

Date: 6 March, 2015
To: All Members of Senate
From: Dr. Donald J. Naulls, Chairperson of Senate
Re: Senate Meeting – 13 March, 2015

There will be a meeting of Senate on **Friday, 13 March, 2015 at 2:30 P.M.**, in the **Secunda Marine Boardroom**.

A G E N D A

1. CALL TO ORDER
2. REPORT OF AGENDA COMMITTEE
3. SAINT MARY'S UNIVERSITY 2015-2016 OPERATING BUDGET
Presentation by Darrell Rooney and Gabe Morrison
4. MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING
Minutes of previous meeting of February 13, 2015, attached as *Appendix A*.
5. BUSINESS ARISING FROM PREVIOUS MEETING MINUTES
None
6. REPORTS OF STANDING COMMITTEES
 - .01 Academic Planning Committee
 - i) MFIN one-year follow-up report
 - APC Memo *Appendix B1*, MFIN report *Appendix B2*
 - ii) **Asian Studies Program** review documentation circulated as: *Appendix C* – APC Notice of Motion, *Appendix D* - Recommendation-Comparison summary, *Appendix E* - Self Study Report, *Appendix (F1-F8)* - Self Study appendices, *Appendix G* - Deans Response to Self Study, *Appendix H* - External Review Committee's (ERC) Final Report, *Appendix I* - Department Response to ERC Report, *Appendix J* - Dean's Response to ERC report. (*Dr Sewell, Coordinator, Asian Studies attending*)
 - iii) **Religious Studies** program review documentation circulated as: *Appendix K* – APC Notice of Motion, *Appendix L*, Recommendation-Comparison summary, *Appendix M1 & M2* – Undergrad and Grad Self Study Reports, *Appendix (N1 – 1-23)* UG Self Study appendices, *Appendix (N2 – 1-15)* Grad Self Study appendices, *Appendix O1 & O2*- Deans Responses to Self Study UG & Grad, *Appendix P* - External Review Committee's (ERC) Final Report, *Appendix Q1 & Q2* - Department Responses to ERC Report, *Appendix R1 & R2* - Dean's Responses to ERC report. (*Dr Soucy, Chair, Department of Religious Studies and Dr Anne Marie Dalton, Grad Coordinator attending*)

6. NEW BUSINESS FROM

.01 Floor (not involving notice of motion)

Academic Discipline, Academic Regulation #19 attached as *Appendix S*

- a. Senate discussion regarding an academic discipline case that involved a student paying another individual a significant sum of money to take a difficult course for them.
- b. Senate discussion requested on our approach to academic discipline.
 - i. Should there be a summary report to Senate, or made more widely available, of cases, outcomes, and lessons learned?
 - ii. Is greater clarity around process needed?
 - iii. Would restorative justice be a better lens for restructuring our regulation? Could restorative justice principles be fruitfully incorporated?

.02 Floor (involving notice of motion)

.03 Chair

7. PRESIDENT'S REPORT

8. QUESTION PERIOD

9. ADJOURNMENT

Donald J. Naulls
Chairperson of Senate

-bb
encls.