




Information Desk — High Rise II (General Information for all residence facilities)



Art Gallery



The Games Room
(Third Floor — SUB)



Residence Cafeteria (Low Rise)



Library — Inside View

ORIENTATION '73

COUNSELLING

The counselling service offers guidance, advisement and counselling without charge, to any regularly enrolled student. Counsellors with professional training are available to assist students with matters of personal, social, educational or vocational concern.

Types of Service — Counselling on an individual basis; psychological, vocational, academic and aptitude testing as required; referral through the University Department of Health Services for medical advice, assistance, treatment where required. Liaison is maintained with the major educational and social agencies in the community. More emphasis is being placed, this year, on assistance to new students requiring academic and educational assistance. How to study courses and advisement on selection of courses in cooperation with academic departments will be provided.

Occupational information is also available and close contact is maintained with the Canada Manpower Centre on campus.

Location — The offices of the counselling staff are located on the fourth floor of the student Centre building. Office hours are from 8:45 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. Provision will be made for emergency calls beyond these hours.

Appointments can be made by phoning 422-7361, Local 181 or by visiting the office of the secretary in Room 413.

Staff — L. W. Smith, Head of Counselling Services, Room 410, Local 181.

Miss B. Hubley, Secretary
Room 413 — Local 221

APPEALS

Unknown to most Students, Saint Mary's University does have a system of appealing marks if you, the student feel that you were unfairly dealt with. The committee which examines your complaints is titled: The Academic Standing Committee with Prof. Ron Connell of the Business Department of Commerce as Chairman. The committee examines all complaints and has the power to either grant new marks or uphold the marks already granted.

The committee has student representatives appointed by Senate and these reps are, who else, but Kenneth T. and Kenneth L. Langille who we have met up with before. If you have a beef about unfair treatment, write our your complaint and take it to either Ron Connell or the Langilles and they will guarantee that something will be done. However, please keep in mind that you have to have a valid reason to appeal plus you have to have evidence to back up your story.

In order to bring an appeal to the Committee, the following procedure is usually adopted:

1. Go see the Professor that gave you the low mark and discuss the situation with him, if he does not give you any satisfaction then ...

2. Go see the Dean of the faculty (you usually should see the Departmental Chairman before this step as he or she may be able to rectify the situation earlier) if you do not have any satisfaction here then ...

3. See Ron Connell or Ken T. or Ken L. Langille and discuss it with them. They will bring it to the committee which will examine the situation. And give a ruling.

All students not previously registered at Saint Mary's University during the preceding academic year are required to present a certificate of medical fitness, prior to registration, to Student Health Service, Room 128 North Wing, Administration Building. You have already been sent the appropriate form but if you have misplaced it, please request another.

FINANCIAL INFORMATION

TUITON FEES

FULL-TIME STUDENTS

(These fees include all regular charges of the University for full-time students, defined as students registered for more than 2 courses).

University fee -----	\$637.00
Students Council fee -----	36.00
Total -----	\$673.00

A student taking a course over the normal load will be charged an additional \$140.00 (\$70.00 for an additional half course).

PART-TIME AND EVENING

DIVISION STUDENTS

(Registered for 2 courses or less).

Per course -----	\$140.00
Per half course -----	70.00

SPECIAL FEES

Late Registration -----	\$25.00
Graduation: Degree or Diploma -----	15.00
In absentia -----	18.00
Supplemental or Special Examination. -----	15.00
At an outside centre (additional to supplemental or special fee). -----	5.00
Official transcript (after first copy) -----	1.00

STUDENT COUNCIL FEE

At the request of the student body, all full-time students are required to pay \$36.00 in support of student government and projects. This fee is administered by the Students' Council and is included in the total full-time fee of \$673.00 noted above.

WITHDRAWALS AND REFUNDS

When a student withdraws from the University he or she must at once notify the Registrar in writing. The official date of withdrawal for purposes of adjustment and refund of tuition fees will be the date of receipt by the Registrar of such written notice.

A partial refund of tuition fees may be granted to a student who withdraws from the University for a reason approved by the proper authorities if application is made to the Business Office. Refunds of tuition fees will be according to the following scale:

Time of Withdrawal	Amount of Refund		
	Full-Time Fees	Part-Time per Full Course	per Half Course
First-Term Fees:			
First week of classes	\$360.00	\$45.00	\$45.00
Remainder of Sept.	\$320.00	\$45.00	\$45.00
October 1-15	\$255.00	\$35.00	35.00
October 16-31	\$190.00	\$35.00	\$35.00
November 1-15	\$130.00	\$25.00	\$25.00
After November 15	Nil	Nil	Nil
Second Term Fees:			
January 1-15	\$258.00	\$50.00	\$50.00
January 16-31	\$218.00	\$50.00	\$50.00
February 1-15	\$178.00	\$35.00	\$35.00
February 16-28	\$143.00	\$35.00	\$35.00
After February 28	Nil	Nil	Nil

FEES DEDUCTIBLE FOR INCOME TAX

Academic fees are deductible for income tax purposes by the student, provided they have been paid. Such fees may not be claimed as a deduction by any other person. A special receipt for income tax purposes will be issued after January 31 by the Business Office if requested. Such receipts are not issued over the counter but are mailed to the student shortly after requested.

WELCOME TO S.M.U.!!

ORIENTATION

'73

Schedule of Events

REVISED SCHEDULE

Wednesday, September 12

Upperclassmen Reunion Bash in SUB, 9:00 p.m.-12:00 p.m. Admission \$1.50

Thursday, September 13

1. Brewery Tour at Oland's Brewery in afternoon (Free) — tentative.
2. Coffee House in Residence Cafeteria 8:00 p.m.-10:30 p.m. Admission .50. Speaker: Claire Cohenne on Vietnam. Free coffee and donuts.
3. Movies in Theatres A & B Titles: Woodstock & Thumbtripping — tentative, 9:00 p.m.-12:00 p.m. Admission \$1.00.

Friday, September 14

1. Coffee House in Residence Cafeteria. 8:00 p.m.-10:30 p.m. Admission .50. Band: Folk Group Entertainment.
2. Bash in Gymnasium: Major Hoople and his Boarding House. 9:00 p.m.-12:00 p.m. Admission \$2.50.

Saturday, September 15

1. Slave Auction — tentative
2. Football Game in stadium 1:30 p.m.-5:00 p.m.
3. Supper: TBA (Corn Boil) 5:00-6:00 p.m.
4. Faculty-Student Smoker: SUB 1st floor total admission Free Band: Recorded Music. 7:00 p.m.-9:30 p.m.
5. Bash in Gym Admission \$2.00 Band: Copper Penny 9:00 p.m.-12:30 a.m.

Sunday, September 16

1. Hangover breakfast 9:00 a.m.-11:00 a.m.
2. Scavenger Hunt: 2:00 p.m.-4:00 p.m. to be held within SMU area.
3. Outdoor Folk Revival 4:00 p.m.-5:00 p.m. in football field
4. Saint Mary's Annual Orientation Games 1:30 p.m.-5:00 p.m.: a) Grease Pig Contest; b) Paper Airplane Contest; c) Pie Eating Contest; d) Apple Bobbing Contest; e) Greased Pole Contest; f) Plan X.
5. Coffee House in Residence Cafeteria Admission Free 9:00 p.m.-11:30 p.m.
6. Concert with Peter Law in Gymnasium — 9:00 p.m. — 12:00 — Admission \$2.00

Monday, September 17

1. General Student Body Meeting in Gymnasium to meet SRC, Administration and Residence Society personages. 8:00 p.m.-9:00 p.m.
2. "Pieces of Eight" Travelling Theatre Admission Free 9:30-11:00 p.m.

REGISTRATION

Registration will take place on the dates and times set forth in the Academic Calendar. Students should follow exactly the directions for registration procedure supplied by the Office of the Registrar. No credit will be allowed for a course for which the student is not regularly registered.

Should registration prove insufficient, the University reserves the right to cancel any listed course.

At the time of registration students are required to satisfy the Business Office in regard to the payment of fees.

All students not previously registered at Saint Mary's University during the preceding academic year are required to present a certificate of medical fitness at registration.

Late Registration

Students registering on any other date than that designated in the Academic Calendar are required to pay a late registration fee.

Changes in Registration

Changes in registration are permitted only with departmental approval and only within the period indicated in the Academic Calendar.

No change of registration is permitted after the first week of instruction. This applies to full courses and half courses that begin in September and to half courses that begin in January.

Dropping of Courses

Students who drop a course without authorization of the Dean of Faculty will be given a grade of WF (Withdrawal Failure) on the University records (see page "Failures").

Courses taken at Other Institutions

Courses taken at other institutions after first registration at Saint Mary's will not be credited by the University unless the student has obtained prior, written approval of the Registrar.

Withdrawal

When a student withdraws from the University he must notify the Registrar at once; in which event this notification will be taken as the official date of withdrawal from the University.

ACADEMIC INFORMATION

Examination and Grades

University policy with respect to judging student performance in academic courses is designed to provide flexibility in the methods employed in evaluation, and as far as possible to permit the continuous notation of a student's progress throughout all stages of a course.

Accordingly, the method of evaluation in any course is determined by the member of the faculty responsible for the course, subject to approval by the appropriate department chairman and faculty dean. All professors must make known in writing no later than *one week* after the beginning of any course the precise procedures for determining and measuring progress in that course, including a statement of the number and form of examinations and assignments, and other means of evaluation.

The following letter-grade system, with quality point equivalents, is employed to indicate final evaluation for work in a course.

	Quality Points
A — excellent, superior	4 points
B — very good, above average	3 points
C — good, average	2 points
D — fair, below average but passing	1 point
P — passed by supplemental examination	1 point
F — unsatisfactory progress, credit not given	0 points
FS — (failed supplemental examination)	0 points
Aegrotat	Aegrotat standing, credit given
W — permitted withdrawal	
WF — course withdrawal with evaluation of unsatisfactory progress.	

Attendance at all lectures, seminars, and laboratory periods of any course in which a student is registered is mandatory. A student whose attendance has been reported as unsatisfactory by the professor may be debarred from tests and examinations, or required to withdraw from a course or degree programme by the appropriate faculty dean.

A candidate for a degree must be of good moral character and must have given general satisfaction throughout his course.

Supplemental and Special Examinations

1. On written recommendation of the professor responsible, a student is eligible to take a supplemental examination covering the same material as that contained in the course which he has failed.

2. Such a recommendation must be submitted to the Registrar's office in conjunction with the final grade; the supplemental examination is taken at the time prescribed in the University calendar.

3. To be eligible to write any supplemental examination for which he is recommended, a student must have no more than two final course grades of unsatisfactory (F) during the academic year in which the supplemental examination is to be taken.

4. Supplemental examinations are graded either P (satisfactory) or F (unsatisfactory).

5. Only one supplemental examination is permissible in any course.

6. No more than three full courses passed by supplemental examinations will be credited towards any degree or diploma.

7. Applications for supplemental examinations must be made to the Registrar's office no later than the date set down in the Academic Calendar.

Special examinations are written outside the time assigned for regular and supplemental examinations, and are granted rarely and only for extraordinary reasons by the Committee on Academic Standing.

Failures

A student whose work in a particular course is deemed by the professor to be so unsatisfactory as to preclude any reasonable prospect of achieving success may be asked to withdraw from the course, pending approval by the department chairman and the faculty dean. The grade indicated will be WF.

No course may be taken more than twice.

A student who withdraws voluntarily from any course at a point in the course where his formal evaluation is unsatisfactory (F) will receive a notation of WF on his record for that course. (See page 46) Dropping of Courses).

A student receiving a final evaluation of unsatisfactory (F) in a course which involves both lectures and laboratory work must repeat both parts of the course or an equivalent approved by the department concerned to receive a credit in the course.

A full-time student who in his first year fails to pass at least two courses must withdraw from the University for one year. Such a student may be re-admitted on academic probation following this one-year suspension.

A student who fails in more than 40 per cent of the year's work fails the year.

Any student who fails in two years of his work will no longer be granted permission to register for courses at the University.

Default of any formal process of evaluation in a course for medical reasons must be certified in written form and submitted to the Registrar's office within one week of the default.

Aegrotat Standing

Aegrotat permits a student to receive credit in a course under circumstances which prevent, for medical reasons or on compassionate grounds, the completion of a final formal process of evaluation. A professor may award aegrotat standing after satisfactory evidence has been supplied to cover the absence. Such evidence must be submitted to the Registrar's office no later than one week after the absence.

Academic Probation

Any student who receives an evaluation of unsatisfactory (F) in more than two courses at either mid-year or year-end will be placed on academic probation.

Any student whose academic record or progress is judged to be unsatisfactory because of poor attendance, incomplete assignments, or other reasons may be placed on academic probation. If the record continues to be unsatisfactory, the student may be requested to withdraw from the University by the dean of faculty.

Appeals

A student may appeal decisions taken on academic regulations by writing to the dean of the faculty concerned. Copies of the letter of appeal should also be sent to the chairman of the department and the professor involved. A decision on the appeal will be given by the dean after consultation with the faculty member and chairman. A student has the right to request the Senate to consider the appeal decision by making formal application to the Committee on Academic Standing.

SPECIAL PROGRAMMES

Summer School and Extension Division

Credit courses in Arts, Science and Commerce are offered at the University in Evening and Summer sessions. Students enrolling in these courses must have fulfilled the usual requirements for University entrance (see admission requirements for undergraduate and graduate students). Students must follow, as closely as possible, the normal sequence of courses required for regularly enrolled students and are expected to maintain the same standards in their studies. Programmes should be approved by the chairman of the department in which the student plans a major concentration.

Evening Division courses begin in September, at the usual time for commencement of classes, and end with the April examinations. Two summer Sessions of six weeks each are held: the first session begins in mid-May, and the second session early in July. Brochures describing the courses offered in the Evening Division and Summer Sessions are available from the Director's Office, the former by August 1st and the latter by January 31st.

SENATE AND BOARD OF GOVERNORS

Chairman

Mr. Austin E. Hayes

Members Ex-Officio

Chancellor: Most Reverend James M. Hayes, J.C.D., D.D.

Vice Chancellor: Reverend Monsignor Colin Campbell, V.G.

President: Dr. D. Owen Carrigan

Academic Vice-President: Dr. D. Hugh Gillis

Vice-President, Finance and Development: Mr. Edmund Morris

Members Appointed by the Roman Catholic Episcopal Corporation

Mr. Robert B. Cameron, D.S.O., LL.D.

Mr. Austin E. Hayes

Reverend Lloyd Robertson

Members Elected by the Alumni Association

Mr. K.P. Bendelier

Mr. Arthur Flynn

Mr. L.J. Hayes

Mr. D.F. Murphy, Q.C.

Dr. R.W. Napier

Mr. J. Philip Vaughan

Members Elected by the Academic Staff

Prof. R.H. Crowther

Dr. F.J. Dockrill

Dean G.B. Hallett

Dr. J.R. MacCormack

Dr. A.P. Monahan

Reverend W.A. Stewart, S.J.

BOARD OF GOVERNORS

Members Elected by the Students

Malcolm Butterfield

Mr. K.T. Langille

William Thompson

Mr. G.B. Spinney

ACADEMIC SENATE

Members Ex-Officio

Dr. D. Owen Carrigan, President, Chairman

Dr. D. Hugh Gillis, Academic Vice-President

Dr. Dennis E. Connelly, Dean of Commerce

Dr. William A. Bridgeo, Dean of Science

Prof. George B. Hallett, Dean of Arts

Prof. Donald Warner, Dean of Engineering

Dr. Donald J. Weeren, Dean of Education

Mrs. Ruth Hafter, Librarian

Mr. Kenneth P. Bendelier, Dean of Students

Mr. Kevin J. Cleary, Registrar

Members Elected

To June 1973

Prof. Roger H. Crowther

Dr. Frederick J. Dockrill

Dr. Arthur P. Monahan

Prof. William A. Stewart, S.J.

Prof. Harold J. Schroeder

To June 1974

Dr. J. Geoffrey Harrison

Dr. Ernest R. Hayes

Prof. David A. Hope

Dr. John R. MacCormack

Prof. Dermot L. Mulrooney

To June 1975

Dr. Elizabeth V. Haigh

Prof. Daniel W. MacInnes

Prof. Kenneth A. MacKinnon

Dr. George F. Mitchell

Prof. Arthur Murphy

Student Senators

John Sherwood

Don Hoyt

Ken Langille

Michael Kelley

Bill Goggin

ADMISSION TO ADVANCE STANDING

1. Arts

A full year's credit (five courses) will be given to a student registering in Arts who presents a Senior Matriculation Certificate, Nova Scotia Grade XII or equivalent, with an overall average of at least 60 per cent, and no mark below 50 per cent in these subjects:

English, and four of the following: mathematics, physics, chemistry, biology, geology, history, geography, music, a language other than English, one social science, religious studies.

Effective the academic year 1972-73, one social science will be allowed from Grade XII. This may be modern world problems, political science, economics, or a comparable course accepted by the Department of Education.

2. Science and Commerce

A full year's credit (five courses) will be given to a student registering in Commerce or Science who presents a Senior Matriculation Certificate, Nova Scotia Grade XII or equivalent, with an overall average of at least 60 per cent, and no mark below 50 per cent in these subjects: English, mathematics, and three from biology, chemistry, geology, geography, history, physics, a language other than English, one social science, and religious studies.

This advance standing makes graduation with a general degree possible in three years.

3. Engineering

A student registering in Engineering who presents a Senior Matriculation Certificate, Nova Scotia Grade XII or equivalent, with an overall average of 65 per cent and no mark below 50 per cent in the following subjects: English, mathematics, physics, chemistry, and one other from biology, geology, history, geography, a social science, religious studies, a language other than English, will be able to complete the Engineering Diploma requirements in three years, and receive the Bachelor of Science degree.

The Diploma in Engineering admits the student without examination into any of the departments of the Nova Scotia Technical College for the final two years of a five-year programme leading to the degree of Bachelor of Engineering.

4. New Brunswick Students

Students who complete five Grade XII academic subjects, with a 60 per cent average and no mark below 50 per cent, may be offered admission to a three year ordinary or four year honours degree programme. Students entering Arts require English, and four academic subjects. Students in Science, Commerce, or Engineering require English, mathematics, and three academic subjects; those in Science and Engineering should offer two science subjects.

Students wishing to apply for admission to any faculty must have a pass mark in English, and 112 Mathematics. Those wishing to enter a three year programme in the Faculties of Commerce, Science or Engineering, must have a pass in 122 (or higher) Mathematics.

5. Overseas Students

Students from the United Kingdom, West Indies, West Africa and Hong Kong may be granted advanced standing on the presentation of G.C.E. Certificates in five subjects at the Ordinary Level of which two are English and Mathematics and in addition at least three subjects at the advanced level. The grade of marks obtained must average less than four.

6. Partial Credit: fewer than five courses (all faculties)

Partial credit (fewer than five courses) may be granted on the basis of Senior Matriculation standing under the following conditions:

a) These credits be obtained before courses at the University are begun;


b) The student have a mark of 60 per cent in each subject for which he is seeking advanced standing, except that a student in Science wishing to take further courses in mathematics or science must have a mark of 75 per cent in that subject.

7. Transfer Students

Advanced standing for work done at other Universities will be considered on the basis of individual course.

Courses taken at other institutions after first registration at Saint Mary's will not be credited by the University unless the student has obtained prior, written approval of the Registrar.

FEATURED AT ORIENTATION '73



MATOR HOOPLES BOARDING HOUSE



copperpenny

COURSE EVALUATIONS

'73

RESULTS

1973 COURSE & PROFESSOR EVALUATIONS

After several months of tabulating, I am pleased to reveal to all SMU students the results of the 1973 Course and Professor Evaluations. To date, this has been the most extensive evaluation carried out at SMU but it is far from complete. Many courses were missed this year but we managed to double our courses evaluated over last year.

A new form appeared this year which had twenty questions. On this page you will find a copy of the form as well as an explanation for the codes etc.

The final printout is much simpler to understand than last year but it too has flaws. We placed the answers into three categories: Above average, average and below average. Also, rather than supply you with twenty questions and literally thousands of numbers

we divided the questions into six categories of which each of the twenty questions played a part.

In all, I hope that you will find the evaluation results much simpler to understand and you will be able to apply them to some of the courses you intend to take. I would also like to thank Mike Tingley and his staff of the Computer Centre, the Student Representative Council, the University Faculty and Administration and Ken L. Langille for their assistance in making this evaluation possible.

If any of you wish to become involved and direct this year's Evaluation please come and see me or a member of the SRC as I regret that this is my last evaluation at SMU. I think that three years is enough.

Kenneth T. Langille
Director
EVALUATIONS '73

SUBJECT CODES

ANT	Anthropology	GEO	Geology
AST	Astronomy	GER	German
BIO	Biology	HIS	History
BUS	Business Administration	LAT	Latin
CHE	Chemistry	MAT	Math
CLA	Classics	PHI	Philosophy
CML	Commercial Law	PHY	Physics
ECO	Economics	POL	Political Science
EDU	Education	PSY	Psychology
ENG	Engineering	REL	Religious Studies
EGL	English	SOC	Sociology
FRE	French	SPA	Spanish

CONTENTS:

Page	
16	Anthropology-Biology
17	Biology-Business
18	Business-Chemistry
19	Chemistry
20	Chemistry-Classics-Economics
21	Economics-Education
22	Education-Engineering
23	Engineering-English
24	English-French
25	Geology-History
26	History
27	History-Math
28	Math-Philosophy-Physics
29	Physics-Pol. Science
30	Pol. Science-Psychology
31	Rel. Studies-Sociology
32	Sociology-Spanish

SAMPLE 1973 COURSE EVALUATION FORM

COURSE: _____ SECTION: _____ INSTRUCTOR: _____

Attendance in this Course:
Circle the correct reply.

1. Always
2. Average
3. Seldom

EVALUATIONS '73
Saint Mary's University

THE INSTRUCTOR:

1. Is he helpful when the students have difficulty?
2. Does he appear sensitive to the students' feelings and problems?
3. Does he make students feel free to ask questions, disagree, express their ideas, etc., on any aspect of the course?
4. Is he fair and impartial in his dealings with the students?
5. Is his speech adequate for teaching?
6. Do you always know where you stand in this course?
7. Is he interested in his course?
8. Does he use enough examples or illustrations to clarify the material?
9. Does he present material in a well organized fashion?
10. Does he stimulate thinking

11. Does he dwell on the obvious?
12. What is your rating of his performance as an instructor?

THE COURSE:

13. Are the objectives of the course clear?
14. Is the amount of work required appropriate for the credit received?
15. Was the assigned reading difficult?
16. Are the tests fair?
17. Did the papers, labs, seminars and examinations aid to your understanding of the course?
18. How would you rate this course compared to other courses you have taken?
19. Would you recommend this course to another student?

INTERPRETATION OF 1973 COURSE EVALUATIONS

On the Answer form, the students were asked to circle the number which they felt suited the professor. This number system ran from 1-7 with one being the highest number and seven the lowest. Therefore, on the final tabulation, "Above Average" percentages are calculated from numbers 1, 2, and 3. "Average" percentages are calculated by using number 4. "Below Average" percentages are calculated from numbers 5, 6, and 7.

Also on the answer form, the following replies are derived by using the questions on the answer form which are grouped into six categories.

Therefore:
"Relationships with students" uses questions 1, 2, 3 & 4.
"Class Presentation" uses

questions 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, & 10.
"Course objectives, work and exams" uses questions 13, 14, 15, 16 & 17.
"Rating as an instructor" uses question 12.
"Rating of the course" uses question 18
"Recommendation of the course to other students" uses question 19.

Due to confusion on the part of many students, question 11 was answered using several interpretations. Therefore, the committee felt it necessary to disregard question 11 because of this confusion.

I hope that these results will be of some assistance to you in selecting your courses this year. Best of luck this year.

Kenneth T. Langille
Director-Evaluations '73

ANTHROPOLOGY 110.0

COURSE EVALUATION FOR ANT110.0 TAUGHT BY S. COTT
9.0 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 111

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NO ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	47.5	17.5	35.0	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	36.7	15.0	45.0	3.3
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	34.0	26.0	38.0	2.0
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	30.0	10.0	60.0	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	30.0	0.0	70.0	0.0
	YES		NO	
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	60.0		40.0	0.0

ANTHROPOLOGY 240.0

COURSE EVALUATION FOR ANT240.0 TAUGHT BY S. COTT
38.8 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 49

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NO ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	73.7	13.2	13.2	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	67.5	20.2	11.4	0.9
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	61.1	25.3	12.6	1.1
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	68.4	10.5	21.1	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	63.2	15.8	21.1	0.0
	YES		NO	
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	84.2		15.8	0.0

ANTHROPOLOGY 250.0

COURSE EVALUATION FOR ANT250.0 TAUGHT BY A. ESTRADA
50.0 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 22

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NO ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	70.5	18.2	11.4	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	77.3	21.2	1.5	0.0
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	67.3	27.3	5.5	0.0
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	81.8	9.1	9.1	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	63.6	27.3	9.1	0.0
	YES		NO	
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	100.0		0.0	0.0

BIOLOGY 111.1

COURSE EVALUATION FOR BIO111.1 TAUGHT BY K. THOMAS
70.3 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 101

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NO ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	63.7	26.4	9.9	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	68.3	19.7	11.0	0.9
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	63.7	24.8	11.0	0.6
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	70.4	19.7	7.0	2.8
RATING OF COURSE	66.2	22.5	11.3	0.0
	YES		NO	
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	93.0		4.2	2.8

BIOLOGY 112.2

COURSE EVALUATION FOR BIO112.2 TAUGHT BY B. KAPOOR
71.9 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 96

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NO ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	58.0	26.4	15.2	0.4
CLASS PRESENTATION	73.2	16.7	9.9	0.2
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	61.4	22.3	15.7	0.6
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	75.4	18.8	5.8	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	71.0	17.4	11.6	0.0
	YES		NO	
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	94.2		4.3	1.4

BIOLOGY 205.0

COURSE EVALUATION FOR BIO205.0 TAUGHT BY E. ROJO
42.9 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 63

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NO ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	58.3	22.2	17.6	1.9
CLASS PRESENTATION	43.2	24.1	31.5	1.2
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	58.5	24.4	16.3	0.7
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	44.4	18.5	37.0	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	37.0	33.3	25.9	3.7
	YES		NO	
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	70.4		29.6	0.0

BIOLOGY 302.0

COURSE EVALUATION FOR BIO302.0 TAUGHT BY D. KAPOOR
92.3 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 26

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NO ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	85.4	6.3	6.3	2.1
CLASS PRESENTATION	84.7	4.9	10.4	0.0
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	72.5	8.3	16.7	2.5
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	91.7	0.0	8.3	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	83.3	8.3	8.3	0.0
	YES		NO	
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	100.0		0.0	0.0

BIOLOGY 305.0

COURSE EVALUATION FOR BIO305.0 TAUGHT BY K. THOMAS
80.8 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 26

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NO ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	75.0	20.2	4.8	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	77.0	16.7	4.8	1.6
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	70.5	21.9	6.7	1.0
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	95.2	4.8	0.0	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	85.7	14.3	0.0	0.0
	YES		NO	
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	100.0		0.0	0.0

BIOLOGY 310.0

COURSE EVALUATION FOR BIO310.0 TAUGHT BY M. WILES
88.9 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 9

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NO ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	43.8	31.3	25.0	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	85.4	4.2	10.4	0.0
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	55.0	22.5	20.0	2.5
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	87.5	12.5	0.0	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	YES		NO	
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	87.5		12.5	0.0

BIOLOGY 405.0

COURSE EVALUATION FOR BIO405.0 TAUGHT BY A. ROJO
76.9 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 13

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NO ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	72.5	7.5	17.5	2.5
CLASS PRESENTATION	90.0	5.0	3.3	1.7
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	86.0	12.0	0.0	2.0
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	90.0	0.0	10.0	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	80.0	20.0	0.0	0.0
	YES		NO	
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	90.0		10.0	0.0

BIOLOGY 408.2

COURSE EVALUATION FOR BIO408.2 TAUGHT BY K. THOMAS
64.7 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 17

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NO ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	72.7	20.5	6.8	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	68.2	19.7	12.1	0.0
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	50.9	29.1	16.4	3.6
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	72.7	18.2	9.1	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	54.5	36.4	9.1	0.0
	YES		NO	
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	72.7		27.3	0.0

BIOLOGY 406.0

COURSE EVALUATION FOR BIO406.0 TAUGHT BY H. BOBR-TYLINGO
82.8 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 29

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NO ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	84.4	9.4	6.3	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	63.9	15.3	20.8	0.0
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	74.2	13.3	11.7	0.8
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	58.3	20.8	20.8	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	70.8	12.5	16.7	0.0
	YES		NO	
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	87.5		12.5	0.0

BIOLOGY 204.0

COURSE EVALUATION FOR BIO204.0 TAUGHT BY H. BOGR-TYLING
70.2 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 57

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	46.3	23.8	28.1	1.9
CLASS PRESENTATION	50.4	17.5	31.3	0.8
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	48.0	26.0	25.0	1.0
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	42.5	37.5	17.5	2.5
RATING OF COURSE	35.0	27.5	35.0	2.5
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	YES 70.0		NC 25.0	5.0

BUSINESS 204.2B

COURSE EVALUATION FOR BUS204.2B TAUGHT BY R. C'ROURKE
59.7 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 77

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	88.6	9.2	2.2	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	88.8	8.0	3.3	0.0
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	68.7	19.1	11.3	0.9
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	95.7	4.3	0.0	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	78.3	15.2	6.5	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	YES 91.3		NC 6.5	2.2

BUSINESS 240.0D

COURSE EVALUATION FOR BUS240.0D TAUGHT BY J. RADFORD
47.5 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 61

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	87.9	8.6	2.6	0.9
CLASS PRESENTATION	84.5	13.8	1.7	0.0
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	59.3	24.8	11.7	4.1
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	96.6	3.4	0.0	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	72.4	20.7	0.0	6.9
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	YES 100.0		NC 0.0	0.0

BUSINESS 321.2B

COURSE EVALUATION FOR BUS321.2B TAUGHT BY V. RAGHAVEN
82.1 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 28

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	66.3	17.4	15.2	1.1
CLASS PRESENTATION	48.6	29.7	21.7	0.0
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	42.6	30.4	26.1	0.9
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	60.9	26.1	13.0	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	47.8	30.4	21.7	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	YES 87.0		NC 13.0	0.0

BUSINESS 323.2B

COURSE EVALUATION FOR BUS323.2B TAUGHT BY H. SCHREDER
51.9 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 27

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	96.4	3.6	0.0	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	92.9	7.1	0.0	0.0
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	78.6	18.6	1.4	1.4
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	92.9	7.1	0.0	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	85.7	7.1	7.1	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	YES 100.0		NC 0.0	0.0

BUSINESS 361.2A

COURSE EVALUATION FOR BUS361.2A TAUGHT BY R. C'ROURKE
64.7 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 17

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	81.8	6.8	11.4	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	69.7	19.7	10.6	0.0
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	49.1	36.4	14.5	0.0
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	81.8	9.1	9.1	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	72.7	9.1	18.2	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	YES 100.0		NC 0.0	0.0

The course is simpler to understand than last year but it too has flaws. We placed the answers into three categories: Above average, average and below average. Also, rather than supply you with twenty questions and literally thousands of numbers

Evaluation please come and see me or a member of the SRC as I regret that this is my last evaluation at SMU. I think that three years is enough.

Kenneth T. Langille
Director
EVALUATIONS '73

27	-----	History-Math
28	-----	Math-Philosophy-Physics
29	-----	Physics-Pol. Science
30	-----	Pol. Science-Psychology
31	-----	Rel. Studies-Sociology
32	-----	Sociology-Spanish

SAMPLE 1973 COURSE EVALUATION FORM

COURSE: _____ SECTION: _____ INSTRUCTOR: _____

Attendance in this Course:
Circle the correct reply.

1. Always
2. Average
3. Seldom

EVALUATIONS '73
Saint Mary's University

THE INSTRUCTOR:

1. Is he helpful when the students have difficulty?
2. Does he appear sensitive to the students' feelings and problems?
3. Does he make students feel free to ask questions, disagree, express their ideas, etc., on any aspect of the course?
4. Is he fair and impartial in his dealings with the students?
5. Is his speech adequate for teaching?
6. Do you always know where you stand in this course?
7. Is he interested in his course?
8. Does he use enough examples or illustrations to clarify the material?
9. Does he present material in a well organized fashion?
10. Does he stimulate thinking on your part?
11. Does he dwell on the obvious?
12. What is your rating of his performance as an instructor?

THE COURSE:

13. Are the objectives of the course clear?
14. Is the amount of work required appropriate for the credit received?
15. Was the assigned reading difficult?
16. Are the tests fair?
17. Did the papers, labs, seminars and examinations aid to your understanding of the course?
18. How would you rate this course compared to other courses you have taken?
19. Would you recommend this course to another student?

INTERPRETATION OF 1973 COURSE EVALUATIONS

On the Answer form, the students were asked to circle the number which they felt suited the professor. This number system ran from 1-7 with one being the highest number and seven the lowest. Therefore, on the final tabulation, "Above Average" percentages are calculated from numbers 1, 2, and 3. "Average" percentages are calculated by using number 4. "Below Average" percentages are calculated from numbers 5, 6, and 7.

Also on the answer form, the following replies are derived by using the questions on the answer form which are grouped into six categories.

Therefore:
"Relationships with students" uses questions 1, 2, 3 & 4.
"Class Presentation" uses

questions 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, & 10.
"Course objectives, work and exams" uses questions 13, 14, 15, 16 & 17.
"Rating as an instructor" uses question 12.
"Rating of the course" uses question 18.
"Recommendation of the course to other students" uses question 19.

Due to confusion on the part of many students, question 11 was answered using several interpretations. Therefore, the committee felt it necessary to disregard question 11 because of this confusion.

I hope that these results will be of some assistance to you in selecting your courses this year. Best of luck this year.

Kenneth T. Langille
Director-Evaluations '73

BUSINESS 374.2A

COURSE EVALUATION FOR BUS374.2A TAUGHT BY V. BAYDAR
79.1 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 43

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	53.7	22.8	23.5	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	46.6	26.0	26.5	1.0
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	53.5	34.1	11.8	0.6
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	52.9	23.5	23.5	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	50.0	41.2	8.8	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	YES 91.2		NC 8.8	0.0

BUSINESS 374.2B

COURSE EVALUATION FOR BUS374.2B TAUGHT BY V. BAYDAR
62.2 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 37

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	51.1	31.5	16.3	1.1
CLASS PRESENTATION	55.1	31.9	13.0	0.0
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	59.1	27.8	10.4	2.6
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	65.2	34.8	0.0	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	47.8	39.1	13.0	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	YES 100.0		NC 0.0	0.0

BUSINESS 382.0A

COURSE EVALUATION FOR BUS382.0A TAUGHT BY G. BADAWI
59.5 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 42

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	69.0	12.0	13.0	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	68.7	17.3	13.3	0.7
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	49.6	24.2	25.6	0.0
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	64.0	12.0	24.0	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	48.0	20.0	32.0	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	YES 76.0		NC 20.0	4.0

BUSINESS 386.2B

COURSE EVALUATION FOR BUS386.2B TAUGHT BY G. BADAWI
78.6 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 42

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	56.1	28.0	15.9	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	49.0	28.8	21.7	0.5
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	36.4	43.6	18.8	1.2
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	45.5	24.2	24.2	6.1
RATING OF COURSE	42.4	33.3	24.2	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	YES 75.2		NC 18.2	6.1

BUSINESS 467.2

COURSE EVALUATION FOR BUS467.2 TAUGHT BY D. CONNOLLY
87.5 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 8

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	96.4	3.6	0.0	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	88.1	9.5	2.4	0.0
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	57.1	25.7	8.6	8.6
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	85.7	0.0	0.0	14.3
RATING OF COURSE	85.7	14.3	0.0	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	YES 100.0		NC 0.0	0.0

BUSINESS 489.2B

COURSE EVALUATION FOR BUS489.2B TAUGHT BY V. BAYDAR
74.2 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 31

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	45.7	28.3	26.1	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	53.6	26.1	20.3	0.0
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	53.0	34.8	12.2	0.0
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	47.8	26.1	26.1	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	52.2	21.7	26.1	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	YES 78.3		NC 21.7	0.0

BUSINESS 489.2C

COURSE EVALUATION FOR BUS489.2C TAUGHT BY V. BAYDAR
71.0 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 31

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	45.5	27.3	27.3	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	43.9	21.2	34.1	0.8
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	50.9	26.4	21.8	0.9
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	45.5	18.2	31.8	4.5
RATING OF COURSE	27.3	36.4	36.4	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	YES 63.6		NC 36.4	0.0

CHEMISTRY 101.0A

COURSE EVALUATION FOR CHE101.0A TAUGHT BY J. GINSBURG
45.5 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 33

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	68.3	21.7	10.0	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	64.4	22.2	13.3	0.0
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	45.3	34.7	17.3	2.7
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	73.3	20.0	6.7	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	46.7	26.7	26.7	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	YES 73.3		NC 20.0	6.7

CHEMISTRY 101.0B

COURSE EVALUATION FOR CHE101.0B TAUGHT BY K. VAUGHAN
50.0 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 76

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	75.0	17.1	7.2	0.7
CLASS PRESENTATION	78.9	7.9	11.4	1.8
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	69.5	13.7	16.3	0.5
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	84.2	10.5	5.3	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	63.2	21.1	15.8	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	YES 81.6		NC 18.4	0.0

BUSINESS 382.0C

COURSE EVALUATION FOR BUS382.0C TAUGHT BY S. PFENDE
78.9 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 38

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	57.5	20.2	20.8	0.8
CLASS PRESENTATION	61.1	22.2	16.1	0.6
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	53.3	32.0	14.0	0.7
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	60.0	20.0	16.7	3.3
RATING OF COURSE	66.7	16.7	16.7	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	YES 90.0		NC 10.0	0.0

BUSINESS 385.2

COURSE EVALUATION FOR BUS385.2 TAUGHT BY G. BADAWI
66.7 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 63

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	63.7	22.0	14.3	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	58.7	18.7	22.2	0.4
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	33.3	29.5	35.2	1.9
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	40.5	33.3	26.2	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	28.6	31.0	40.5	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	YES 47.6		NC 42.9	9.5

BUSINESS 386.2A

COURSE EVALUATION FOR BUS386.2A TAUGHT BY G. BADAWI
78.4 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 51

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	74.4	15.6	9.4	0.6
CLASS PRESENTATION	64.2	21.3	14.2	0.4
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	55.0	28.0	15.0	2.0
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	72.5	17.5	7.5	2.5
RATING OF COURSE	60.0	25.0	15.0	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	YES 85.0		NC 12.5	2.5

CHEMISTRY 101.0C

COURSE EVALUATION FOR CHE101.0C TAUGHT BY J. ELHANAN
57.1 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 21

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	50.0	22.9	27.1	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	54.2	15.3	27.8	2.8
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	56.7	15.0	28.3	0.0
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	50.0	8.3	41.7	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	41.7	16.7	41.7	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	YES 58.3		NC 25.0	16.7

CHEMISTRY 201.0

COURSE EVALUATION FOR CHE201.0 TAUGHT BY D. DAVIES
58.3 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 36

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	40.5	35.7	22.6	1.2
CLASS PRESENTATION	68.3	16.7	14.3	0.8
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	36.2	21.9	30.5	11.4
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	33.3	28.6	33.3	4.8
RATING OF COURSE	19.0	14.3	61.9	4.8
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	YES 28.6		NC 57.1	14.3

CHEMISTRY 202.0

COURSE EVALUATION FOR CHE202.0 TAUGHT BY J. GINSBURG
68.9 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 45

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	71.8	14.5	11.3	2.4
CLASS PRESENTATION	68.3	15.6	15.6	0.5
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	51.0	23.2	23.9	1.9
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	71.0	12.9	16.1	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	48.4	22.6	29.0	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	YES 58.1		NC 38.7	3.2

CHEMISTRY 203.0

COURSE EVALUATION FOR CHE203.0 TAUGHT BY E. HAYES
44.4 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 36

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	82.8	14.1	3.1	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	68.8	18.8	11.5	1.0
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	66.3	23.8	10.0	0.0
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	81.3	12.5	0.0	6.3
RATING OF COURSE	62.5	31.3	6.3	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	YES 87.5		NO 12.5	0.0

CHEMISTRY 203.0A

COURSE EVALUATION FOR CHE203.0A TAUGHT BY L. MURPHY
44.4 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 36

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	59.4	26.6	14.1	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	66.7	16.7	16.7	0.0
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	51.3	33.8	13.8	1.3
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	43.8	25.0	25.0	6.3
RATING OF COURSE	31.3	37.5	31.3	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	YES 87.5		NO 12.5	0.0

CHEMISTRY 209.0

COURSE EVALUATION FOR CHE209.0 TAUGHT BY J. GINSBURG, K. VAUGHAN
100.0 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 12

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	91.7	4.2	0.0	4.2
CLASS PRESENTATION	75.0	2.8	5.6	16.7
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	45.0	3.3	1.7	50.0
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	66.7	0.0	16.7	16.7
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	YES 100.0		NO 0.0	0.0

CHEMISTRY 311.0

COURSE EVALUATION FOR CHE311.0 TAUGHT BY D. DAVIES
60.7 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 6

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	18.8	37.5	43.8	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	45.8	41.7	12.5	0.0
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	20.0	10.0	60.0	10.0
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	25.0	50.0	25.0	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	YES 0.0		NC 100.0	0.0

CHEMISTRY 311.0

COURSE EVALUATION FOR CHE311.0 TAUGHT BY L. MURPHY
100.0 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 6

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	20.8	54.2	25.0	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	27.8	8.3	63.9	0.0
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	16.7	13.3	63.3	6.7
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	0.0	16.7	83.3	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	YES 0.0		NC 100.0	0.0

CHEMISTRY 331.0

COURSE EVALUATION FOR CHE331.0 TAUGHT BY G. NOEL
100.0 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 10

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	97.5	0.0	0.0	2.5
CLASS PRESENTATION	98.3	1.7	0.0	0.0
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	82.0	16.0	2.0	0.0
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	YES 100.0		NC 0.0	0.0

CHEMISTRY 341.0

COURSE EVALUATION FOR CHE341.0 TAUGHT BY E. HAYES
80.0 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 10

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	81.3	15.6	0.0	3.1
CLASS PRESENTATION	75.0	14.6	10.4	0.0
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	57.5	25.0	5.0	12.5
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	75.0	25.0	0.0	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	75.0	25.0	0.0	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	YES 100.0		NO 0.0	0.0

CHEMISTRY 343.0

COURSE EVALUATION FOR CHE343.0 TAUGHT BY A. SABEAN
87.5 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 24

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	65.5	21.4	10.7	2.4
CLASS PRESENTATION	57.9	19.8	20.6	1.6
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	38.1	22.9	35.2	3.8
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	52.4	19.0	28.6	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	42.9	23.8	33.3	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	YES 61.9		NC 33.3	4.8

CHEMISTRY 371.0

COURSE EVALUATION FOR CHE371.0 TAUGHT BY J. YOUNG
66.7 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 12

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	93.8	6.3	0.0	0.0
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	85.0	7.5	0.0	7.5
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	YES 100.0		NO 0.0	0.0

CHEMISTRY 411.0

COURSE EVALUATION FOR CHE411.0 TAUGHT BY J. MURPHY, D. DAVIES
100.0 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 6

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELCW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	54.2	12.5	33.3	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	47.2	19.4	30.6	2.8
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	40.0	30.0	30.0	0.0
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	33.3	0.0	66.7	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	33.3	16.7	50.0	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	YES 50.0		NO 50.0	0.0

CHEMISTRY 431.0

COURSE EVALUATION FOR CHE431.0 TAUGHT BY J. ELHANAN
83.3 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 6

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELCW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	70.0	5.0	25.0	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	66.7	16.7	16.7	0.0
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	48.0	32.0	20.0	0.0
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	60.0	0.0	40.0	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	40.0	20.0	40.0	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	YES 100.0		NC 0.0	0.0

CHEMISTRY 441.0

COURSE EVALUATION FOR CHE441.0 TAUGHT BY K. VALGHAN
100.0 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 7

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELCW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	96.4	3.6	0.0	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	92.9	7.1	0.0	0.0
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	80.0	14.3	5.7	0.0
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	YES 100.0		NC 0.0	0.0

CLASSICS 120

COURSE EVALUATION FOR CLA120 TAUGHT BY G. THOMAS
57.1 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 21

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELCW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	64.6	16.7	18.8	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	76.4	11.1	11.1	1.4
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	53.3	16.7	18.3	11.7
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	75.0	8.3	8.3	8.3
RATING OF COURSE	66.7	0.0	25.0	8.3
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	YES 75.0		NC 16.7	8.3

CLASSICS 301.0

COURSE EVALUATION FOR CLA301.0 TAUGHT BY V. TOBIN
52.9 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 34

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELCW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	70.8	19.4	8.3	1.4
CLASS PRESENTATION	70.4	15.7	13.9	0.0
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	60.0	26.7	13.3	0.0
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	55.6	38.9	5.6	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	61.1	11.1	27.8	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	YES 72.2		NC 22.2	5.6

CLASSICS 303.0

COURSE EVALUATION FOR CLA303.0 TAUGHT BY G. THOMAS
66.7 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 15

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELCW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	72.5	15.0	12.5	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	96.7	0.0	1.7	1.7
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	78.0	8.0	14.0	0.0
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	70.0	10.0	10.0	10.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	YES 80.0		NC 20.0	0.0

CLASSICS 304.0

COURSE EVALUATION FOR CLA304.0 TAUGHT BY G. THOMAS
70.0 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 10

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELCW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	96.4	0.0	3.6	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	85.7	2.4	9.5	2.4
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	68.6	11.4	14.3	5.7
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	85.7	0.0	0.0	14.3
RATING OF COURSE	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	YES 85.7		NC 14.3	0.0

CLASSICS 310.0

COURSE EVALUATION FOR CLA310.0 TAUGHT BY V. TOBIN
75.0 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 8

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELCW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	91.7	8.3	0.0	0.0
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	86.7	6.7	0.0	6.7
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	83.3	0.0	0.0	16.7
RATING OF COURSE	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	YES 100.0		NC 0.0	0.0

CLASSICS 334.0

COURSE EVALUATION FOR CLA334.0 TAUGHT BY G. THOMAS
100.0 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 4

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELCW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	75.0	10.0	0.0	15.0
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	YES 100.0		NC 0.0	0.0

ECONOMICS 102.0B

COURSE EVALUATION FOR ECO102.0B TAUGHT BY E. DOAK
64.5 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 31

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELCW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	56.3	18.8	25.0	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	49.2	17.5	33.3	0.0
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	54.0	21.0	25.0	0.0
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	30.0	20.0	50.0	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	25.0	20.0	55.0	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	YES 65.0		NC 35.0	0.0

ECONOMICS 202.0C

COURSE EVALUATION FOR ECO202.0C TAUGHT BY R. FOSTER
56.7 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 67

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELCW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	55.9	27.0	15.1	2.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	58.3	14.9	25.9	0.9
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	33.7	26.3	36.3	3.7
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	68.4	7.9	23.7	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	21.1	34.2	44.7	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	YES 55.3		NC 44.7	0.0

ECONOMICS 202.0E

COURSE EVALUATION FOR ECO202.0E TAUGHT BY I. MIRZA
54.0 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 50

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELCW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	57.4	27.8	14.8	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	57.4	22.8	19.8	0.0
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	59.3	24.4	15.6	0.7
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	44.4	37.0	18.5	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	25.9	33.3	40.7	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	YES 70.4		NC 25.9	3.7

ECONOMICS 202.0F

COURSE EVALUATION FOR ECO202.0F TAUGHT BY R. FOSTER
32.6 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 43

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	46.4	32.1	21.4	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	53.6	29.8	16.7	0.0
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	38.6	20.0	41.4	0.0
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	42.9	50.0	7.1	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	21.4	21.4	57.1	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	50.0		50.0	0.0

ECONOMICS 320.2

COURSE EVALUATION FOR ECO320.2 TAUGHT BY E. DOK
62.1 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 29

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	41.9	11.1	6.9	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	75.9	14.8	9.3	0.0
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	68.9	18.9	10.0	2.2
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	72.2	16.7	5.6	5.6
RATING OF COURSE	61.1	27.8	11.1	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	83.3		16.7	0.0

ECONOMICS 202.0H

COURSE EVALUATION FOR ECC202.0H TAUGHT BY I. MIRZA
66.7 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 30

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	75.0	20.0	3.8	1.3
CLASS PRESENTATION	77.5	15.8	4.2	2.5
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	74.0	18.0	8.0	0.0
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	95.0	5.0	0.0	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	85.0	10.0	5.0	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	85.0		15.0	0.0

ECONOMICS 323.0

COURSE EVALUATION FOR ECC323.0 TAUGHT BY S. SWIANIEWICZ
83.3 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 12

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	90.0	10.0	0.0	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	88.3	10.0	1.7	0.0
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	92.0	4.0	4.0	0.0
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	30.0	10.0	0.0	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	100.0		0.0	0.0

ECONOMICS 310.0

COURSE EVALUATION FOR ECC310.0 TAUGHT BY I. MIRZA
86.7 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 15

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	76.9	15.4	7.7	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	57.7	24.4	16.7	1.3
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	58.5	38.5	3.1	0.0
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	53.8	23.1	23.1	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	61.5	15.4	23.1	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	84.6		15.4	0.0

ECONOMICS 330.2

COURSE EVALUATION FOR ECO330.2 TAUGHT BY B. FBEL
72.2 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 18

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	82.7	5.8	11.5	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	69.2	10.3	17.9	2.6
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	56.9	18.5	21.5	3.1
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	84.6	7.7	7.7	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	46.2	30.8	23.1	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	69.2		23.1	7.7

ECONOMICS 311.0

COURSE EVALUATION FOR ECO311.0 TAUGHT BY S. SWIANIEWICZ
85.7 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 14

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	95.8	4.2	0.0	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	83.3	9.7	6.9	0.0
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	81.7	11.7	3.3	3.3
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	91.7	0.0	0.0	8.3
RATING OF COURSE	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	100.0		0.0	0.0

EDUCATION 501.0

COURSE EVALUATION FOR EDU501.0 TAUGHT BY B. DAVIS
15.3 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 118

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	83.3	11.1	5.6	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	64.8	22.2	12.0	0.9
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	56.7	26.7	13.3	3.3
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	72.2	16.7	11.1	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	55.6	33.3	11.1	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	88.9		5.6	5.6

ECONOMICS 314.2

COURSE EVALUATION FOR ECC314.2 TAUGHT BY R. RUTH
66.7 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 21

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	85.7	8.9	5.4	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	75.0	13.1	10.7	1.2
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	67.1	20.0	12.9	0.0
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	78.6	7.1	14.3	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	78.6	7.1	14.3	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	92.9		7.1	0.0

EDUCATION 501.0

COURSE EVALUATION FOR EDU501.0 TAUGHT BY B. HANRAHAN
13.6 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 118

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	60.9	15.6	18.8	4.7
CLASS PRESENTATION	55.2	12.5	29.2	3.1
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	40.0	16.3	33.8	10.0
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	56.3	12.5	31.3	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	31.3	12.5	56.3	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	43.8		37.5	18.8

ECONOMICS 315.0

COURSE EVALUATION FOR ECC315.0 TAUGHT BY S. SWIANIEWICZ
63.0 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 27

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	77.9	11.8	10.3	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	73.5	15.7	9.8	1.0
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	61.2	21.2	15.3	2.4
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	70.6	17.6	11.8	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	64.7	11.8	23.8	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	94.1		5.4	0.0

EDUCATION 502.0

COURSE EVALUATION FOR EDU502.0 TAUGHT BY F. DOCKRILL
13.0 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 108

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	53.6	16.1	28.6	1.8
CLASS PRESENTATION	52.4	16.7	29.8	1.2
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	52.9	22.9	24.3	0.0
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	42.9	35.7	21.4	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	35.7	42.9	21.4	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	71.4		28.6	0.0

EDUCATION 506.0

COURSE EVALUATION FOR EDU506.0 TAUGHT BY J. STONE
19.6 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 107

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	89.3	8.3	1.2	1.2
CLASS PRESENTATION	86.5	9.5	3.2	0.8
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	59.0	17.1	9.5	14.3
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	95.2	4.8	0.0	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	81.0	9.5	0.0	9.5
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	YES 100.0		NC 0.0	0.0

EDUCATION 610.0

COURSE EVALUATION FOR EDU610.0 TAUGHT BY B. DAVIS
44.4 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 9

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	18.8	6.3	68.8	6.3
CLASS PRESENTATION	8.3	12.5	79.2	0.0
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	0.0	15.0	70.0	15.0
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	YES 0.0		NC 100.0	0.0

EDUCATION 633.2

COURSE EVALUATION FOR EDU633.2 TAUGHT BY L. SCOBIE
100.0 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 5

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	95.0	0.0	0.0	5.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	96.7	0.0	0.0	3.3
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	80.0	8.0	4.0	8.0
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	YES 100.0		NC 0.0	0.0

EDUCATION 651.2

COURSE EVALUATION FOR EDU651.2 TAUGHT BY D. WEEREN
60.0 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 5

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	75.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	88.9	5.6	5.6	0.0
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	73.3	6.7	20.0	0.0
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	66.7	0.0	33.3	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	YES 66.7		NC 33.3	0.0

ENGINEERING 106.1

COURSE EVALUATION FOR ENG106.1 TAUGHT BY D.A. GRANTHAM
43.9 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 41

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	29.2	34.7	34.7	1.4
CLASS PRESENTATION	63.0	21.3	14.8	0.9
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	60.0	23.3	15.6	1.1
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	50.0	27.8	16.7	5.6
RATING OF COURSE	61.1	16.7	22.2	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	YES 94.4		NC 5.6	0.0

ENGINEERING 113.1

COURSE EVALUATION FOR ENG113.1 TAUGHT BY D.A. GRANTHAM
37.5 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 24

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	19.4	33.3	47.2	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	57.4	18.5	24.1	0.0
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	33.3	33.3	33.3	0.0
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	33.3	22.2	44.4	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	33.3	33.3	33.3	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	YES 66.7		NC 33.3	0.0

ENGINEERING 212.2

COURSE EVALUATION FOR ENG212.2 TAUGHT BY D.A. GRANTHAM
60.6 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 33

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	46.3	28.8	23.8	1.3
CLASS PRESENTATION	78.3	15.0	5.8	0.8
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	57.0	27.0	16.0	0.0
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	60.0	25.0	10.0	5.0
RATING OF COURSE	35.0	35.0	30.0	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	YES 75.0		NC 25.0	0.0

ENGINEERING 220.0

COURSE EVALUATION FOR ENG220.0 TAUGHT BY D.A. GRANTHAM
61.1 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 18

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	68.2	20.5	11.4	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	74.2	10.6	13.6	1.5
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	65.5	21.8	12.7	0.0
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	72.7	18.2	9.1	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	90.9	0.0	9.1	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	YES 100.0		NC 0.0	0.0

ENGINEERING 302.0

COURSE EVALUATION FOR ENG302.0 TAUGHT BY V. REDDY
72.1 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 43

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	90.3	7.3	2.4	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	74.2	11.8	12.9	1.1
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	72.9	19.4	5.8	1.9
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	77.4	12.9	9.7	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	58.1	22.6	19.4	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	YES 77.4		NC 19.4	3.2

ENGINEERING 303.1

COURSE EVALUATION FOR ENG303.1 TAUGHT BY V. REDDY
70.6 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 34

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	84.4	10.4	5.2	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	71.5	18.1	10.4	0.0
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	75.0	15.8	7.5	1.7
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	87.5	8.3	4.2	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	83.3	12.5	4.2	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	YES 100.0		NC 0.0	0.0

ENGINEERING 304.2

COURSE EVALUATION FOR ENG304.2 TAUGHT BY D. MULROONEY
74.4 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 43

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	85.9	8.6	3.9	1.6
CLASS PRESENTATION	82.3	10.4	5.7	1.6
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	83.1	11.3	5.0	0.6
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	93.8	3.1	3.1	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	90.6	6.3	3.1	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	YES 90.6		NC 6.3	3.1

ENGINEERING 306.2

COURSE EVALUATION FOR ENG306.2 TAUGHT BY D. WARNER
77.5 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 40

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	7.3	7.3	84.7	0.8
CLASS PRESENTATION	18.3	13.4	68.3	0.0
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	17.4	18.7	63.9	0.0
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	0.0	3.2	96.8	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	3.2	9.7	87.1	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	YES 3.2		NC 83.9	12.9

ENGINEERING 308.0

COURSE EVALUATION FOR ENG308.0 TAUGHT BY D. ROY
72.2 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 36

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	95.2	1.0	3.8	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	90.4	3.2	3.8	2.6
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	81.5	7.7	6.2	4.6
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	92.3	0.0	3.8	3.8
RATING OF COURSE	84.6	3.8	7.7	3.8
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	96.2		0.0	3.8

ENGINEERING 314.1

COURSE EVALUATION FOR ENG314.1 TAUGHT BY D. MULROONEY
62.5 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 32

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	67.5	17.5	15.0	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	62.5	20.8	16.7	0.0
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	37.0	35.0	27.0	1.0
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	80.0	5.0	15.0	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	25.0	30.0	45.0	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	65.0		25.0	10.0

ENGLISH 130.0A

COURSE EVALUATION FOR EGL130.0A TAUGHT BY D. HEALY
63.3 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 30

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	89.5	3.9	6.6	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	90.4	2.6	7.0	0.0
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	82.1	11.6	6.3	0.0
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	94.7	0.0	5.3	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	89.5	5.3	5.3	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	94.7		5.3	0.0

ENGLISH 130.0B

COURSE EVALUATION FOR EGL130.0B TAUGHT BY J. POWER
37.8 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 37

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	85.7	12.5	1.8	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	61.9	25.0	13.1	0.0
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	60.0	30.0	8.6	1.4
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	92.9	0.0	7.1	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	85.7	7.1	7.1	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	92.9		7.1	0.0

ENGLISH 130.0H

COURSE EVALUATION FOR EGL130.0H TAUGHT BY M. HARRY
41.5 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 41

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	50.0	29.4	19.1	1.5
CLASS PRESENTATION	47.1	32.4	19.6	1.0
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	54.1	23.5	18.8	3.5
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	41.2	23.5	35.3	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	41.2	29.4	29.4	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	82.4		11.8	5.9

ENGLISH 130.0N

COURSE EVALUATION FOR EGL130.0N TAUGHT BY D. HEALY
72.4 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 29

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	98.8	1.2	0.0	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	96.0	4.0	0.0	0.0
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	89.5	9.5	1.0	0.0
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	95.2	4.8	0.0	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	100.0		0.0	0.0

ENGLISH 130.0T

COURSE EVALUATION FOR EGL130.0T TAUGHT BY J. POWER
51.5 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 33

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	76.5	14.7	5.9	2.9
CLASS PRESENTATION	72.5	21.6	5.9	0.0
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	68.2	15.3	9.4	7.1
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	70.6	23.5	5.9	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	52.9	29.4	17.6	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	82.4		17.6	0.0

ENGLISH 130.0W

COURSE EVALUATION FOR EGL130.0W TAUGHT BY D. HEALY
85.2 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 27

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	83.7	6.5	4.3	5.4
CLASS PRESENTATION	84.8	4.3	5.8	5.1
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	73.9	12.2	7.0	7.0
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	87.0	4.3	4.3	4.3
RATING OF COURSE	82.6	4.3	4.3	8.7
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	91.3		0.0	8.7

ENGLISH 130.0X

COURSE EVALUATION FOR EGL130.0X TAUGHT BY A. SEAMAN
48.8 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 41

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	85.0	10.0	5.0	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	76.7	15.0	8.3	0.0
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	67.0	20.0	10.0	3.0
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	85.0	5.0	10.0	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	80.0	15.0	5.0	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	95.0		5.0	0.0

ENGLISH 201.0A

COURSE EVALUATION FOR EGL201.0A TAUGHT BY J. HARRISON
33.3 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 39

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	88.5	5.8	5.8	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	91.0	7.7	1.3	0.0
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	72.3	9.2	6.2	12.3
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	92.3	7.7	0.0	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	76.9	15.4	7.7	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	92.3		7.7	0.0

ENGLISH 201.0C

COURSE EVALUATION FOR EGL201.0C TAUGHT BY J. HARRISON
39.5 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 38

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	93.3	3.3	3.3	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	91.1	5.6	3.3	0.0
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	76.0	17.3	1.3	5.3
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	93.3	0.0	6.7	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	86.7	13.3	0.0	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	93.3		6.7	0.0

ENGLISH 201.1

COURSE EVALUATION FOR EGL201.01 TAUGHT BY M. LARSEN
55.6 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 27

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	86.7	5.0	8.3	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	90.0	5.6	3.3	1.1
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	80.0	16.0	4.0	0.0
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	86.7	13.3	0.0	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	80.0	13.3	6.7	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	86.7		13.3	0.0

ENGLISH 301.0

COURSE EVALUATION FOR EGL301.0 TAUGHT BY T. FLYNN
37.5 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 32

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELCW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	66.7	14.6	18.8	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	83.3	8.3	8.3	0.0
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	58.3	16.7	11.7	13.3
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	75.0	16.7	8.3	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	66.7	16.7	16.7	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	YES		NC	
	91.7		8.3	0.0

ENGLISH 356.0

COURSE EVALUATION FOR EGL356.0 TAUGHT BY C. TERRY
37.8 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 37

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELCW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	78.6	5.4	16.1	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	73.8	8.3	16.7	1.2
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	77.1	4.3	17.1	1.4
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	78.6	0.0	21.4	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	78.6	0.0	14.3	7.1
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	YES		NC	
	92.9		7.1	0.0

ENGLISH 403.0

COURSE EVALUATION FOR EGL403.0 TAUGHT BY A. SEAMAN
72.2 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 18

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELCW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	71.2	13.5	11.5	3.8
CLASS PRESENTATION	73.1	20.5	6.4	0.0
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	66.2	24.6	7.7	1.5
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	76.9	23.1	0.0	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	61.5	38.5	0.0	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	YES		NC	
	84.6		15.4	0.0

ENGLISH 552.0

COURSE EVALUATION FOR EGL552.0 TAUGHT BY C. TERRY
50.0 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 4

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELCW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	75.0	8.3	16.7	0.0
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	YES		NC	
	100.0		0.0	0.0

FRENCH 100.0

COURSE EVALUATION FOR FRE100.0 TAUGHT BY J. MACKRISS
46.2 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 13

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELCW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	83.3	16.7	0.0	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	94.4	2.8	0.0	2.8
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	83.3	3.3	10.0	3.3
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	83.3	16.7	0.0	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	YES		NC	
	100.0		0.0	0.0

FRENCH 102.0A

COURSE EVALUATION FOR FRE102.0A TAUGHT BY A. MURPHY
52.2 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 23

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELCW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	75.0	6.3	18.8	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	73.6	11.1	15.3	0.0
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	60.0	20.0	15.0	5.0
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	75.0	8.3	0.0	16.7
RATING OF COURSE	66.7	25.0	8.3	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	YES		NC	
	91.7		0.0	8.3

FRENCH 102.0B

COURSE EVALUATION FOR FRE102.0B TAUGHT BY J. MACKRISS
35.0 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 20

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELCW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	60.7	21.4	17.9	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	90.5	7.1	2.4	0.0
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	77.1	11.4	8.6	2.9
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	85.7	0.0	14.3	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	71.4	14.3	14.3	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	YES		NC	
	85.7		14.3	0.0

FRENCH 200.0A

COURSE EVALUATION FOR FRE200.0A TAUGHT BY G. LE PIERRES
91.7 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 12

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELCW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	63.6	9.1	27.3	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	84.8	4.5	10.6	0.0
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	70.9	9.1	14.5	5.5
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	63.6	9.1	27.3	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	63.6	9.1	27.3	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	YES		NC	
	81.8		18.2	0.0

FRENCH 200.0B

COURSE EVALUATION FOR FRE200.0B TAUGHT BY F. PEPIN
59.3 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 27

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELCW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	93.8	3.1	3.1	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	77.1	16.7	6.3	0.0
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	62.5	26.3	7.5	3.8
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	75.0	25.0	0.0	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	YES		NC	
	93.8		0.0	6.3

FRENCH 412.0

COURSE EVALUATION FOR FRE412.0 TAUGHT BY F. PEPIN
77.8 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 9

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELCW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	85.7	14.3	0.0	0.0
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	77.1	22.9	0.0	0.0
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	85.7	0.0	0.0	14.3
RATING OF COURSE	85.7	14.3	0.0	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	YES		NC	
	100.0		0.0	0.0

FRENCH 413.0

COURSE EVALUATION FOR FRE413.0 TAUGHT BY M. FERVALLT
50.0 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 18

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELCW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	83.3	11.1	5.6	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	75.9	14.8	9.3	0.0
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	66.7	26.7	4.4	2.2
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	77.8	11.1	11.1	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	66.7	33.3	0.0	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	YES		NC	
	77.8		22.2	0.0

FRENCH 414.0

COURSE EVALUATION FOR FRE414.0 TAUGHT BY A. MURPHY
81.8 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 11

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELCW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	50.0	19.4	27.8	2.8
CLASS PRESENTATION	66.7	14.8	18.5	0.0
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	55.6	24.4	6.7	13.3
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	66.7	22.2	0.0	11.1
RATING OF COURSE	55.6	44.4	0.0	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	YES		NC	
	88.9		11.1	0.0

GEOLOGY 201.0

COURSE EVALUATION FOR GEC201.0 TAUGHT BY C. DE ALBERQUERQUE
69.7 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 33

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	87.0	9.8	2.2	1.1
CLASS PRESENTATION	89.9	6.5	3.6	0.0
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	87.8	8.7	2.6	0.9
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	91.3	8.7	0.0	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	91.3	0.0	8.7	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	100.0		0.0	0.0

GEOLOGY 201.0L

COURSE EVALUATION FOR GEO201.0L TAUGHT BY W.P. WILBERT (LAB)
66.7 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 33

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	89.8	8.0	0.0	2.3
CLASS PRESENTATION	82.6	14.4	3.0	0.0
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	61.8	25.5	10.0	2.7
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	72.7	22.7	0.0	4.5
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	90.9		4.5	4.5

GEOLOGY 322.0

COURSE EVALUATION FOR GEO322.0 TAUGHT BY Q. SIDDIQUI
92.3 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 39

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	90.3	9.0	0.7	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	82.4	12.5	4.2	0.9
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	84.4	13.3	2.2	0.0
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	86.1	8.3	2.8	2.8
RATING OF COURSE	86.1	13.9	0.0	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	100.0		0.0	0.0

GEOLOGY 324.0L

COURSE EVALUATION FOR GEC324.0L TAUGHT BY W.P. WILBERT (LAB)
65.0 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 20

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	71.2	15.4	11.5	1.9
CLASS PRESENTATION	64.1	26.9	9.0	0.0
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	55.4	38.5	4.6	1.5
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	76.9	23.1	0.0	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	61.5	30.8	0.0	7.7
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	76.9		7.7	15.4

GEOLOGY 411.0

COURSE EVALUATION FOR GEC411.0 TAUGHT BY C. DE ALBERQUERQUE
80.0 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 10

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	92.5	0.0	2.5	5.0
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	100.0		0.0	0.0

GEOLOGY 455.0

COURSE EVALUATION FOR GEO455.0 TAUGHT BY C. DE ALBERQUERQUE
100.0 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 4

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	91.7	4.2	4.2	0.0
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	100.0		0.0	0.0

GEOLOGY 462.0

COURSE EVALUATION FOR GEO462.0 TAUGHT BY Q. SIDDIQUI
100.0 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 4

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	68.8	31.3	0.0	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	45.8	25.0	20.8	8.3
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	60.0	30.0	10.0	0.0
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	75.0	25.0	0.0	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	75.0	25.0	0.0	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	100.0		0.0	0.0

GERMAN 100.0

COURSE EVALUATION FOR GER100.0 TAUGHT BY J. NAHREBECKY
61.3 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 31

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	93.4	6.6	0.0	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	92.1	6.1	0.9	0.9
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	74.7	16.8	6.3	2.1
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	84.2	15.8	0.0	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	94.7		0.0	5.3

GERMAN 200.0

COURSE EVALUATION FOR GER200.0 TAUGHT BY J. NAHREBECKY
75.0 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 12

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	72.2	19.4	8.3	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	66.7	25.9	7.4	0.0
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	55.6	35.6	4.4	4.4
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	77.8	22.2	0.0	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	55.6	33.3	11.1	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	88.9		11.1	0.0

HISTORY 122.0

COURSE EVALUATION FOR HIS122.0 TAUGHT BY B. KIESEKAMP
51.4 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 105

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	58.3	22.2	18.1	1.4
CLASS PRESENTATION	61.1	15.4	20.1	3.4
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	57.4	19.6	21.1	1.9
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	51.9	20.4	24.1	3.7
RATING OF COURSE	44.4	18.5	31.5	5.6
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	64.8		35.2	0.0

HISTORY 123.0

COURSE EVALUATION FOR HIS123.0 TAUGHT BY C. HOWELL
50.0 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 116

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	84.1	6.9	6.5	2.6
CLASS PRESENTATION	88.8	5.2	6.0	0.0
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	74.8	12.1	12.4	0.7
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	94.8	0.0	5.2	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	86.2	8.6	5.2	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	98.3		1.7	0.0

HISTORY 124.0

COURSE EVALUATION FOR HIS124.0 TAUGHT BY W. MILLS
51.0 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 49

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	84.0	11.0	5.0	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	76.7	19.3	4.0	0.0
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	60.0	22.4	13.6	4.0
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	84.0	12.0	4.0	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	56.0	24.0	20.0	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	84.0		8.0	8.0

HISTORY 209.0

COURSE EVALUATION FOR HIS209.0 TAUGHT BY M. SUN
15.0 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 20.

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	66.7	0.0	33.3	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	66.7		33.3	0.0

HISTORY 210.0

COURSE EVALUATION FOR HIS210.0 TAUGHT BY G. YOUNG
80.0 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 20.

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	78.1	17.2	4.7	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	81.3	8.3	8.3	2.1
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	72.5	17.5	10.0	0.0
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	75.0	18.8	6.3	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	75.0	6.3	18.8	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	75.0		18.8	6.3

HISTORY 211.0

COURSE EVALUATION FOR HIS211.0 TAUGHT BY E. HAIGH
55.9 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 34.

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	77.6	17.1	5.3	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	74.6	14.9	10.5	0.0
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	68.4	21.1	10.5	0.0
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	84.2	10.5	5.3	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	73.7	15.8	10.5	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	78.9		15.8	5.3

HISTORY 212.0

COURSE EVALUATION FOR HIS212.0 TAUGHT BY E. HAIGH
41.4 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 29.

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	81.3	10.4	8.3	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	76.4	18.1	4.2	1.4
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	58.3	25.0	8.3	8.3
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	91.7	0.0	8.3	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	41.7	33.3	16.7	8.3
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	83.3		16.7	0.0

HISTORY 303.0

COURSE EVALUATION FOR HIS303.0 TAUGHT BY J. MACCORMACK
57.1 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 28.

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	67.2	18.8	12.5	1.6
CLASS PRESENTATION	77.1	12.5	9.4	1.0
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	65.0	18.8	16.3	0.0
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	75.0	12.5	12.5	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	62.5	18.8	18.8	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	62.5		37.5	0.0

HISTORY 306.0

COURSE EVALUATION FOR HIS306.0 TAUGHT BY R. BOLLINI
85.7 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 14.

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	81.3	4.2	14.6	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	79.2	0.9	12.5	1.4
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	89.0	3.3	8.3	3.3
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	91.7	0.0	8.3	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	91.7	0.0	8.3	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	75.0		8.3	16.7

HISTORY 318.0

COURSE EVALUATION FOR HIS318.0 TAUGHT BY C. HOWELL
40.9 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 44.

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	97.2	2.8	0.0	0.0
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	91.1	8.9	0.0	0.0
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	94.4	5.6	0.0	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	100.0		0.0	0.0

HISTORY 322.0

COURSE EVALUATION FOR HIS322.0 TAUGHT BY W. MILLS
60.0 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 15.

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	58.3	30.6	11.1	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	59.3	16.7	20.4	3.7
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	57.8	26.7	15.6	0.0
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	66.7	11.1	22.2	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	55.6	11.1	33.3	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	66.7		22.2	11.1

HISTORY 323.0

COURSE EVALUATION FOR HIS323.0 TAUGHT BY M. SUN
21.8 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 22.

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	84.7	8.3	6.9	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	90.7	0.9	7.4	0.9
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	72.2	14.4	11.1	2.2
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	94.4	0.0	5.6	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	88.9	5.6	5.6	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	100.0		0.0	0.0

HISTORY 328.0

COURSE EVALUATION FOR HIS328.0 TAUGHT BY E. CHARD
63.6 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 22.

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	94.6	1.8	3.6	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	84.5	11.9	3.6	0.0
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	70.0	12.9	15.7	1.4
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	92.9	0.0	7.1	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	78.6	14.3	7.1	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	92.9		7.1	0.0

HISTORY 329.0

COURSE EVALUATION FOR HIS329.0 TAUGHT BY B. KIESEKAMP
83.9 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 31.

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	92.3	5.8	1.9	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	82.1	13.5	3.8	0.6
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	68.5	18.5	5.4	7.7
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	88.5	3.8	7.7	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	84.6	7.7	7.7	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	92.3		7.7	0.0

HISTORY 333.0

COURSE EVALUATION FOR HIS333.0 TAUGHT BY B. KIESEKAMP
78.6 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 14.

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	90.9	6.8	2.3	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	89.4	6.1	4.5	0.0
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	78.2	5.5	5.5	10.9
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	90.9	0.0	9.1	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	90.9	0.0	9.1	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	90.9		9.1	0.0

HISTORY 339.0

COURSE EVALUATION FOR HIS339.0 TAUGHT BY E. HAIGH
86.7 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 15

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	73.1	11.5	15.4	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	80.2	16.7	2.6	0.0
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	67.7	16.9	12.3	3.1
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	69.2	15.4	7.7	7.7
RATING OF COURSE	61.5	15.4	23.1	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	YES 92.3		NC 7.7	0.0

HISTORY 340.0

COURSE EVALUATION FOR HIS340.0 TAUGHT BY E. CHARD
67.9 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 56

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	78.3	11.8	9.9	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	68.9	14.5	16.7	0.0
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	57.9	16.8	24.7	0.5
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	81.6	10.5	7.9	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	60.5	18.4	21.1	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	YES 73.7		NC 26.3	0.0

MATHEMATICS 110.1B

COURSE EVALUATION FOR MAT110.1B TAUGHT BY B. WHITE
12.8 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 86

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	50.0	20.5	29.5	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	57.6	15.2	27.3	0.0
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	54.5	14.5	30.9	0.0
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	45.5	27.3	27.3	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	27.3	18.2	54.5	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	YES 54.5		NC 45.5	0.0

MATHEMATICS 110.2

COURSE EVALUATION FOR MAT110.2 TAUGHT BY W. FINDEN
42.9 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 49

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	75.0	16.7	7.1	1.2
CLASS PRESENTATION	74.6	17.5	7.9	0.0
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	56.2	23.8	17.1	2.9
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	85.7	9.5	4.8	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	61.9	9.5	28.6	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	YES 66.7		NC 33.3	0.0

MATHEMATICS 111.2B

COURSE EVALUATION FOR MAT111.2B TAUGHT BY B. WHITE
32.1 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 81

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	73.1	13.5	12.5	1.7
CLASS PRESENTATION	73.1	16.0	10.9	0.0
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	49.2	20.8	19.2	10.8
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	92.3	3.8	3.8	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	57.7	30.8	11.5	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	YES 88.5		NC 11.5	0.0

MATHEMATICS 113.0A

COURSE EVALUATION FOR MAT113.0A TAUGHT BY R. BROWN
75.4 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 57

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	75.6	19.2	3.5	1.7
CLASS PRESENTATION	72.5	14.7	8.9	3.9
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	60.5	16.7	13.0	9.8
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	67.4	27.9	2.3	2.3
RATING OF COURSE	53.5	34.9	7.0	4.7
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	YES 79.1		NC 11.6	9.3

MATHEMATICS 113.0B

COURSE EVALUATION FOR MAT113.0B TAUGHT BY Y.P. SINGH
67.4 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 43

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	86.2	8.6	5.2	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	86.2	6.9	6.9	0.0
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	73.8	13.1	4.8	8.3
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	86.2	10.3	0.0	3.4
RATING OF COURSE	65.5	20.7	10.3	3.4
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	YES 96.6		NC 3.4	0.0

MATHEMATICS 116.2

COURSE EVALUATION FOR MAT116.2 TAUGHT BY M. KIANG
48.1 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 27

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	88.5	7.7	3.8	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	76.9	10.3	12.8	0.0
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	73.8	15.4	7.7	3.1
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	69.2	30.8	0.0	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	76.9	7.7	15.4	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	YES 92.3		NC 0.0	7.7

MATHEMATICS 127.0

COURSE EVALUATION FOR MAT127.0 TAUGHT BY W. FINDEN
66.7 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 15

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	96.7	3.3	0.0	0.0
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	82.0	8.0	2.0	8.0
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	YES 100.0		NC 0.0	0.0

MATHEMATICS 210.1A

COURSE EVALUATION FOR MAT210.1A TAUGHT BY D. KABE
18.4 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 38

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	35.7	32.1	32.1	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	33.3	23.8	38.1	4.8
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	22.9	40.0	31.4	5.7
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	14.3	28.6	42.9	14.3
RATING OF COURSE	28.6	14.3	57.1	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	YES 57.1		NC 14.3	28.6

MATHEMATICS 210.1B

COURSE EVALUATION FOR MAT210.1B TAUGHT BY F. CHAD
42.9 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 28

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	83.3	12.5	4.2	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	80.6	8.3	11.1	0.0
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	48.3	20.0	26.7	5.0
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	83.3	8.3	0.0	8.3
RATING OF COURSE	50.0	16.7	25.0	8.3
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	YES 58.3		NC 33.3	8.3

MATHEMATICS 210.1B

COURSE EVALUATION FOR MAT210.1B TAUGHT BY D. KABE
25.0 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 28

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	67.9	21.4	10.7	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	33.3	31.0	35.7	0.0
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	51.4	17.1	25.7	5.7
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	14.3	42.9	42.9	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	42.9	42.9	14.3	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	YES 85.7		NC 14.3	0.0

MATHEMATICS 211.2A

COURSE EVALUATION FOR MAT211.2A TAUGHT BY M. KIANG
65.1 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 72

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	63.3	25.0	11.2	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	63.1	21.6	15.2	0.0
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	58.7	24.7	12.8	3.8
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	72.3	6.4	21.3	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	48.9	19.1	29.8	2.1
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	YES 74.5		NC 23.4	2.1

MATHEMATICS 211.2B

COURSE EVALUATION FOR MAT211.2B TAUGHT BY F. CHAC
86.4 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 44

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	61.8	17.1	20.4	0.7
CLASS PRESENTATION	63.6	16.2	18.9	1.3
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	32.1	21.1	40.5	6.3
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	65.8	7.9	23.7	2.6
RATING OF COURSE	34.2	13.2	50.0	2.6
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	YES 39.5		NC 50.0	10.5

MATHEMATICS 311.0

COURSE EVALUATION FOR MAT311.0 TAUGHT BY K. SINGH
78.6 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 42

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	56.8	18.9	24.2	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	51.0	21.2	27.8	0.0
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	40.6	21.2	35.2	3.0
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	54.5	21.2	24.2	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	27.3	30.3	39.4	3.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	YES 51.5		NC 48.5	0.0

MATHEMATICS 349.0

COURSE EVALUATION FOR MAT349.0 TAUGHT BY Y.P. SINGH
80.0 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 5

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	87.5	12.5	0.0	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	83.3	8.3	8.3	0.0
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	65.0	35.0	0.0	0.0
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	75.0	0.0	25.0	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	75.0	25.0	0.0	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	YES 100.0		NC 0.0	0.0

MATHEMATICS 410.0

COURSE EVALUATION FOR MAT410.0 TAUGHT BY P. SCBBEY
100.0 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 10

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	90.0	10.0	0.0	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	90.0	8.3	1.7	0.0
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	70.0	24.0	2.0	4.0
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	80.0	10.0	0.0	10.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	YES 100.0		NC 0.0	0.0

MATHEMATICS 425.0

COURSE EVALUATION FOR MAT425.0 TAUGHT BY Y.P. SINGH
73.3 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 15

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	65.9	13.6	20.5	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	48.5	16.7	34.8	0.0
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	32.7	21.8	34.5	10.9
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	76.4	27.3	36.4	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	18.2	9.1	63.6	9.1
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	YES 63.6		NC 27.3	9.1

PHILOSOPHY 201.0

COURSE EVALUATION FOR PHI201.0 TAUGHT BY W. STEWART
78.1 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 73

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	40.4	8.3	1.3	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	85.7	9.1	4.4	0.9
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	69.1	16.1	13.7	1.1
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	84.2	3.5	8.8	3.5
RATING OF COURSE	59.6	26.3	14.0	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	YES 87.7		NC 12.3	0.0

PHILOSOPHY 203.0

COURSE EVALUATION FOR PHI203.0 TAUGHT BY R. MARSHALL
28.4 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 70

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	63.8	23.8	11.3	1.3
CLASS PRESENTATION	57.5	18.3	24.2	0.0
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	52.0	22.0	25.0	1.0
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	55.0	30.0	15.0	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	40.0	20.0	40.0	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	YES 60.0		NC 40.0	0.0

PHILOSOPHY 224.0

COURSE EVALUATION FOR PHI224.0 TAUGHT BY H. LACKNER
40.9 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 22

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	72.2	16.7	11.1	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	66.7	14.8	18.5	0.0
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	53.3	22.2	13.3	11.1
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	66.7	11.1	22.2	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	66.7	11.1	22.2	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	YES 66.7		NC 33.3	0.0

PHILOSOPHY 354.0

COURSE EVALUATION FOR PHI354.0 TAUGHT BY J. LOWRY
62.5 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 8

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	80.0	8.0	8.0	4.0
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	YES 100.0		NC 0.0	0.0

PHILOSOPHY 407.0

COURSE EVALUATION FOR PHI407.0 TAUGHT BY W. STEWART
75.0 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 8

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	YES 100.0		NC 0.0	0.0

PHYSICS 111.0A

COURSE EVALUATION FOR PHY111.0A TAUGHT BY U. MENDSOY
71.1 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 38

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	82.4	10.2	6.5	0.9
CLASS PRESENTATION	56.8	20.4	22.2	0.6
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	67.4	20.7	9.6	2.2
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	59.3	22.2	14.8	3.7
RATING OF COURSE	55.6	33.3	11.1	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	YES 88.9		NC 3.7	7.4

PHYSICS 221.0A

COURSE EVALUATION FOR PHY221.0A TAUGHT BY D. MURTY
55.2 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 29

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	92.2	6.3	1.6	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	71.9	18.8	9.4	0.0
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	63.8	30.0	3.8	2.5
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	81.3	12.5	0.0	6.3
RATING OF COURSE	81.3	18.8	0.0	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	100.0		0.0	0.0

PHYSICS 320.1

COURSE EVALUATION FOR PHY320.1 TAUGHT BY D. MURTY
100.0 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 7

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	50.0	32.1	17.9	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	61.9	28.6	9.5	0.0
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	65.7	31.4	2.9	0.0
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	71.4	14.3	14.3	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	71.4	28.6	0.0	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	100.0		0.0	0.0

PHYSICS 432.2

COURSE EVALUATION FOR PHY432.2 TAUGHT BY W. LONG
50.0 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 10

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	75.0	5.0	20.0	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	80.0	0.0	20.0	0.0
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	48.0	24.0	24.0	4.0
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	80.0	0.0	20.0	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	60.0	20.0	20.0	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	80.0		20.0	0.0

PHYSICS 435.2

COURSE EVALUATION FOR PHY435.2 TAUGHT BY W. LONG
33.3 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 12

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	65.0	20.0	10.0	5.0
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	100.0		0.0	0.0

POLITICAL SCIENCE 200.0A

COURSE EVALUATION FOR PCL200.0A TAUGHT BY W. DALTON
41.3 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 46

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	63.2	19.7	15.8	1.3
CLASS PRESENTATION	66.7	15.8	17.5	0.0
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	70.5	11.6	16.8	1.1
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	68.4	10.5	21.1	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	73.7	10.5	15.8	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	84.2		15.8	0.0

POLITICAL SCIENCE 200.0B

COURSE EVALUATION FOR PCL200.0B TAUGHT BY E. MCBRIDE
54.3 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 46

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	94.0	4.0	1.0	1.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	90.7	5.3	2.7	1.3
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	79.2	12.0	8.0	0.8
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	96.0	0.0	4.0	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	100.0		0.0	0.0

POLITICAL SCIENCE 200.0F

COURSE EVALUATION FOR PCL200.0F TAUGHT BY R. LEVESQUE
48.0 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 50

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	87.5	3.1	2.1	7.3
CLASS PRESENTATION	78.5	6.9	5.6	9.0
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	53.3	15.8	10.0	20.8
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	95.8	0.0	4.2	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	87.5	8.3	4.2	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	87.5		4.2	8.3

POLITICAL SCIENCE 200.0G

COURSE EVALUATION FOR PCL200.0G TAUGHT BY R. LANDES
66.7 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 21

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	85.7	7.1	7.1	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	81.0	9.5	9.5	0.0
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	62.9	30.0	5.7	1.4
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	85.7	7.1	7.1	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	78.6	14.3	7.1	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	100.0		0.0	0.0

POLITICAL SCIENCE 200.0I

COURSE EVALUATION FOR PCL200.0I TAUGHT BY R. LANDES
59.5 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 37

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	88.6	5.7	5.7	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	87.1	6.1	6.1	0.8
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	71.8	20.9	6.4	0.9
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	81.8	9.1	4.5	4.5
RATING OF COURSE	81.8	9.1	9.1	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	100.0		0.0	0.0

POLITICAL SCIENCE 200.0J

COURSE EVALUATION FOR PCL200.0J TAUGHT BY R. LANDES
52.6 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 19

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	87.5	10.0	2.5	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	98.3	1.7	0.0	0.0
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	74.0	16.0	10.0	0.0
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	90.0	0.0	10.0	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	100.0		0.0	0.0

POLITICAL SCIENCE 304.0C

COURSE EVALUATION FOR PCL304.0C TAUGHT BY R. LEVESQUE
53.3 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 15

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	87.5	10.4	2.1	0.0
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	77.5	7.5	0.0	15.0
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	100.0		0.0	0.0

POLITICAL SCIENCE 306.2

COURSE EVALUATION FOR PCL306.2 TAUGHT BY R. LANDES
75.0 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 12

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	83.3	13.9	2.8	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	87.0	11.1	0.0	1.9
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	82.2	15.6	2.2	0.0
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	88.9	11.1	0.0	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	88.9		11.1	0.0

POLITICAL SCIENCE 312.0

COURSE EVALUATION FOR PCL312.0 TAUGHT BY E. MCBRIDE
56.1 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 57

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	93.8	3.1	3.1	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	91.1	3.6	4.7	0.5
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	79.4	16.3	4.4	0.0
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	90.6	3.1	6.3	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	90.6	3.1	6.3	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	YES		NC	
	90.6		9.4	0.0

POLITICAL SCIENCE 419.0

COURSE EVALUATION FOR PCL419.0 TAUGHT BY E. MCBRIDE
78.1 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 32

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	98.0	2.0	0.0	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	94.0	2.7	2.0	1.3
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	69.6	13.6	5.6	11.2
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	84.0	12.0	0.0	4.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	YES		NC	
	96.0		4.0	0.0

POLITICAL SCIENCE 435.0

COURSE EVALUATION FOR PCL435.0 TAUGHT BY R. LEVESQUE
69.2 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 13

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	91.7	5.6	0.0	2.8
CLASS PRESENTATION	91.5	11.1	0.0	7.4
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	77.8	2.2	0.0	20.0
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	88.9	0.0	0.0	11.1
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	YES		NC	
	100.0		0.0	0.0

POLITICAL SCIENCE 555.0

COURSE EVALUATION FOR PCL555.0 TAUGHT BY T. LEVY
72.2 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 18

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	53.8	23.1	21.2	1.9
CLASS PRESENTATION	55.0	20.5	19.2	1.3
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	44.6	18.5	23.1	13.8
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	30.8	38.5	30.8	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	30.8	23.1	46.2	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	YES		NC	
	38.5		61.5	0.0

PSYCHOLOGY 201.0

COURSE EVALUATION FOR PSY201.0 TAUGHT BY J. DARLEY
41.7 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 295

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	59.8	28.0	9.6	2.6
CLASS PRESENTATION	68.2	17.6	13.4	0.8
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	45.5	23.3	26.7	4.6
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	68.3	17.9	13.0	0.8
RATING OF COURSE	50.4	22.8	26.8	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	YES		NC	
	78.9		18.7	2.4

PSYCHOLOGY 201.0

COURSE EVALUATION FOR PSY201.0 TAUGHT BY I. LENZER
39.0 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 295

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	61.1	21.5	14.8	2.6
CLASS PRESENTATION	64.3	19.6	15.9	0.1
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	41.4	27.7	27.0	4.0
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	67.8	15.5	14.8	0.2
RATING OF COURSE	44.3	29.4	25.2	0.9
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	YES		NC	
	70.4		26.1	3.5

PSYCHOLOGY 301.0

COURSE EVALUATION FOR PSY301.0 TAUGHT BY V. CATANO
37.2 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 78

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	61.2	23.3	14.7	0.9
CLASS PRESENTATION	77.0	10.3	11.5	1.1
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	51.7	24.1	21.4	2.8
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	55.2	27.6	17.2	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	37.9	31.0	31.0	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	YES		NC	
	51.7		44.8	3.4

PSYCHOLOGY 403.0

COURSE EVALUATION FOR PSY403.0 TAUGHT BY J. DARLEY
46.2 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 13

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	91.7	8.3	0.0	0.0
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	76.7	20.0	3.3	0.0
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	YES		NC	
	100.0		0.0	0.0

PSYCHOLOGY 411.0

COURSE EVALUATION FOR PSY411.0 TAUGHT BY V. CATANO
37.5 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 24

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	93.3	6.7	0.0	0.0
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	YES		NC	
	100.0		0.0	0.0

PSYCHOLOGY 431.0

COURSE EVALUATION FOR PSY431.0 TAUGHT BY K. KONRAD
50.0 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 42

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	77.4	16.7	6.0	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	86.5	10.3	3.2	0.0
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	60.0	21.9	5.7	12.4
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	81.0	14.3	4.8	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	66.7	28.6	4.8	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	YES		NC	
	90.5		4.8	4.8

PSYCHOLOGY 432.0

COURSE EVALUATION FOR PSY432.0 TAUGHT BY K. KONRAD
19.2 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 26

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	93.3	6.7	0.0	0.0
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	80.0	4.0	4.0	12.0
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	YES		NC	
	80.0		0.0	20.0

PSYCHOLOGY 433.0

COURSE EVALUATION FOR PSY433.0 TAUGHT BY R. KONOPASKY
82.1 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 39

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	85.9	11.7	2.3	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	81.8	10.9	7.3	0.0
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	63.1	19.4	11.3	6.3
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	78.1	9.4	9.4	3.1
RATING OF COURSE	71.9	15.6	12.5	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	YES		NC	
	87.5		9.4	3.1

RELIGIOUS STUDIES 201.0A

COURSE EVALUATION FOR REL201.0A TAUGHT BY D. CLARKE
78.1 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 32

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	85.0	14.0	1.0	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	74.7	16.0	8.7	0.7
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	52.0	28.8	19.2	0.0
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	72.0	16.0	8.0	4.0
RATING OF COURSE	36.0	36.0	24.0	4.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	YES 76.0		NO 16.0	8.0

RELIGIOUS STUDIES 202

COURSE EVALUATION FOR REL 202 TAUGHT BY D. CLARKE
59.3 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 27

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	75.0	12.5	12.5	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	71.9	15.6	12.5	0.0
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	68.8	13.8	11.3	6.3
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	75.0	12.5	12.5	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	62.5	18.8	18.8	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	YES 87.5		NC 6.3	6.3

RELIGIOUS STUDIES 210.0

COURSE EVALUATION FOR REL210.0 TAUGHT BY J. MURPHY
77.3 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 22

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	76.5	10.3	13.2	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	81.4	10.8	7.8	0.0
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	72.9	18.8	8.2	0.0
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	82.4	5.9	11.8	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	58.8	29.4	11.8	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	YES 82.4		NC 17.6	0.0

RELIGIOUS STUDIES 238.0

COURSE EVALUATION FOR REL238.0 TAUGHT BY J. MURPHY
80.0 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 15

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	60.4	14.6	25.0	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	68.1	13.9	16.7	1.4
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	55.0	23.3	21.7	0.0
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	58.3	25.0	16.7	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	41.7	33.3	25.0	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	YES 66.7		NC 33.3	0.0

RELIGIOUS STUDIES 305.0

COURSE EVALUATION FOR REL305.0 TAUGHT BY E. STEIGMAN
86.1 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 36

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	94.4	2.4	3.2	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	88.7	9.1	2.2	0.0
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	85.2	14.2	0.6	0.0
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	93.5	6.5	0.0	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	80.6	12.9	6.5	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	YES 96.8		NC 3.2	0.0

RELIGIOUS STUDIES 313.0

COURSE EVALUATION FOR REL313.0 TAUGHT BY J. MURPHY
74.3 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 35

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	77.9	13.5	8.7	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	77.6	14.7	6.4	1.3
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	68.5	16.2	13.8	1.5
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	73.1	15.4	11.5	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	57.7	30.8	11.5	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	YES 84.6		NC 15.4	0.0

RELIGIOUS STUDIES 321.0

COURSE EVALUATION FOR REL321.0 TAUGHT BY M. WAIDA
88.2 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 17

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	86.7	6.7	6.7	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	81.1	11.1	6.7	1.1
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	84.0	5.3	4.0	6.7
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	86.7	6.7	6.7	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	86.7	6.7	6.7	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	YES 93.3		NC 6.7	0.0

RELIGIOUS STUDIES 323.0

COURSE EVALUATION FOR REL323.0 TAUGHT BY M. WAIDA
100.0 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 20

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	93.8	6.3	0.0	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	85.0	13.3	0.0	1.7
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	87.0	11.0	1.0	1.0
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	90.0	10.0	0.0	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	95.0	5.0	0.0	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	YES 95.0		NC 5.0	0.0

RELIGIOUS STUDIES 324.0

COURSE EVALUATION FOR REL324.0 TAUGHT BY M. WAIDA
72.2 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 18

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	92.3	7.7	0.0	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	92.3	6.4	0.0	1.3
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	89.2	9.2	0.0	1.5
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	92.3	7.7	0.0	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	YES 92.3		NC 7.7	0.0

RELIGIOUS STUDIES 345.0

COURSE EVALUATION FOR REL345.0 TAUGHT BY D. CLARKE
70.3 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 37

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	66.3	21.2	11.5	1.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	62.8	16.0	21.2	0.0
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	60.0	20.0	17.7	2.3
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	65.4	11.5	23.1	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	65.4	7.7	26.9	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	YES 84.6		NC 11.5	3.8

RELIGIOUS STUDIES 346.0

COURSE EVALUATION FOR REL346.0 TAUGHT BY E. STEIGMAN
43.3 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 30

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	76.9	14.1	7.7	1.3
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	72.3	20.0	7.7	0.0
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	92.3	7.7	0.0	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	84.6	7.7	7.7	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	YES 92.3		NC 7.7	0.0

SOCIOLOGY 200.0A

COURSE EVALUATION FOR SCC200.0A TAUGHT BY W. FRIEDMAN
51.7 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL CLASS ANSWERED THE QUESTIONNAIRE
REGISTRATION 29

	PERCENTAGES (BASED ON RESPONSE)			
	ABOVE AVERAGE	AVERAGE	BELOW AVERAGE	NC ANSWER
RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	85.0	13.3	1.7	0.0
CLASS PRESENTATION	78.9	12.2	7.9	1.1
COURSE OBJECTIVES, WORK AND EXAMS	74.7	18.7	6.7	0.0
RATING AS INSTRUCTOR	80.0	20.0	0.0	0.0
RATING OF COURSE	80.0	6.7	13.3	0.0
RECOMMENDATION OF COURSE TO OTHERS	YES 86.7		NC 13.3	0.0